Data Caveats & Limitations

The following caveats and limitations have been identified for the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey data:

- Source data can be queried at http://app.bscc.ca.gov/joq/jds/QuerySelection.asp
- We make every effort to verify the submitted data to ensure its accuracy, but it is ultimately up to the jurisdictions (counties) to report reliable data.
- Due to rounding, numbers and percentages presented may not add up precisely to the totals provided.
- We do not collect details on policies that jurisdictions enforce that might influence these data.
- A number of juvenile facilities have opened and closed from 2002 through 2020. These changes might affect how the data are represented.
 - Inyo County: Inyo County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in January 2017. A
 SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full
 service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus
 are excluded from this document.
 - Lassen County: Lassen County's Juvenile Detention Facility closed in June 2019.
 - Mariposa County: Mariposa County operates a SPJH. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Mono County: Mono County operated a SPJH between 2002 and March 2014. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Plumas County: Plumas County operated a SPJH between 2002 and March 2008. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Siskiyou County: Siskiyou County's Juvenile Hall converted to a SPJH in February 2018. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
 - Trinity County: Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a SPJH in August 2014. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.

Table 1. Statewide Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2020 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day Sr	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11,129.4	3,548.3	32%	7,579.4	68%	11,112.8	3,878.5	35%	7,234.3	65%
2003	10,918.8	3,490.7	32%	7,428.4	68%	10,917.8	3,706.3	34%	7,211.5	66%
2004	10,642.5	3,799.5	36%	6,843.0	64%	10,663.4	3,493.8	33%	7,169.7	67%
2005	10,916.5	3,991.0	37%	6,924.4	63%	10,816.6	3,637.8	34%	7,178.8	66%
2006	11,271.8	4,201.7	37%	7,068.6	63%	11,062.8	3,556.8	32%	7,506.0	68%
2007	11,138.5	4,189.0	38%	6,947.9	62%	10,924.5	3,271.3	30%	7,653.3	70%
2008	10,951.7	3,816.3	35%	7,136.0	65%	10,781.0	3,150.8	29%	7,630.3	71%
2009	10,040.1	3,472.4	35%	6,569.6	65%	9,929.7	2,832.8	29%	7,096.9	71%
2010	9,100.0	3,036.9	33%	6,061.8	67%	9,081.4	2,711.7	30%	6,369.7	70%
2011	8,244.8	2,622.4	32%	5,621.0	68%	8,144.3	2,440.8	30%	5,703.5	70%
2012	7,360.3	2,377.5	32%	4,981.5	68%	7,345.9	2,222.9	30%	5,123.0	70%
2013	6,628.3	2,116.9	32%	4,510.9	68%	6,673.5	2,080.2	31%	4,593.3	69%
2014	5,781.3	1,952.7	34%	3,827.8	66%	5,787.6	1,905.3	33%	3,882.3	67%
2015	5,306.8	1,963.7	37%	3,342.9	63%	5,357.1	1,956.3	37%	3,400.9	63%
2016	4,695.3	1,790.3	38%	2,904.3	62%	4,687.3	1,542.1	33%	3,145.3	67%
2017	4,466.3	1,756.8	39%	2,707.1	61%	4,464.3	1,403.1	31%	3,061.2	69%
2018	4,012.6	1,661.1	41%	2,349.9	59%	3,999.0	1,093.9	27%	2,905.1	73%
2019	3,632.2	1,593.1	44%	2,039.4	56%	3,655.4	937.8	26%	2,717.6	74%
2020	2,678.9	1,219.4	46%	1,460.1	55%	2,705.0	560.6	21%	2,144.4	79%

Table 2. Statewide Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2020 1

		А	verage Daily	[,] Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	6,573.1	3,547.5	54%	3,025.2	46%	6,557.0	2,363.3	36%	4,193.8	64%
2003	6,448.9	3,489.8	54%	2,959.6	46%	6,406.8	2,234.0	35%	4,172.8	65%
2004	6,460.1	3,798.9	59%	2,661.6	41%	6,439.8	2,196.8	34%	4,242.9	66%
2005	6,818.8	3,990.5	59%	2,827.6	41%	6,715.1	2,379.3	35%	4,335.8	65%
2006	7,018.8	4,201.1	60%	2,816.7	40%	6,846.3	2,261.4	33%	4,584.9	67%
2007	6,859.7	4,188.5	61%	2,670.4	39%	6,668.3	1,986.5	30%	4,681.8	70%
2008	6,614.5	3,815.9	58%	2,798.1	42%	6,367.2	1,881.0	30%	4,486.2	70%
2009	6,200.3	3,472.0	56%	2,727.1	44%	6,015.8	1,833.2	30%	4,182.6	70%
2010	5,724.3	3,036.6	53%	2,687.2	47%	5,583.9	1,890.3	34%	3,693.7	66%
2011	5,139.6	2,622.3	51%	2,515.9	49%	5,002.9	1,722.3	34%	3,280.6	66%
2012	4,548.8	2,377.4	52%	2,170.7	48%	4,517.8	1,569.5	35%	2,948.3	65%
2013	4,103.7	2,116.5	52%	1,987.2	48%	4,132.8	1,445.1	35%	2,687.8	65%
2014	3,640.0	1,952.6	54%	1,687.1	46%	3,576.8	1,341.1	37%	2,235.8	63%
2015	3,407.6	1,963.4	58%	1,444.4	42%	3,389.1	1,381.3	41%	2,007.7	59%
2016	3,031.0	1,789.7	59%	1,240.7	41%	2,973.5	1,091.8	37%	1,881.8	63%
2017	2,912.1	1,756.7	60%	1,154.5	40%	2,887.4	951.8	33%	1,935.6	67%
2018	2,670.1	1,661.0	62%	1,009.0	38%	2,641.8	776.8	29%	1,865.0	71%
2019	2,452.1	1,593.1	65%	859.6	35%	2,443.3	677.9	28%	1,765.3	72%
2020	1,799.9	1,219.4	68%	581.2	32%	1,800.7	411.2	23%	1,389.4	77%

Table 3. Statewide Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2020 1

Table 0.			Average Daily			oz unougn zoz	<u>- </u>	One-Day Si	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	4,554.0	100%	4,553.7	1,513.8	33%	3,039.9	67%
2003	house Pos	•		4,468.8	100%	4,509.7	1,471.5	33%	3,038.2	67%
2004	juveniles, t	therefore t	their Total	4,181.4	100%	4,222.4	1,296.0	31%	2,926.4	69%
2005	ADP is onl	ly compris	ed of Post-	4,096.8	100%	4,100.8	1,258.3	31%	2,842.6	69%
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	3.	4,251.9	100%	4,215.9	1,294.8	31%	2,921.1	69%
2007				4,277.5	100%	4,255.3	1,284.2	30%	2,971.2	70%
2008				4,337.8	100%	4,413.8	1,269.7	29%	3,144.1	71%
2009				3,842.5	100%	3,913.9	999.6	26%	2,914.3	74%
2010				3,374.6	100%	3,497.4	821.4	23%	2,676.0	77%
2011				3,105.1	100%	3,141.3	718.4	23%	2,422.9	77%
2012				2,810.9	100%	2,828.2	653.4	23%	2,174.8	77%
2013				2,523.7	100%	2,539.7	634.4	25%	1,905.3	75%
2014				2,140.7	100%	2,210.6	564.2	26%	1,646.4	74%
2015				1,896.9	100%	1,967.2	574.2	29%	1,393.0	71%
2016				1,661.1	100%	1,712.3	449.7	26%	1,262.6	74%
2017				1,550.2	100%	1,575.7	451.1	29%	1,124.6	71%
2018				1,338.9	100%	1,355.9	316.4	23%	1,039.5	77%
2019				1,176.9	100%	1,209.9	257.8	21%	952.1	79%
2020				878.9	100%	904.3	149.3	17%	755.0	83%

Figure 1. Statewide ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020 ¹





Figure 2. Population of Statewide Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020 ¹








Table 4. Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the Third Quarter of 2020 ²

		P	Average Daily	/ Populatio	n	Snapshot		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	ADP 2nd	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	AMP 2nd	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
	Quarter					Quarter				
County	2020	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	2020	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
Alameda	54.7	30.7	56%	24.0	44%	43.7	9.7	22%	34.0	78%
Butte	15.3	5.0	33%	10.3	67%	15.0	0.0	0%	15.0	100%
Contra Costa	64.0	24.7	39%	39.3	61%	72.0	5.3	7%	66.7	93%
Del Norte	5.9	1.9	32%	4.1	68%	6.7	6.7	100%	0.0	0%
El Dorado	11.4	4.7	41%	6.7	59%	12.0	8.0	67%	4.0	33%
Fresno	120.7	45.7	38%	75.0	62%	120.0	12.3	10%	107.7	90%
Humboldt	11.0	11.0	100%	0.0	0%	10.7	4.3	41%	6.3	59%
Imperial	2.9	2.0	68%	0.9	32%	2.7	1.7	63%	1.0	38%
Kern	143.7	43.7	30%	99.9	70%	154.0	51.7	34%	102.3	66%
Kings	20.7	12.0	58%	8.7	42%	19.7	1.7	8%	18.0	92%
Los Angeles	505.0	231.0	46%	274.0	54%	517.7	97.3	19%	420.3	81%
Madera	17.0	6.0	35%	11.0	65%	17.0	12.3	73%	4.7	27%
Marin	5.8	2.9	51%	2.9	49%	6.3	2.7	42%	3.7	58%
Mendocino	6.7	4.9	73%	1.8	27%	6.0	2.0	33%	4.0	67%
Merced	26.3	14.3	54%	12.0	46%	24.7	2.0	8%	22.7	92%
Monterey	51.0	21.0	41%	30.0	59%	50.7	6.3	13%	44.3	88%
Napa	8.3	3.7	44%	4.7	56%	7.7	2.3	30%	5.3	70%
Nevada	5.1	2.8	56%	2.3	44%	5.3	2.7	50%	2.7	50%
Orange	166.3	72.0	43%	94.2	57%	163.0	16.3	10%	146.7	90%
Placer	17.1	7.3	43%	9.8	57%	16.0	3.0	19%	13.0	81%
Riverside	126.1	24.9	20%	101.2	80%	125.0	18.7	15%	106.3	85%
Sacramento	90.7	84.0	93%	6.7	7%	98.3	30.0	31%	68.3	69%

Table 4 (continued). Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the Third Quarter of 2020²

		P	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	Snapshot		One-Day S	napshot	
	ADP 2nd	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	AMP 2nd	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
	Quarter					Quarter				
County	2020	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	2020	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
San Benito	4.2	3.3	79%	0.9	21%	4.3	1.0	23%	3.3	77%
San Bernardino	110.7	66.7	60%	44.0	40%	106.0	7.0	7%	99.0	93%
San Diego	173.3	78.7	45%	94.7	55%	176.3	10.3	6%	166.0	94%
San Francisco	12.7	2.3	18%	10.3	82%	13.3	6.0	45%	7.3	55%
San Joaquin	48.0	30.7	64%	17.3	36%	47.0	0.7	1%	46.3	99%
San Luis Obispo	15.4	5.7	37%	9.7	63%	15.3	10.0	65%	5.3	35%
San Mateo	19.3	12.7	66%	6.7	34%	19.0	4.3	23%	14.7	77%
Santa Barbara	28.3	7.0	25%	21.3	75%	26.7	2.7	10%	24.0	90%
Santa Clara	86.0	48.7	57%	37.3	43%	79.7	9.3	12%	70.3	88%
Santa Cruz	12.6	11.2	89%	1.4	11%	12.7	2.7	21%	10.0	79%
Shasta	20.0	6.0	30%	14.0	70%	20.0	4.7	23%	15.3	77%
Solano	24.0	9.7	40%	14.3	60%	24.0	6.3	26%	17.7	74%
Sonoma	20.0	10.2	51%	9.8	49%	20.3	11.7	57%	8.7	43%
Stanislaus	61.7	38.0	62%	23.7	38%	56.3	6.0	11%	50.3	89%
Tehama	7.8	3.1	40%	4.7	60%	6.0	1.7	28%	4.3	72%
Tulare	40.2	17.7	44%	22.5	56%	40.3	5.3	13%	35.0	87%
Tuolumne	8.3	4.0	48%	4.3	52%	12.0	1.7	14%	10.3	86%
Ventura	55.5	25.6	46%	29.9	54%	58.0	17.3	30%	40.7	70%
Yolo	2.4	2.4	100%	0.0	0%	6.0	0.0	0%	6.0	100%
Yuba	22.7	7.1	31%	15.7	69%	21.0	4.3	21%	16.7	79%

ALAMEDA COUNTY

Table 5. Alameda Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	327.8	173.6	53%	154.3	47%	328.7	188.6	57%	140.1	43%
2003	317.6	188.3	59%	129.3	41%	323.0	174.3	54%	148.7	46%
2004	332.7	200.1	60%	132.6	40%	346.2	183.8	53%	162.4	47%
2005	313.2	193.3	62%	120.0	38%	314.6	166.2	53%	148.4	47%
2006	323.5	186.0	57%	137.5	43%	284.1	130.0	46%	154.1	54%
2007	325.8	209.4	64%	116.3	36%	277.9	109.3	39%	168.6	61%
2008	358.6	187.7	52%	170.9	48%	261.7	91.5	35%	170.2	65%
2009	309.5	178.2	58%	131.3	42%	238.7	82.7	35%	156.0	65%
2010	283.9	164.2	58%	119.6	42%	206.8	60.3	29%	146.5	71%
2011	269.9	123.0	46%	146.8	54%	195.3	67.1	34%	128.3	66%
2012	251.0	90.0	36%	161.1	64%	249.1	108.8	44%	140.3	56%
2013	214.8	88.7	41%	126.1	59%	214.9	90.2	42%	124.8	58%
2014	198.5	88.9	45%	109.5	55%	197.4	70.8	36%	126.7	64%
2015	160.4	70.0	44%	90.4	56%	162.8	65.3	40%	97.6	60%
2016	105.5	52.2	49%	53.2	50%	107.3	40.5	38%	66.8	62%
2017	96.6	45.9	48%	50.7	52%	96.7	35.1	36%	61.6	64%
2018	82.3	40.7	49%	41.5	50%	82.3	28.1	34%	54.3	66%
2019	80.3	44.3	55%	36.3	45%	81.8	24.1	29%	57.7	71%
2020	70.0	37.6	54%	32.3	46%	66.9	16.8	25%	50.1	75%

Table 6. Alameda Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

-	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	259.1	173.6	67%	85.5	33%	251.7	147.8	59%	103.9	41%
2003	242.8	188.3	78%	54.6	22%	234.2	125.3	54%	108.8	46%
2004	248.7	200.1	80%	48.6	20%	244.3	131.9	54%	112.3	46%
2005	238.3	193.3	81%	45.1	19%	237.2	128.0	54%	109.2	46%
2006	246.9	186.0	75%	60.9	25%	206.2	94.8	46%	111.4	54%
2007	254.9	209.4	82%	45.5	18%	202.1	82.7	41%	119.4	59%
2008	278.0	187.7	68%	90.3	32%	179.5	70.6	39%	108.9	61%
2009	245.3	178.2	73%	67.1	27%	174.3	62.8	36%	111.5	64%
2010	230.8	164.2	71%	66.5	29%	153.7	45.3	30%	108.3	70%
2011	219.9	123.0	56%	96.8	44%	143.6	45.3	32%	98.3	68%
2012	200.9	90.0	45%	111.0	55%	199.6	88.2	44%	111.4	56%
2013	174.8	88.7	51%	86.1	49%	173.5	72.0	41%	101.5	59%
2014	160.7	88.9	55%	71.7	45%	159.8	62.4	39%	97.4	61%
2015	132.8	70.0	53%	62.8	47%	135.5	57.4	42%	78.1	58%
2016	87.1	52.2	60%	34.9	40%	88.0	34.1	39%	53.9	61%
2017	78.1	45.9	59%	32.3	41%	77.2	30.1	39%	47.1	61%
2018	66.3	40.7	61%	25.5	38%	65.3	23.7	36%	41.6	64%
2019	65.5	44.3	68%	21.4	33%	65.7	20.3	31%	45.4	69%
2020	52.0	37.6	72%	14.3	27%	48.6	14.7	30%	33.9	70%

Table 7. Alameda Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

Table 1.			Average Dail			oz unougn 2020		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	Camps/Rar	nches only	68.8	100%	77.0	40.8	53%	36.2	47%
2003		st-Disposit		74.8	100%	88.8	49.0	55%	39.8	45%
2004		therefore t		84.0	100%	101.9	51.8	51%	50.1	49%
2005			ed of Post-	74.9	100%	77.4	38.2	49%	39.3	51%
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	5.	76.6	100%	77.9	35.3	45%	42.7	55%
2007				70.8	100%	75.8	26.7	35%	49.2	65%
2008				80.6	100%	82.2	20.9	25%	61.3	75%
2009				64.3	100%	64.3	19.8	31%	44.5	69%
2010				53.1	100%	53.2	15.0	28%	38.2	72%
2011				50.0	100%	51.8	21.8	42%	29.9	58%
2012				50.1	100%	49.5	20.7	42%	28.8	58%
2013				40.0	100%	41.4	18.2	44%	23.3	56%
2014				37.8	100%	37.6	8.3	22%	29.3	78%
2015				27.6	100%	27.3	7.8	29%	19.5	71%
2016				18.4	100%	19.3	6.4	33%	12.9	67%
2017				18.5	100%	19.5	5.0	26%	14.5	74%
2018				16.0	100%	17.1	4.4	26%	12.7	74%
2019				14.8	100%	16.1	3.8	24%	12.3	76%
2020				18.0	100%	18.3	2.1	12%	16.2	88%

Figure 4.

Alameda ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 5.

Alameda Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 6.

Alameda Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



BUTTE COUNTY

Table 8. Butte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	58.8	45.1	77%	13.7	23%	59.0	27.3	46%	31.7	54%
2003	57.3	31.8	55%	25.5	45%	56.3	14.8	26%	41.4	74%
2004	57.5	32.7	57%	24.8	43%	57.5	3.2	6%	54.3	94%
2005	55.2	31.3	57%	23.8	43%	55.3	2.2	4%	53.1	96%
2006	57.7	31.7	55%	26.0	45%	57.7	1.3	2%	56.3	98%
2007	54.9	30.8	56%	24.1	44%	54.9	0.8	1%	54.2	99%
2008	51.9	30.9	60%	21.0	40%	51.9	0.9	2%	51.0	98%
2009	49.4	27.0	55%	22.4	45%	49.4	0.4	1%	49.0	99%
2010	50.5	25.5	50%	25.0	50%	51.3	1.6	3%	49.8	97%
2011	48.2	24.7	51%	23.5	49%	48.2	1.8	4%	46.3	96%
2012	43.6	21.4	49%	22.2	51%	43.6	5.7	13%	37.9	87%
2013	40.5	19.8	49%	20.7	51%	40.5	0.6	1%	39.9	99%
2014	32.9	12.3	37%	20.6	63%	33.3	1.1	3%	32.3	97%
2015	30.4	13.1	43%	17.3	57%	30.3	0.4	1%	29.8	99%
2016	27.1	9.6	35%	17.5	65%	27.4	0.2	1%	27.3	99%
2017	33.8	9.6	28%	24.2	72%	33.1	0.2	1%	32.9	99%
2018	27.0	7.6	28%	19.4	72%	25.2	0.1	0%	25.1	100%
2019	24.0	8.5	35%	15.5	65%	24.2	0.3	1%	23.9	99%
2020	19.7	5.0	25%	14.7	75%	20.4	0.0	0%	20.4	100%

Table 9. Butte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	58.8	45.1	77%	13.7	23%	59.0	27.3	46%	31.7	54%
2003	57.3	31.8	55%	25.5	45%	56.3	14.8	26%	41.4	74%
2004	57.5	32.7	57%	24.8	43%	57.5	3.2	6%	54.3	94%
2005	55.2	31.3	57%	23.8	43%	55.3	2.2	4%	53.1	96%
2006	57.7	31.7	55%	26.0	45%	57.7	1.3	2%	56.3	98%
2007	54.9	30.8	56%	24.1	44%	54.9	0.8	1%	54.2	99%
2008	51.9	30.9	60%	21.0	40%	51.9	0.9	2%	51.0	98%
2009	49.4	27.0	55%	22.4	45%	49.4	0.4	1%	49.0	99%
2010	50.5	25.5	50%	25.0	50%	51.3	1.6	3%	49.8	97%
2011	48.2	24.7	51%	23.5	49%	48.2	1.8	4%	46.3	96%
2012	43.6	21.4	49%	22.2	51%	43.6	5.7	13%	37.9	87%
2013	40.5	19.8	49%	20.7	51%	40.5	0.6	1%	39.9	99%
2014	26.2	12.3	47%	13.8	53%	26.6	1.1	4%	25.5	96%
2015	21.8	13.1	60%	8.7	40%	21.6	0.3	1%	21.3	99%
2016	18.3	9.6	52%	8.8	48%	18.7	0.2	1%	18.5	99%
2017	21.6	9.6	44%	12.0	56%	20.9	0.2	1%	20.8	99%
2018	19.7	7.6	39%	12.1	61%	18.5	0.1	0%	18.4	100%
2019	16.0	8.5	53%	7.5	47%	16.2	0.3	2%	15.9	98%
2020	12.1	5.0	41%	7.1	59%	13.0	0.0	0%	13.0	100%

Table 10. Butte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2014 through 20201

			Average Daily	/ Population	on			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only							
2003		st-Disposit								
2004		therefore t								
2005			ed of Post-							
2006		n juveniles								
2007										
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012										
2013					Butte C	ounty's Camp o	pened Jul	y 2014.		
2014 ²				13.5	100%	13.5	0.0	0%	13.5	100%
2015				8.7	100%	8.7	0.2	2%	8.5	98%
2016				8.8	100%	8.8	0.0	0%	8.8	100%
2017				12.2	100%	12.2	0.0	0%	12.2	100%
2018				7.3	100%	6.7	0.0	0%	6.7	100%
2019				8.0	100%	8.0	0.0	0%	8.0	100%
2020				7.6		7.4	0.0		7.4	100%
20044										

Figure 7.

Butte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 8.

Butte Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 9.

Butte Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



COLUSA COUNTY

Table 11. Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2011 1

			Average Daily	/ Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	•	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Can	nn/Ranche	es only	96.9	100%	97.3	8.6	9%	88.8	91%
2003	house Post-D	•	-	90.7	100%	90.8	12.8	14%	77.9	86%
2004	therefore the	•		76.6	100%	75.4	9.3	12%	66.1	88%
2005	comprised of		•	50.9	100%	51.3	6.3	12%	45.0	88%
2006	juveniles.			53.4	100%	54.3	7.7	14%	46.6	86%
2007	,			57.8	100%	63.0	12.1	19%	50.9	81%
2008				57.4	100%	57.5	5.4	9%	52.1	91%
2009				44.6	100%	48.6	3.4	7%	45.2	93%
2010				44.5	100%	44.7	2.3	5%	42.3	95%
2011				45.2	100%	45.3	2.0	4%	43.3	96%
			Co	lusa Coun	ty's Camp clo	sed June 2011				

Figure 10.
Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch ADP for 2002 through 2011 ¹





Figure 11.
Colusa Felony Population for the Juvenile Camp/Ranch as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2011 1



CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

Table 12. Contra Costa Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	227.5	74.4	33%	153.1	67%	241.1	84.8	35%	156.3	65%
2003	227.3	79.1	35%	148.2	65%	224.2	89.4	40%	134.8	60%
2004	224.9	81.2	36%	143.8	64%	217.3	73.8	34%	143.4	66%
2005	247.7	97.3	39%	150.3	61%	189.8	56.4	30%	133.4	70%
2006	276.5	132.8	48%	143.8	52%	198.4	59.8	30%	138.6	70%
2007	260.8	121.3	47%	139.5	53%	262.2	89.9	34%	172.3	66%
2008	263.9	110.2	42%	153.7	58%	264.6	84.2	32%	180.4	68%
2009	273.1	115.3	42%	157.6	58%	275.0	94.3	34%	180.7	66%
2010	292.4	107.5	37%	184.8	63%	289.9	87.4	30%	202.5	70%
2011	247.2	77.7	31%	169.4	69%	235.2	68.9	29%	166.3	71%
2012	233.5	79.3	34%	154.1	66%	232.9	62.3	27%	170.7	73%
2013	224.4	76.5	34%	147.9	66%	221.9	71.7	32%	150.3	68%
2014	224.7	76.1	34%	148.5	66%	217.5	52.8	24%	164.7	76%
2015	214.3	75.7	35%	138.6	65%	211.9	57.8	27%	154.2	73%
2016	171.6	54.3	32%	117.2	68%	168.5	52.6	31%	115.9	69%
2017	147.3	52.5	36%	94.8	64%	145.0	45.4	31%	99.6	69%
2018	149.7	55.3	37%	94.3	63%	149.2	35.9	24%	113.3	76%
2019	114.1	41.2	36%	72.9	64%	116.2	21.6	19%	94.6	81%
2020	82.7	28.3	34%	54.3	66%	85.7	10.1	12%	75.6	88%

Table 13. Contra Costa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot			
			Pre-Disposition		sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	135.8	74.4	55%	61.3	45%	149.2	56.8	38%	92.4	62%
2003	129.9	79.1	61%	50.8	39%	132.4	59.1	45%	73.3	55%
2004	139.8	81.2	58%	58.7	42%	131.3	49.3	38%	82.1	63%
2005	156.6	97.3	62%	59.3	38%	97.9	33.2	34%	64.8	66%
2006	179.8	132.8	74%	47.1	26%	101.5	28.1	28%	73.4	72%
2007	167.1	121.3	73%	45.8	27%	168.5	55.6	33%	112.9	67%
2008	164.0	110.2	67%	53.8	33%	164.9	53.6	32%	111.3	68%
2009	176.8	115.3	65%	61.4	35%	179.0	60.3	34%	118.7	66%
2010	193.3	107.5	56%	85.8	44%	191.0	55.9	29%	135.1	71%
2011	149.3	77.7	52%	71.6	48%	137.5	40.9	30%	96.6	70%
2012	144.0	79.3	55%	64.8	45%	143.3	41.1	29%	102.3	71%
2013	119.2	76.5	64%	42.7	36%	117.9	41.9	36%	76.0	64%
2014	121.0	76.1	63%	44.9	37%	113.9	28.3	25%	85.7	75%
2015	118.1	75.7	64%	42.4	36%	115.7	32.4	28%	83.3	72%
2016	86.3	54.3	63%	32.0	37%	84.0	31.6	38%	52.4	62%
2017	76.9	52.5	68%	24.4	32%	72.8	25.3	35%	47.5	65%
2018	75.9	55.3	73%	20.5	27%	74.4	21.4	29%	53.0	71%
2019	57.1	41.2	72%	15.9	28%	59.7	13.5	23%	46.2	77%
2020	36.2	28.3	78%	7.9	22%	38.6	4.3	11%	34.2	89%

Table 14. Contra Costa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Dail		n			One-Day S	napshot	hot	
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	luvenile (Samns/Rar	nches only	91.7	100%	91.9	28.1	31%	63.8	69%	
2003		Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition uveniles, therefore their Total			100%	91.8	30.3	33%	61.4	67%	
2004					100%	85.9	24.6	29%	61.3	71%	
2005			ed of Post-	91.1	100%	91.9	23.3	25%	68.7	75%	
2006		n juveniles		96.7	100%	96.9	31.8	33%	65.2	67%	
2007		· · · ·			100%	93.7	34.3	37%	59.3	63%	
2008					100%	99.7	30.6	31%	69.1	69%	
2009					100%	96.0	34.0	35%	62.0	65%	
2010				99.0	100%	98.9	31.5	32%	67.4	68%	
2011				97.9	100%	97.7	28.0	29%	69.7	71%	
2012				89.4	100%	89.6	21.2	24%	68.4	76%	
2013				105.2	100%	104.0	29.8	29%	74.3	71%	
2014				103.6	100%	103.6	24.6	24%	79.0	76%	
2015				96.2	100%	96.3	25.3	26%	70.9	74%	
2016				85.2	100%	84.5	21.0	25%	63.5	75%	
2017				70.4	100%	72.2	20.1	28%	52.1	72%	
2018				73.8	100%	74.8	14.5	19%	60.3	81%	
2019				57.0	100%	56.5	8.1	14%	48.4	86%	
2020				46.4	100%	47.1	5.8	12%	41.3	88%	

Figure 12.
Contra Costa ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 13.
Contra Costa Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 14.
Contra Costa Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



DEL NORTE COUNTY

Table 15. Del Norte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	41.4	5.5	13%	35.8	87%	41.4	8.3	20%	33.1	80%	
2003	44.1	5.9	13%	37.9	86%	43.8	13.9	32%	29.8	68%	
2004	40.8	6.2	15%	34.9	85%	41.8	15.8	38%	26.0	62%	
2005	40.2	6.8	17%	33.3	83%	39.3	14.2	36%	25.1	64%	
2006	38.3	6.5	17%	31.8	83%	36.9	13.5	37%	23.4	63%	
2007	37.8	6.2	17%	31.6	84%	28.3	3.3	11%	25.1	89%	
2008	40.6	6.8	17%	33.7	83%	30.3	2.5	8%	27.8	92%	
2009	32.6	5.8	18%	27.0	83%	25.8	3.1	12%	22.7	88%	
2010	36.0	5.4	15%	30.6	85%	34.0	10.3	30%	23.7	70%	
2011	31.9	6.2	19%	26.0	82%	31.3	6.6	21%	24.7	79%	
2012	34.2	6.1	18%	28.1	82%	35.4	10.3	29%	25.2	71%	
2013	31.7	5.2	16%	26.3	83%	32.6	9.3	29%	23.3	71%	
2014	26.7	4.3	16%	22.1	83%	24.6	6.4	26%	18.2	74%	
2015	31.7	5.4	17%	26.2	83%	31.4	3.8	12%	27.6	88%	
2016	20.6	3.6	18%	17.1	83%	20.9	5.8	27%	15.2	73%	
2017	12.0	4.0	33%	8.1	67%	12.2	5.8	47%	6.4	53%	
2018	9.0	4.1	45%	4.9	55%	8.6	4.0	47%	4.6	53%	
2019	11.0	4.9	44%	6.1	56%	10.8	3.4	32%	7.4	68%	
2020	6.1	2.6	42%	3.7	60%	7.0	5.2	75%	1.8	25%	

Table 16. Del Norte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot				
			Pre-Disposition		sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	13.6	5.5	40%	8.1	60%	12.6	8.3	66%	4.3	34%	
2003	18.1	5.9	32%	12.2	67%	18.0	13.9	77%	4.1	23%	
2004	18.2	6.2	34%	12.1	67%	19.4	15.8	82%	3.6	18%	
2005	17.5	6.8	39%	10.7	61%	16.4	14.2	86%	2.3	14%	
2006	15.3	6.5	42%	8.8	58%	16.0	13.5	84%	2.5	16%	
2007	14.8	6.2	42%	8.7	58%	5.3	3.3	62%	2.0	38%	
2008	14.9	6.8	46%	8.1	54%	4.8	2.5	52%	2.3	48%	
2009	11.3	5.8	51%	5.7	50%	4.5	3.1	69%	1.4	31%	
2010	11.7	5.4	46%	6.3	54%	12.1	10.3	86%	1.8	14%	
2011	12.3	6.2	50%	6.3	51%	11.3	6.5	57%	4.8	43%	
2012	12.1	6.1	51%	5.9	49%	12.7	9.7	76%	3.0	24%	
2013	8.8	5.2	59%	3.6	41%	10.0	8.8	88%	1.3	13%	
2014	9.8	4.3	45%	5.3	55%	7.7	4.8	63%	2.8	37%	
2015	8.5	5.4	63%	3.0	35%	8.2	3.5	43%	4.7	57%	
2016	8.2	3.6	44%	4.5	55%	7.9	5.8	73%	2.2	27%	
2017	8.3	4.0	48%	4.4	53%	8.5	5.4	64%	3.1	36%	
2018	9.0	4.1	45%	4.9	55%	8.6	4.0	47%	4.6	53%	
2019	11.0	4.9	44%	6.1	56%	10.8	3.4	32%	7.4	68%	
2020	6.1	2.6	42%	3.7	60%	7.0	5.2	75%	1.8	25%	

Table 17. Del Norte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2017¹

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapsh			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	27.7	100%	28.8	0.0	0%	28.8	100%
2003		st-Dispositi		25.8	100%	25.8	0.0	0%	25.8	100%
2004		therefore t		22.8	100%	22.4	0.0	0%	22.4	100%
2005			ed of Post-	22.6	100%	22.8	0.0	0%	22.8	100%
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		23.0	100%	20.9	0.0	0%	20.9	100%
2007				22.9	100%	23.1	0.0	0%	23.1	100%
2008				25.6	100%	25.5	0.0	0%	25.5	100%
2009				21.3	100%	21.3	0.0	0%	21.3	100%
2010				24.3	100%	21.9	0.0	0%	21.9	100%
2011				19.7	100%	19.9	0.1	0%	19.8	100%
2012				22.2	100%	22.8	0.6	3%	22.2	97%
2013				22.7	100%	22.6	0.6	3%	22.0	97%
2014				16.8	100%	16.9	1.6	9%	15.3	91%
2015				23.2	100%	23.3	0.3	1%	23.0	99%
2016				12.5	100%	13.0	0.0	0%	13.0	100%
2017				4.9	100%	4.8	0.4	9%	4.4	91%
				Del Norte	e's Camp clos	sed in Septembe	er 2017			

Figure 15.

Del Norte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 16.

Del Norte Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 17.

Pol Norto Folony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Persont of AMP for 200



EL DORADO COUNTY

Table 18. El Dorado Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	39.0	15.2	39%	23.8	61%	39.9	19.0	48%	20.9	52%
2003	38.8	14.8	38%	24.0	62%	37.8	20.1	53%	17.8	47%
2004	37.8	14.8	39%	23.1	61%	38.0	20.6	54%	17.4	46%
2005	41.1	13.0	32%	28.1	68%	41.6	25.9	62%	15.7	38%
2006	45.4	16.3	36%	29.1	64%	48.1	27.6	57%	20.5	43%
2007	47.2	15.1	32%	32.1	68%	51.4	29.4	57%	22.0	43%
2008	45.7	16.0	35%	29.7	65%	48.3	28.2	58%	20.1	42%
2009	54.4	21.4	39%	33.0	61%	54.5	32.0	59%	22.5	41%
2010	54.1	23.2	43%	30.9	57%	55.3	33.4	60%	21.8	40%
2011	50.4	18.1	36%	32.3	64%	49.8	35.3	71%	14.5	29%
2012	38.3	16.5	43%	21.8	57%	38.7	24.8	64%	13.9	36%
2013	35.2	15.8	45%	19.4	55%	35.3	25.6	72%	9.8	28%
2014	27.6	11.7	42%	15.9	58%	28.4	20.8	73%	7.7	27%
2015	26.3	15.3	58%	11.1	42%	25.6	17.5	68%	8.1	32%
2016	33.8	14.0	41%	19.8	59%	31.8	22.1	69%	9.8	31%
2017	31.4	18.3	58%	13.2	42%	31.7	21.3	67%	10.4	33%
2018	24.0	13.6	57%	10.3	43%	24.3	16.6	68%	7.7	32%
2019	18.2	10.3	57%	7.8	43%	19.3	11.8	61%	7.6	39%
2020	13.6	5.8	43%	7.8	57%	13.9	8.8	63%	5.1	37%

Table 19. El Dorado Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	39.0	15.2	39%	23.8	61%	39.9	19.0	48%	20.9	52%
2003	38.8	14.8	38%	24.0	62%	37.8	20.1	53%	17.8	47%
2004	37.8	14.8	39%	23.1	61%	38.0	20.6	54%	17.4	46%
2005	41.1	13.0	32%	28.1	68%	41.6	25.9	62%	15.7	38%
2006	37.9	16.3	43%	21.6	57%	40.6	23.5	58%	17.1	42%
2007	36.8	15.1	41%	21.8	59%	41.1	24.7	60%	16.4	40%
2008	36.3	16.0	44%	20.3	56%	38.7	24.9	64%	13.8	36%
2009	45.7	21.4	47%	24.3	53%	45.8	27.7	60%	18.2	40%
2010	46.3	23.2	50%	23.1	50%	47.1	29.1	62%	18.0	38%
2011	40.8	18.1	44%	22.8	56%	40.2	29.9	74%	10.3	26%
2012	31.5	16.5	52%	15.0	48%	31.4	19.8	63%	11.6	37%
2013	29.3	15.8	54%	13.5	46%	29.7	21.7	73%	8.0	27%
2014	20.3	11.7	57%	8.7	43%	21.2	14.8	70%	6.4	30%
2015	22.8	15.3	67%	7.5	33%	22.2	14.8	67%	7.4	33%
2016	25.4	14.0	55%	11.4	45%	22.9	14.2	62%	8.8	38%
2017	25.0	18.3	73%	6.8	27%	24.9	16.1	65%	8.8	35%
2018	19.4	13.6	70%	5.7	29%	19.3	13.1	68%	6.3	32%
2019	15.1	10.3	68%	4.8	32%	15.5	9.6	62%	5.9	38%
2020	12.7	5.8	46%	6.9	54%	12.9	8.3	65%	4.6	35%

Table 20. El Dorado Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

Disposition Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles. Disposition juveniles. 9.0	Table 20.			Average Daily			oz unougn zoz		One-Day S	Snapshot	
Disposition Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles. Disposition juveniles. P.0			Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
2003	Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
Nouse Post-Disposition Juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post- 2006 Disposition juveniles. 9.0 100% 9.0 4.9 54% 4.1 2007 2008 2008 2009 8.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 2010 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2017	2002	luvenile (Samns/Rar	nches only							
2004 juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-2006 Disposition juveniles. 9.0 100% 9.0 4.9 54% 4.1 10.3 100% 10.3 4.8 46% 5.6 10.3 100% 9.6 3.3 34% 6.3 3.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 3.8 100% 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 100% 10.3 4.9 68% 2.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 100% 10.3 10.3 100% 10.3 10.3 10.3 100% 10.3	2003		•	_							
2005 ADP is only comprised of Post-2006 El Dorado County's Camp opened March 2006. 2006 Disposition juveniles. 9.0 100% 9.0 4.9 54% 4.1 2007 10.3 100% 10.3 4.8 46% 5.6 2008 9.3 100% 9.6 3.3 34% 6.3 2009 8.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 2010 7.8 100% 8.2 4.3 53% 3.8 2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2004										
2006 Disposition juveniles. 9.0 100% 9.0 4.9 54% 4.1 2007 10.3 100% 10.3 4.8 46% 5.6 2008 9.3 100% 9.6 3.3 34% 6.3 2009 8.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 2010 7.8 100% 8.2 4.3 53% 3.8 2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2005				El Dor	ado County's	Camp opened	March 20	06.		
2007 10.3 100% 10.3 4.8 46% 5.6 2008 9.3 100% 9.6 3.3 34% 6.3 2009 8.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 2010 7.8 100% 8.2 4.3 53% 3.8 2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2006		•		9.0	100%	9.0	4.9	54%	4.1	46%
2009 8.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 2010 7.8 100% 8.2 4.3 53% 3.8 2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2007	·	•		10.3	100%	10.3	4.8	46%	5.6	54%
2009 8.8 100% 8.7 4.3 50% 4.3 2010 7.8 100% 8.2 4.3 53% 3.8 2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2008				9.3	100%	9.6	3.3	34%	6.3	66%
2010 7.8 100% 8.2 4.3 53% 3.8 2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2009				8.8	100%		4.3	50%	4.3	
2011 9.6 100% 9.7 5.4 56% 4.3 2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6						100%					
2012 6.8 100% 7.3 4.9 68% 2.3 2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2011				9.6	100%	9.7				
2013 5.9 100% 5.7 3.9 69% 1.8 2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6	2012				6.8	100%	7.3	4.9	68%	2.3	32%
2014 7.3 100% 7.3 6.0 83% 1.3 2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6					5.9	100%					
2015 3.6 100% 3.4 2.8 80% 0.7 2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6					7.3	100%					
2016 8.4 100% 8.9 7.9 89% 1.0 2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6											
2017 6.4 100% 6.8 5.2 77% 1.6											
	2018				4.6	100%	4.9	3.5		1.4	
2019 3.0 100% 3.8 2.2 57% 1.7											
2020 0.9 100% 1.0 0.4 44% 0.6											

Figure 18. El Dorado ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 19. El Dorado Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 20.

El Dorado Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



FRESNO COUNTY

Table 21. Fresno Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	474.3	148.5	31%	325.8	69%	475.8	150.0	32%	325.8	68%
2003	470.7	151.5	32%	319.2	68%	474.3	168.1	35%	306.3	65%
2004	474.8	159.1	34%	315.7	66%	474.5	191.4	40%	283.1	60%
2005	471.9	160.1	34%	311.8	66%	470.1	196.3	42%	273.8	58%
2006	494.7	141.4	29%	353.3	71%	491.0	199.7	41%	291.3	59%
2007	512.8	139.4	27%	373.4	73%	512.7	228.6	45%	284.1	55%
2008	504.8	139.6	28%	365.2	72%	515.2	223.9	43%	291.3	57%
2009	413.8	133.3	32%	280.6	68%	417.6	138.3	33%	279.3	67%
2010	355.3	130.8	37%	224.5	63%	358.0	112.3	31%	245.7	69%
2011	334.2	110.7	33%	223.5	67%	338.9	103.8	31%	235.1	69%
2012	315.6	109.5	35%	206.1	65%	318.5	82.1	26%	236.4	74%
2013	300.5	104.3	35%	196.3	65%	302.1	64.8	21%	237.3	79%
2014	298.8	101.4	34%	197.4	66%	302.0	70.8	23%	231.3	77%
2015	246.7	89.2	36%	157.5	64%	252.4	65.6	26%	186.8	74%
2016	224.2	91.7	41%	132.5	59%	220.8	50.6	23%	170.3	77%
2017	225.2	87.5	39%	137.7	61%	228.3	45.2	20%	183.1	80%
2018	218.1	79.2	36%	138.9	64%	219.7	38.0	17%	181.7	83%
2019	187.2	61.2	33%	126.0	67%	189.1	37.2	20%	151.9	80%
2020	128.2	54.7	43%	73.6	57%	134.1	21.6	16%	112.6	84%

Table 22. Fresno Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	287.8	148.5	52%	139.3	48%	289.9	91.9	32%	198.0	68%
2003	297.1	151.5	51%	145.6	49%	300.2	112.8	38%	187.4	62%
2004	303.8	159.1	52%	144.8	48%	305.3	131.7	43%	173.7	57%
2005	302.2	160.1	53%	142.1	47%	300.8	133.7	44%	167.2	56%
2006	268.2	141.4	53%	126.8	47%	263.3	107.1	41%	156.2	59%
2007	185.5	139.4	75%	46.1	25%	143.3	54.3	38%	89.0	62%
2008	191.2	139.6	73%	51.6	27%	146.1	46.5	32%	99.6	68%
2009	186.6	133.3	71%	53.3	29%	140.7	35.8	25%	104.9	75%
2010	165.5	130.8	79%	34.8	21%	137.0	32.0	23%	105.0	77%
2011	147.3	110.7	75%	36.6	25%	116.3	29.3	25%	87.0	75%
2012	140.4	109.5	78%	30.9	22%	114.1	25.3	22%	88.8	78%
2013	132.0	104.3	79%	27.8	21%	107.0	18.3	17%	88.7	83%
2014	133.1	101.4	76%	31.7	24%	106.4	21.6	20%	84.8	80%
2015	117.1	89.2	76%	27.9	24%	95.1	21.7	23%	73.4	77%
2016	117.4	91.7	78%	25.8	22%	91.6	20.5	22%	71.1	78%
2017	111.8	87.5	78%	24.3	22%	93.4	18.2	19%	75.3	81%
2018	104.3	79.2	76%	25.1	24%	86.5	14.3	16%	72.3	84%
2019	90.1	61.2	68%	28.9	32%	80.7	13.7	17%	67.0	83%
2020	70.0	54.7	78%	15.3	22%	63.8	9.7	15%	54.1	85%

Table 23. Fresno Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	186.5	100%	185.8	58.1	31%	127.8	69%
2003		st-Disposit		173.6	100%	174.2	55.3	32%	118.8	68%
2004		therefore t		170.9	100%	169.2	59.8	35%	109.4	65%
2005			ed of Post-	169.8	100%	169.3	62.6	37%	106.7	63%
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	3.	226.5	100%	227.8	92.6	41%	135.2	59%
2007				327.3	100%	369.4	174.3	47%	195.1	53%
2008				313.6	100%	369.1	177.4	48%	191.7	52%
2009				227.3	100%	276.9	102.6	37%	174.3	63%
2010				189.8	100%	221.0	80.3	36%	140.7	64%
2011				186.9	100%	222.6	74.5	33%	148.1	67%
2012				175.2	100%	204.4	56.8	28%	147.6	72%
2013				168.5	100%	195.1	46.4	24%	148.7	76%
2014				165.8	100%	195.6	49.2	25%	146.4	75%
2015				129.6	100%	157.3	43.9	28%	113.4	72%
2016				106.8	100%	129.3	30.1	23%	99.2	77%
2017				113.4	100%	134.8	27.0	20%	107.8	80%
2018				113.8	100%	133.2	23.8	18%	109.4	82%
2019				97.1	100%	108.4	23.5	22%	84.9	78%
2020					100%	70.3	11.9	17%	58.4	83%

Figure 21.
Fresno ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 22.
Fresno Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 23.
Fresno Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



GLENN COUNTY

Table 24. Glenn Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	12.3	7.2	59%	5.1	42%	12.8	8.6	67%	4.2	33%
2003	6.8	3.6	53%	3.1	47%	6.8	6.0	88%	0.8	12%
2004	17.7	8.7	49%	8.9	51%	16.8	10.9	65%	5.9	35%
2005	22.7	10.7	47%	12.1	53%	22.8	14.3	63%	8.4	37%
2006	19.1	8.4	44%	10.7	56%	19.5	12.7	65%	6.8	35%
2007	17.8	6.5	36%	11.4	64%	17.1	6.7	39%	10.4	61%
2008	16.8	8.2	49%	8.6	51%	16.8	3.3	19%	13.6	81%
2009	15.7	7.0	45%	8.6	55%	15.8	5.8	37%	10.0	63%
2010	15.1	8.4	55%	6.7	45%	15.1	7.9	52%	7.2	48%
2011	13.1	6.1	46%	6.9	53%	13.4	4.2	31%	9.3	69%
2012	11.2	5.6	50%	5.5	49%	10.2	5.0	49%	5.2	51%
2013	14.5	6.8	47%	7.7	53%	14.6	5.8	39%	8.8	61%
2014	11.8	4.3	37%	7.6	64%	11.6	6.1	53%	5.5	47%
2015	7.8	3.5	45%	4.1	53%	8.6	6.4	75%	2.2	25%
2016	7.8	3.1	39%	4.8	61%	8.3	6.7	80%	1.7	20%
2017	6.0	3.2	54%	2.8	46%	7.5	4.7	62%	2.8	38%
			Glenn Co	ounty close	ed their Juver	nile Hall in June	2017			

Figure 24. *Glenn ADP of Juvenile Hall Population 2002 through 2017* ¹




Figure 25.

Population of Glenn Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017 1





Figure 26. *Glenn Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2017* ¹



HUMBOLDT COUNTY

Table 25. Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	49.1	17.1	35%	32.0	65%	47.7	26.8	56%	20.9	44%
2003	40.7	15.7	39%	25.0	62%	40.4	21.5	53%	18.9	47%
2004	39.3	14.9	38%	24.4	62%	38.9	16.7	43%	22.3	57%
2005	40.1	14.2	35%	25.9	65%	39.5	15.3	39%	24.3	61%
2006	40.4	17.8	44%	22.6	56%	40.1	20.2	50%	19.9	50%
2007	37.8	16.8	44%	20.9	55%	37.3	14.8	40%	22.5	60%
2008	40.8	21.7	53%	19.2	47%	40.6	15.6	38%	25.0	62%
2009	38.7	20.3	52%	18.3	47%	37.6	26.7	71%	10.9	29%
2010	33.4	15.8	47%	17.6	53%	33.3	25.9	78%	7.3	22%
2011	30.8	18.8	61%	11.7	38%	30.1	19.7	65%	10.4	35%
2012	29.6	18.3	62%	11.3	38%	27.9	19.2	69%	8.8	31%
2013	27.5	13.9	51%	13.4	49%	26.8	18.9	71%	7.8	29%
2014	26.6	14.9	56%	11.5	43%	25.7	18.8	73%	6.9	27%
2015	24.0	13.9	58%	10.2	42%	23.8	20.8	88%	2.9	12%
2016	24.4	11.6	47%	12.6	51%	23.8	17.8	75%	5.9	25%
2017	18.5	15.9	86%	2.7	15%	18.3	11.8	65%	6.4	35%
2018	20.6	19.7	95%	0.8	4%	20.1	14.0	70%	6.1	30%
2019	16.2	15.3	95%	0.9	6%	16.2	8.3	51%	7.9	49%
2020	12.3	12.3	100%	0.2	1%	10.9	4.1	38%	6.8	62%

Figure 27.

Humboldt ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 28.

Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 29.

Humboldt Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



IMPERIAL COUNTY

Table 26. Imperial Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	•	Α	verage Daily	Populatio	on S			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	43.6	29.9	69%	13.5	31%	44.7	0.0	0%	44.7	100%
2003	43.2	30.8	71%	12.4	29%	43.8	0.0	0%	43.8	100%
2004	42.7	29.9	70%	12.8	30%	44.5	0.0	0%	44.5	100%
2005	45.9	31.4	68%	14.5	32%	40.5	0.0	0%	40.5	100%
2006	47.1	35.9	76%	11.2	24%	47.8	0.0	0%	47.8	100%
2007	46.7	33.0	71%	13.8	29%	47.3	0.0	0%	47.3	100%
2008	30.8	24.5	79%	6.3	21%	29.3	0.3	1%	29.0	99%
2009	27.5	21.5	78%	5.8	21%	25.9	0.1	0%	25.8	100%
2010	27.5	22.2	81%	5.3	19%	26.8	0.0	0%	26.8	100%
2011	20.6	15.0	73%	5.3	26%	20.1	5.4	27%	14.7	73%
2012	14.5	9.1	62%	5.4	37%	13.5	6.6	49%	6.9	51%
2013	17.1	13.5	79%	3.6	21%	16.6	6.8	41%	9.8	59%
2014	12.2	8.8	72%	3.4	28%	12.6	6.2	49%	6.4	51%
2015	11.4	8.1	71%	3.3	29%	11.9	5.7	48%	6.3	52%
2016	11.8	8.2	69%	3.7	31%	11.5	6.4	56%	5.1	44%
2017	14.0	9.9	71%	4.1	29%	13.6	6.4	47%	7.2	53%
2018	21.3	14.8	70%	6.5	30%	20.7	6.2	30%	14.5	70%
2019	15.0	11.2	74%	3.7	25%	14.5	5.4	37%	9.1	63%
2020	5.4	4.0	74%	1.4	25%	5.2	2.3	45%	2.9	55%

Figure 30. Imperial ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 31. Imperial Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 32.

Imperial Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



INYO COUNTY

Table 27. Inyo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2016

		A	verage Daily	Population	on			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	6.3	2.6	41%	3.7	59%	6.0	3.8	64%	2.2	36%
2003	9.5	3.9	41%	5.6	59%	10.0	7.8	78%	2.2	22%
2004	11.4	4.9	43%	6.5	57%	11.6	7.7	66%	3.9	34%
2005	10.8	3.6	33%	7.2	66%	11.1	6.8	61%	4.3	39%
2006	10.3	3.4	33%	6.9	67%	10.2	7.6	75%	2.6	25%
2007	9.9	2.8	29%	7.1	71%	10.0	8.6	86%	1.4	14%
2008	10.9	4.9	45%	6.0	55%	11.6	8.6	74%	3.0	26%
2009	11.1	4.3	38%	6.8	62%	11.4	8.8	77%	2.6	23%
2010	11.8	4.3	37%	7.5	63%	12.4	9.1	74%	3.3	26%
2011	7.6	3.8	50%	3.8	50%	7.2	3.4	47%	3.8	53%
2012	6.7	2.9	44%	3.8	56%	6.6	2.7	41%	3.9	59%
2013	6.5	4.0	62%	2.5	38%	6.0	2.2	37%	3.8	63%
2014	4.6	2.2	48%	2.4	51%	4.7	2.6	55%	2.1	45%
2015	3.8	2.1	54%	1.7	46%	3.9	3.1	80%	0.8	20%
2016	2.3	1.9	82%	0.4	18%	2.3	1.2	52%	1.1	48%
	1	nvo Count	ty converted	their luve	nila Hall ta a	Special Purpos	o luvonilo	Hall in Janua	any 20171	

Inyo County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in January 2017

Note. ¹ Inyo County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in January 2017. A SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data provided for SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 33. Inyo ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2016






Figure 34.

Population of Inyo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2016







KERN COUNTY

Table 28. Kern Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	384.2	58.5	15%	325.7	85%	387.3	180.2	47%	207.2	53%
2003	379.7	53.2	14%	326.1	86%	380.8	177.3	47%	203.6	53%
2004	392.8	62.4	16%	330.2	84%	391.5	207.3	53%	184.3	47%
2005	379.0	55.6	15%	323.0	85%	378.3	183.9	49%	194.3	51%
2006	404.2	64.6	16%	339.3	84%	412.5	154.4	37%	258.1	63%
2007	437.2	71.1	16%	365.7	84%	437.3	141.8	32%	295.6	68%
2008	449.9	71.1	16%	378.7	84%	446.6	175.5	39%	271.1	61%
2009	401.9	64.6	16%	336.9	84%	396.3	175.0	44%	221.3	56%
2010	385.7	75.9	20%	309.8	80%	376.3	138.8	37%	237.6	63%
2011	377.3	61.5	16%	315.7	84%	376.3	122.8	33%	253.4	67%
2012	350.9	52.0	15%	298.7	85%	344.8	131.7	38%	213.1	62%
2013	342.0	51.4	15%	290.6	85%	379.3	176.8	47%	202.4	53%
2014	338.6	46.9	14%	291.6	86%	345.5	170.7	49%	174.8	51%
2015	300.1	49.7	17%	250.1	83%	301.4	178.8	59%	122.7	41%
2016	251.6	42.5	17%	208.8	83%	259.2	150.3	58%	108.9	42%
2017	259.0	55.5	21%	203.3	78%	279.0	152.8	55%	126.3	45%
2018	253.7	56.6	22%	197.0	78%	253.1	122.4	48%	130.6	52%
2019	226.8	49.1	22%	177.8	78%	230.0	105.8	46%	124.3	54%
2020	171.6	48.3	28%	123.4	72%	173.2	64.3	37%	108.9	63%

Table 29. Kern Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	121.8	58.5	48%	63.4	52%	123.4	79.9	65%	43.5	35%
2003	105.1	53.2	51%	51.8	49%	105.3	62.1	59%	43.2	41%
2004	125.7	62.4	50%	63.3	50%	126.5	88.8	70%	37.7	30%
2005	136.2	55.6	41%	80.4	59%	135.8	90.0	66%	45.8	34%
2006	148.3	64.6	44%	83.5	56%	155.9	65.2	42%	90.8	58%
2007	159.7	71.1	45%	88.5	55%	161.5	64.3	40%	97.2	60%
2008	156.9	71.1	45%	85.9	55%	156.3	71.4	46%	84.9	54%
2009	155.0	64.6	42%	90.3	58%	152.5	67.3	44%	85.3	56%
2010	154.5	75.9	49%	78.7	51%	141.9	53.6	38%	88.3	62%
2011	140.7	61.5	44%	79.2	56%	139.2	45.3	33%	93.8	67%
2012	114.8	52.0	45%	62.6	55%	113.3	38.8	34%	74.5	66%
2013	103.7	51.4	50%	52.3	50%	131.4	51.9	40%	79.5	60%
2014	92.0	46.9	51%	45.1	49%	98.2	42.5	43%	55.7	57%
2015	83.4	49.7	60%	33.6	40%	85.1	45.9	54%	39.2	46%
2016	67.3	42.5	63%	24.6	37%	68.7	33.3	49%	35.3	51%
2017	75.6	55.5	73%	20.0	26%	87.6	36.3	41%	51.3	59%
2018	82.2	56.6	69%	25.6	31%	79.9	30.3	38%	49.6	62%
2019	76.5	49.1	64%	27.5	36%	77.9	29.3	38%	48.7	62%
2020	70.7	48.3	68%	22.5	32%	73.7	18.0	24%	55.7	76%

Table 30. Kern Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Camps/Ranches only			262.3	100%	263.9	100.3	38%	163.7	62%
2003		house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles.			100%	275.6	115.2	42%	160.4	58%
2004					100%	265.0	118.4	45%	146.6	55%
2005	•				100%	242.5	93.9	39%	148.6	61%
2006					100%	256.6	89.3	35%	167.3	65%
2007					100%	275.8	77.4	28%	198.4	72%
2008					100%	290.3	104.1	36%	186.2	64%
2009					100%	243.8	107.8	44%	136.1	56%
2010					100%	234.4	85.2	36%	149.3	64%
2011					100%	237.1	77.5	33%	159.6	67%
2012					100%	231.5	92.9	40%	138.6	60%
2013				238.3	100%	247.8	124.9	50%	122.9	50%
2014				246.5	100%	247.3	128.2	52%	119.2	48%
2015				216.5	100%	216.3	132.8	61%	83.5	39%
2016				184.2	100%	190.5	116.9	61%	73.6	39%
2017				183.3	100%	191.4	116.4	61%	75.0	39%
2018				171.4	100%	173.1	92.1	53%	81.1	47%
2019				150.3	100%	152.1	76.5	50%	75.6	50%
2020				100.8	100%	99.6	46.3	47%	53.2	53%

Figure 36. Kern ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 37.

Kern Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 38. Kern Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



KINGS COUNTY

Table 31. Kings Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	104.1	23.1	22%	80.9	78%	105.1	61.5	59%	43.6	41%
2003	105.0	22.0	21%	82.9	79%	104.4	53.8	52%	50.6	48%
2004	101.6	22.2	22%	79.4	78%	98.5	44.0	45%	54.5	55%
2005	93.8	24.3	26%	69.4	74%	94.7	43.0	45%	51.7	55%
2006	90.4	23.8	26%	66.8	74%	89.3	45.8	51%	43.5	49%
2007	83.7	20.5	25%	63.1	75%	83.9	53.5	64%	30.4	36%
2008	88.6	22.8	26%	65.6	74%	89.8	72.2	80%	17.6	20%
2009	84.8	24.0	28%	60.8	72%	91.7	79.7	87%	12.0	13%
2010	74.9	24.6	33%	50.4	67%	75.3	63.8	85%	11.4	15%
2011	86.6	28.8	33%	57.8	67%	92.3	58.8	64%	33.5	36%
2012	73.8	26.4	36%	47.5	64%	73.8	10.6	14%	63.3	86%
2013	62.8	26.6	42%	36.1	58%	64.0	11.4	18%	52.6	82%
2014	51.8	26.5	51%	25.4	49%	48.4	3.6	7%	44.8	93%
2015	44.4	24.8	56%	19.6	44%	40.8	2.5	6%	38.3	94%
2016	52.8	24.5	46%	28.4	54%	52.7	9.9	19%	42.8	81%
2017	39.9	23.9	60%	15.9	40%	41.0	6.3	15%	34.7	85%
2018	33.8	18.1	54%	15.7	46%	32.9	2.6	8%	30.3	92%
2019	29.1	14.9	51%	14.2	49%	30.5	4.8	16%	25.7	84%
2020	25.1	13.1	52%	12.0	48%	22.9	2.2	10%	20.7	90%

Table 32. Kings Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	on	One-Day Snapshot					
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	56.8	23.1	41%	33.7	59%	57.7	26.3	46%	31.4	54%
2003	58.6	22.0	38%	36.4	62%	57.8	24.1	42%	33.8	58%
2004	59.8	22.2	37%	37.7	63%	59.8	25.3	42%	34.4	58%
2005	60.6	24.3	40%	36.3	60%	60.2	23.9	40%	36.3	60%
2006	60.2	23.8	39%	36.4	61%	60.2	29.2	48%	31.0	52%
2007	61.2	20.5	34%	40.6	66%	62.1	37.4	60%	24.7	40%
2008	59.4	22.8	38%	36.5	62%	59.7	47.7	80%	12.0	20%
2009	57.4	24.0	42%	33.4	58%	63.1	55.8	88%	7.3	12%
2010	37.1	24.6	66%	12.5	34%	36.8	34.8	95%	2.0	5%
2011	40.6	28.8	71%	11.8	29%	41.0	25.1	61%	15.9	39%
2012	35.3	26.4	75%	9.0	26%	35.2	3.8	11%	31.4	89%
2013	38.2	26.6	70%	11.6	30%	38.2	5.1	13%	33.1	87%
2014	35.7	26.5	74%	9.1	26%	32.7	2.3	7%	30.3	93%
2015	29.8	24.8	83%	5.0	17%	26.6	1.8	7%	24.7	93%
2016	36.8	24.5	67%	12.4	34%	37.3	7.1	19%	30.2	81%
2017	29.1	23.9	82%	5.1	18%	29.8	3.6	12%	26.3	88%
2018	22.9	18.1	79%	4.9	21%	23.3	1.8	8%	21.4	92%
2019	23.2	14.9	64%	8.3	36%	24.2	4.0	17%	20.2	83%
2020	18.1	13.1	72%	5.0	28%	16.6	1.4	9%	15.1	91%

Table 33. Kings Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (Samns/Rar	nches only	47.2	100%	47.4	35.3	74%	12.2	26%
2003		st-Disposit		46.5	100%	46.6	29.8	64%	16.8	36%
2004		therefore t		41.8	100%	38.8	18.7	48%	20.1	52%
2005			ed of Post-	33.2	100%	34.5	19.1	55%	15.4	45%
2006		n juveniles		30.3	100%	29.2	16.7	57%	12.5	43%
2007		_		22.5	100%	21.8	16.1	74%	5.8	26%
2008				29.1	100%	30.1	24.5	81%	5.6	19%
2009				27.4	100%	28.6	23.9	84%	4.7	16%
2010				37.9	100%	38.4	29.0	75%	9.4	25%
2011				46.0	100%	51.3	33.8	66%	17.6	34%
2012				38.5	100%	38.7	6.8	18%	31.8	82%
2013				24.5	100%	25.8	6.3	25%	19.5	75%
2014				16.2	100%	15.8	1.3	8%	14.5	92%
2015				14.6	100%	14.3	0.7	5%	13.6	95%
2016				16.0	100%	15.4	2.8	18%	12.6	82%
2017				10.8	100%	11.2	2.8	25%	8.4	75%
2018				10.8	100%	9.7	0.8	8%	8.9	92%
2019				5.9	100%	6.4	0.8	13%	5.5	87%
2020				7.0	100%	6.3	0.8	12%	5.6	88%

Figure 39.

Kings ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 40.

Kings Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 41.

Kings Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



LAKE COUNTY

Table 34. Lake Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2015

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	30.2	16.8	56%	13.4	44%	17.4	7.5	43%	9.9	57%
2003	35.2	14.8	42%	20.3	58%	18.3	7.5	41%	10.8	59%
2004	35.8	15.8	44%	20.0	56%	20.6	9.5	46%	11.1	54%
2005	35.2	14.9	42%	20.3	58%	23.9	9.8	41%	14.2	59%
2006	38.5	16.3	42%	22.2	58%	27.1	12.0	44%	15.1	56%
2007	38.4	16.5	43%	21.9	57%	27.6	12.9	47%	14.7	53%
2008	32.0	11.4	36%	20.6	64%	19.3	11.1	58%	8.2	42%
2009	21.0	9.8	47%	11.2	53%	14.9	6.6	44%	8.3	56%
2010	14.3	6.4	45%	7.9	55%	6.8	2.6	38%	4.3	62%
2011	12.4	5.7	46%	6.8	54%	6.6	3.8	58%	2.8	42%
2012	17.0	9.8	57%	7.3	43%	11.4	3.8	34%	7.6	66%
2013	19.6	9.1	46%	10.5	54%	11.5	4.4	38%	7.1	62%
2014	13.7	7.8	57%	5.8	43%	7.5	5.0	67%	2.5	33%
2015	9.5	6.1	64%	3.4	36%	5.2	3.3	63%	1.9	37%
			Lake Coul	nty closed	their Juvenil	e Hall in Octobe	er 2015			

Figure 42. *Lake ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2015* ¹






Figure 43.

Population of Lake Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2015¹







LASSEN COUNTY

Table 35. Lassen Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	21.1	15.0	71%	6.1	29%	20.4	7.4	36%	13.0	64%
2003	15.9	13.3	84%	2.6	16%	15.4	5.6	36%	9.8	64%
2004	14.3	11.8	83%	2.5	18%	13.7	2.4	18%	11.3	82%
2005	14.1	12.0	85%	2.1	15%	11.4	6.5	57%	4.9	43%
2006	14.1	11.5	81%	2.6	18%	13.3	10.4	78%	2.9	22%
2007	18.2	12.8	70%	5.4	30%	18.1	12.4	69%	5.7	31%
2008	16.5	6.1	37%	10.4	63%	14.9	8.6	58%	6.3	42%
2009	8.6	5.1	59%	3.5	41%	9.3	6.8	73%	2.5	27%
2010	10.3	6.1	59%	4.2	41%	10.4	6.2	60%	4.2	40%
2011	11.0	5.4	49%	5.6	51%	11.0	5.2	47%	5.8	53%
2012	8.3	3.0	36%	5.3	64%	8.3	4.3	52%	4.0	48%
2013	9.2	2.4	26%	6.8	74%	9.2	4.1	45%	5.1	55%
2014	10.1	3.8	38%	6.3	62%	10.1	0.8	8%	9.3	92%
2015	8.8	7.3	83%	1.5	17%	8.2	5.8	70%	2.4	30%
2016	5.3	5.3	100%	0.0	0%	5.3	5.3	100%	0.0	0%
2017	4.2	4.2	100%	0.0	0%	4.3	4.3	100%	0.0	0%
2018	3.4	3.0	88%	0.4	12%	3.6	3.3	91%	0.3	9%
2019	3.0	0.2	6%	2.8	94%	1.5	0.3	22%	1.2	78%

Figure 45. *Lassen ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2019* ¹




Figure 46. Population of Lassen Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 47.



LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Table 36. Los Angeles Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Δ	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	3,686.3	892.3	24%	2,793.9	76%	3,669.4	819.4	22%	2,850.0	78%
2003	3,728.8	903.2	24%	2,825.6	76%	3,694.3	771.3	21%	2,923.1	79%
2004	3,528.0	979.8	28%	2,548.2	72%	3,534.2	694.9	20%	2,839.3	80%
2005	3,540.6	1,071.8	30%	2,468.8	70%	3,515.6	696.5	20%	2,819.1	80%
2006	3,437.3	1,137.8	33%	2,299.4	67%	3,377.7	596.9	18%	2,780.8	82%
2007	3,276.3	1,110.2	34%	2,166.0	66%	3,171.3	582.5	18%	2,588.8	82%
2008	3,161.8	1,056.8	33%	2,105.0	67%	3,167.3	576.4	18%	2,590.9	82%
2009	2,982.6	981.1	33%	2,005.7	67%	2,989.0	531.3	18%	2,457.8	82%
2010	2,569.8	747.8	29%	1,822.0	71%	2,605.3	633.5	24%	1,971.8	76%
2011	2,294.8	646.8	28%	1,648.0	72%	2,303.4	598.9	26%	1,704.5	74%
2012	2,057.8	547.3	27%	1,510.5	73%	2,053.8	549.0	27%	1,504.8	73%
2013	1,753.1	396.7	23%	1,356.4	77%	1,727.4	492.0	28%	1,235.4	72%
2014	1,440.3	373.8	26%	1,066.6	74%	1,473.3	409.3	28%	1,063.9	72%
2015	1,310.8	391.0	30%	919.8	70%	1,366.0	407.9	30%	958.1	70%
2016	1,169.0	367.3	31%	801.6	69%	1,201.3	329.2	27%	872.1	73%
2017	1,129.8	362.8	32%	766.9	68%	1,122.3	318.9	28%	803.3	72%
2018	956.7	324.1	34%	632.4	66%	963.6	256.0	27%	707.6	73%
2019	876.6	369.3	42%	507.2	58%	888.4	224.1	25%	664.3	75%
2020	628.2	276.2	44%	352.0	56%	647.9	131.8	20%	516.1	80%

Table 37. Los Angeles Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	1,614.5	892.3	55%	722.2	45%	1,595.0	305.8	19%	1,289.2	81%
2003	1,625.3	903.2	56%	722.1	44%	1,586.2	275.3	17%	1,310.9	83%
2004	1,557.8	979.8	63%	578.0	37%	1,550.0	299.3	19%	1,250.8	81%
2005	1,656.0	1,071.8	65%	584.2	35%	1,635.0	334.5	20%	1,300.5	80%
2006	1,722.8	1,137.8	66%	584.9	34%	1,715.6	351.6	20%	1,364.0	80%
2007	1,683.8	1,110.2	66%	573.7	34%	1,650.8	350.1	21%	1,300.8	79%
2008	1,578.3	1,056.8	67%	521.5	33%	1,575.3	360.8	23%	1,214.5	77%
2009	1,475.2	981.1	67%	494.1	33%	1,473.7	351.4	24%	1,122.3	76%
2010	1,260.5	747.8	59%	512.8	41%	1,299.7	486.0	37%	813.7	63%
2011	1,125.1	646.8	57%	478.3	43%	1,140.1	467.2	41%	672.9	59%
2012	980.1	547.3	56%	432.8	44%	987.8	416.2	42%	571.6	58%
2013	897.4	396.7	44%	500.8	56%	893.6	383.8	43%	509.8	57%
2014	802.4	373.8	47%	428.7	53%	795.1	343.8	43%	451.3	57%
2015	734.9	391.0	53%	343.9	47%	748.0	337.8	45%	410.3	55%
2016	657.3	367.3	56%	290.0	44%	662.3	287.7	43%	374.7	57%
2017	702.5	362.8	52%	339.7	48%	701.1	274.3	39%	426.8	61%
2018	638.0	324.1	51%	313.9	49%	644.6	238.4	37%	406.2	63%
2019	588.3	369.3	63%	219.0	37%	595.5	205.7	35%	389.8	65%
2020	396.2	276.2	70%	120.0	30%	408.6	124.0	30%	284.6	70%

Table 38. Los Angeles Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot			
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	Juvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	2,071.8	100%	2,074.4	513.6	25%	1,560.8	75%		
2003		st-Disposit		2,103.5	100%	2,108.2	496.0	24%	1,612.2	76%		
2004		therefore t		1,970.2	100%	1,984.2	395.7	20%	1,588.5	80%		
2005			ed of Post-	1,884.6	100%	1,880.6	362.0	19%	1,518.6	81%		
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	3.	1,714.5	100%	1,662.1	245.3	15%	1,416.8	85%		
2007				1,592.3	100%	1,520.4	232.4	15%	1,288.0	85%		
2008				1,583.5	100%	1,592.0	215.6	14%	1,376.4	86%		
2009				1,511.6	100%	1,515.3	179.8	12%	1,335.5	88%		
2010				1,309.2	100%	1,305.6	147.5	11%	1,158.1	89%		
2011				1,169.8	100%	1,163.3	131.8	11%	1,031.6	89%		
2012				1,077.8	100%	1,066.1	132.8	12%	933.3	88%		
2013				855.7	100%	833.8	108.2	13%	725.7	87%		
2014				637.9	100%	678.2	65.5	10%	612.7	90%		
2015				575.8	100%	618.0	70.2	11%	547.8	89%		
2016						511.6	100%	538.9	41.5	8%	497.4	92%
2017				427.3	100%	421.2	44.7	11%	376.5	89%		
2018					100%	319.0	17.6	6%	301.4	94%		
2019					100%	292.9	18.4	6%	274.5	94%		
2020				232.0	100%	239.3	7.8	3%	231.6	97%		

Figure 48.
Los Angeles ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 49.

Los Angeles Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 50.

Los Angeles Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



MADERA COUNTY

Table 39. Madera Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	91.4	20.4	22%	71.0	78%	89.2	38.0	43%	51.2	57%
2003	83.0	21.9	26%	61.0	74%	83.7	42.1	50%	41.6	50%
2004	75.3	20.9	28%	54.3	72%	75.9	34.2	45%	41.8	55%
2005	76.7	21.8	28%	54.7	71%	77.0	38.6	50%	38.4	50%
2006	82.8	26.0	31%	56.8	69%	82.2	41.3	50%	40.9	50%
2007	73.3	21.6	29%	51.6	70%	73.4	28.9	39%	44.5	61%
2008	75.8	22.6	30%	53.1	70%	75.8	26.8	35%	49.0	65%
2009	73.4	29.8	41%	43.6	59%	73.2	18.4	25%	54.8	75%
2010	53.5	26.5	50%	27.1	51%	53.3	16.3	30%	37.1	70%
2011	46.6	21.8	47%	24.8	53%	46.3	14.9	32%	31.4	68%
2012	51.2	21.2	41%	29.9	59%	51.3	16.2	31%	35.2	69%
2013	56.8	25.1	44%	31.8	56%	56.9	18.1	32%	38.8	68%
2014	54.2	21.1	39%	32.9	61%	54.2	20.6	38%	33.6	62%
2015	44.1	17.8	40%	26.3	60%	44.2	26.4	60%	17.8	40%
2016	43.8	17.0	39%	26.8	61%	43.8	24.4	56%	19.3	44%
2017	48.6	16.8	34%	31.8	66%	48.8	26.5	54%	22.3	46%
2018	43.4	16.0	37%	27.4	63%	43.4	17.9	41%	25.5	59%
2019	39.8	13.8	35%	25.9	65%	39.8	19.8	50%	20.0	50%
2020	24.1	11.0	46%	13.1	54%	24.8	15.3	62%	9.4	38%

Table 40. Madera Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	45.3	20.4	45%	24.9	55%	43.3	20.1	46%	23.2	54%
2003	39.4	21.9	56%	17.5	44%	39.7	21.4	54%	18.3	46%
2004	39.6	20.9	53%	18.7	47%	39.6	18.8	48%	20.8	52%
2005	37.4	21.8	58%	15.6	42%	37.4	20.2	54%	17.3	46%
2006	43.2	26.0	60%	17.2	40%	42.9	30.0	70%	12.9	30%
2007	40.7	21.6	53%	19.1	47%	40.7	14.8	36%	25.8	64%
2008	36.6	22.6	62%	14.0	38%	36.6	9.4	26%	27.2	74%
2009	45.1	29.8	66%	15.3	34%	45.1	10.8	24%	34.3	76%
2010	34.4	26.5	77%	7.9	23%	34.3	11.1	32%	23.3	68%
2011	27.2	21.8	80%	5.3	20%	27.2	8.7	32%	18.5	68%
2012	28.4	21.2	74%	7.3	26%	28.4	8.4	30%	20.0	70%
2013	31.3	25.1	80%	6.2	20%	31.1	6.8	22%	24.3	78%
2014	30.0	21.1	70%	8.9	30%	30.0	10.1	34%	19.9	66%
2015	26.5	17.8	67%	8.8	33%	26.5	15.2	57%	11.3	43%
2016	29.3	17.0	58%	12.3	42%	29.3	15.2	52%	14.2	48%
2017	27.5	16.8	61%	10.8	39%	27.5	15.3	55%	12.3	45%
2018	25.9	16.0	62%	9.9	38%	25.9	11.9	46%	14.0	54%
2019	26.3	13.8	53%	12.5	47%	26.3	14.1	53%	12.3	47%
2020	17.2	11.0	64%	6.2	36%	17.9	10.3	58%	7.6	42%

Table 41. Madera Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n	<u>-</u>		One-Day S	napshot								
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony							
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP							
2002	luvenile (amns/Rar	nches only	46.0	100%	45.9	17.9	39%	28.0	61%							
2003		st-Disposit		43.5	100%	44.0	20.7	47%	23.3	53%							
2004		therefore t		35.6	100%	36.3	15.3	42%	21.0	58%							
2005			ed of Post-	39.1	100%	39.6	18.4	47%	21.2	53%							
2006		n juveniles		39.6	100%	39.3	11.3	29%	28.0	71%							
2007		•		32.5	100%	32.8	14.1	43%	18.7	57%							
2008				39.1	100%	39.3	17.4	44%	21.8	56%							
2009				28.3	100%	28.1	7.6	27%	20.5	73%							
2010				19.2	100%	19.0	5.2	27%	13.8	73%							
2011				19.5	100%	19.2	6.3	33%	12.9	67%							
2012				22.7	100%	22.9	7.8	34%	15.2	66%							
2013				25.7	100%	25.8	11.3	44%	14.5	56%							
2014				24.0	100%	24.2	10.5	43%	13.7	57%							
2015											17.6	100%	17.7	11.3	64%	6.4	36%
2016				14.4	100%	14.4	9.3	64%	5.2	36%							
2017				21.1	100%	21.3	11.3	53%	10.0	47%							
2018				17.5	100%	17.5	6.0	34%	11.5	66%							
2019				13.4	100%	13.4	5.7	42%	7.8	58%							
2020					100%	6.9	5.0	73%	1.9	27%							

Figure 51.

Madera ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 52.

Madera Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 53.

Madera Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



MARIN COUNTY

Table 42. Marin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	22.2	10.8	49%	11.3	51%	22.0	14.3	65%	7.7	35%
2003	22.8	12.6	55%	10.0	44%	22.8	15.5	68%	7.3	32%
2004	25.8	12.4	48%	13.3	52%	25.3	15.8	63%	9.4	37%
2005	29.9	16.1	54%	13.8	46%	30.9	15.8	51%	15.2	49%
2006	25.8	15.1	59%	10.6	41%	25.3	12.2	48%	13.2	52%
2007	24.8	14.3	57%	10.5	42%	24.8	12.3	50%	12.5	50%
2008	25.3	12.2	48%	13.2	52%	25.3	13.2	52%	12.2	48%
2009	22.3	8.8	39%	13.4	60%	23.8	15.4	65%	8.3	35%
2010	20.8	8.3	40%	12.3	59%	23.7	15.3	64%	8.4	36%
2011	16.1	7.1	44%	8.9	55%	18.6	9.2	49%	9.4	51%
2012	12.5	5.1	41%	7.5	60%	13.6	8.9	66%	4.7	34%
2013	10.8	3.8	35%	7.0	65%	11.4	7.4	65%	4.0	35%
2014	8.3	3.2	39%	5.0	61%	8.8	6.3	71%	2.6	29%
2015	13.8	6.8	49%	7.1	51%	14.9	9.5	64%	5.4	36%
2016	15.0	9.1	61%	5.8	39%	14.3	7.3	51%	6.9	49%
2017	12.9	5.9	46%	7.0	54%	13.9	8.9	64%	5.0	36%
2018	12.5	5.4	43%	7.0	56%	10.5	7.6	72%	2.9	28%
2019	14.2	4.3	31%	9.7	69%	11.0	9.0	82%	2.0	18%
2020	7.3	3.7	50%	3.7	51%	9.2	5.1	55%	4.1	45%

Figure 54.

Marin ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 55.

Marin Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 56.

Marin, Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through



MENDOCINO COUNTY

Table 43. Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	32.4	19.6	60%	12.8	39%	33.0	23.3	71%	9.7	29%
2003	33.6	19.4	58%	14.2	42%	35.9	24.8	69%	11.1	31%
2004	32.4	18.2	56%	14.3	44%	34.5	25.8	75%	8.7	25%
2005	37.1	18.6	50%	18.4	50%	39.5	27.8	70%	11.7	30%
2006	30.3	16.6	55%	13.6	45%	32.5	23.0	71%	9.5	29%
2007	35.4	20.6	58%	14.8	42%	36.5	23.8	65%	12.8	35%
2008	33.7	18.2	54%	15.5	46%	34.0	25.6	75%	8.4	25%
2009	28.9	14.6	50%	14.3	50%	29.1	23.4	81%	5.7	19%
2010	26.0	14.4	55%	11.5	44%	26.1	18.5	71%	7.6	29%
2011	22.1	12.6	57%	9.5	43%	24.7	18.3	74%	6.3	26%
2012	23.5	13.2	56%	10.2	44%	23.8	18.5	78%	5.3	22%
2013	20.3	11.3	56%	9.0	44%	22.2	16.3	74%	5.8	26%
2014	10.6	6.8	65%	3.7	35%	11.8	8.9	76%	2.8	24%
2015	12.0	8.0	67%	4.1	34%	12.9	9.5	74%	3.4	26%
2016	21.6	15.3	71%	6.3	29%	22.1	14.9	68%	7.2	32%
2017	17.0	11.4	67%	5.6	33%	17.9	13.6	76%	4.3	24%
2018	15.1	11.1	74%	4.0	26%	17.1	10.3	60%	6.8	40%
2019	12.8	7.4	58%	5.5	43%	12.4	4.6	37%	7.8	63%
2020	9.3	6.6		2.8		8.4	2.9	34%	5.6	66%

Figure 57.

Mendocino ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 58.

Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 59.

Mendocino Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



MERCED COUNTY

Table 44. Merced Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42.3	36.8	87%	5.5	13%	42.9	2.9	7%	40.0	93%
2003	43.2	38.5	89%	4.7	11%	42.3	3.9	9%	38.4	91%
2004	48.2	42.6	88%	5.5	11%	48.3	6.6	14%	41.7	86%
2005	69.8	53.5	77%	16.2	23%	65.7	14.3	22%	51.3	78%
2006	92.1	52.3	57%	39.7	43%	86.3	21.2	25%	65.1	75%
2007	99.2	44.6	45%	54.4	55%	88.1	25.6	29%	62.5	71%
2008	96.0	42.0	44%	54.0	56%	101.4	29.3	29%	72.1	71%
2009	98.5	41.2	42%	57.2	58%	102.9	24.6	24%	78.3	76%
2010	106.1	47.9	45%	58.0	55%	108.8	26.8	25%	82.0	75%
2011	91.8	44.4	48%	47.3	52%	77.1	13.6	18%	63.5	82%
2012	77.3	35.8	46%	41.4	54%	57.5	6.8	12%	50.7	88%
2013	65.0	36.0	55%	29.1	45%	47.7	6.8	14%	40.9	86%
2014	57.0	30.1	53%	26.8	47%	43.1	5.9	14%	37.2	86%
2015	51.9	28.2	54%	23.8	46%	42.2	7.1	17%	35.1	83%
2016	55.0	29.4	54%	25.5	46%	40.1	4.6	11%	35.5	89%
2017	49.3	23.4	48%	26.0	53%	35.6	4.3	12%	31.3	88%
2018	47.8	24.4	51%	23.3	49%	38.2	4.8	12%	33.4	88%
2019	38.5	19.9	52%	18.7	49%	37.8	2.7	7%	35.1	93%
2020	33.4	18.3	55%	15.2	45%	33.7	1.9	6%	31.8	94%

Table 45. Merced Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42.3	36.8	87%	5.5	13%	42.9	2.9	7%	40.0	93%
2003	43.2	38.5	89%	4.7	11%	42.3	3.9	9%	38.4	91%
2004	48.2	42.6	88%	5.5	11%	48.3	6.6	14%	41.7	86%
2005	69.8	53.5	77%	16.2	23%	65.7	14.3	22%	51.3	78%
2006	57.8	52.3	90%	5.5	10%	54.2	10.7	20%	43.5	80%
2007	46.3	44.6	96%	1.7	4%	42.8	6.3	15%	36.5	85%
2008	43.0	42.0	98%	0.9	2%	50.1	14.5	29%	35.6	71%
2009	41.8	41.2	99%	0.6	1%	48.9	14.9	30%	34.0	70%
2010	49.1	47.9	98%	1.1	2%	50.9	10.1	20%	40.8	80%
2011	45.2	44.4	98%	0.7	1%	39.3	4.8	12%	34.5	88%
2012	36.4	35.8	98%	0.6	2%	28.8	3.4	12%	25.4	88%
2013	36.3	36.0	99%	0.3	1%	28.2	3.4	12%	24.8	88%
2014	30.8	30.1	98%	0.7	2%	26.5	3.6	14%	22.9	86%
2015	28.3	28.2	100%	0.1	0%	25.7	4.5	18%	21.2	82%
2016	29.7	29.4	99%	0.1	0%	26.7	2.8	11%	23.8	89%
2017	23.6	23.4	99%	0.3	1%	21.1	1.8	9%	19.3	91%
2018	24.8	24.4	99%	0.3	1%	22.3	3.0	13%	19.3	87%
2019	21.2	19.9	94%	1.3	6%	21.3	2.1	10%	19.3	90%
2020	18.4	18.3	99%	0.2	1%	18.9	1.4	8%	17.4	92%

Table 46. Merced Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 through 20201

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	:amns/Rar	nches only								
2003		Juvenile Camps/Ranches only nouse Post-Disposition									
2004	juveniles,	•									
2005			ed of Post-	Merced County's Camp opened February 2006.							
2006		Disposition juveniles.			100%	35.0	11.5	33%	23.5	67%	
2007			52.8	100%	45.3	19.3	43%	26.0	57%		
2008				53.1	100%	51.3	14.8	29%	36.5	71%	
2009					100%	54.0	9.7	18%	44.3	82%	
2010				56.8	100%	57.9	16.8	29%	41.2	71%	
2011					100%	37.8	8.8	23%	29.0	77%	
2012					100%	28.7	3.4	12%	25.3	88%	
2013					100%	19.5	3.3	17%	16.2	83%	
2014				26.1	100%	16.6	2.3	14%	14.3	86%	
2015				23.7	100%	16.5	2.6	16%	13.9	84%	
2016				25.4	100%	13.4	1.8	13%	11.7	87%	
2017				25.7	100%	14.5	2.4	17%	12.1	83%	
2018				23.0	100%	15.8	1.8	11%	14.1	89%	
2019				17.4	100%	16.4	0.6	4%	15.8	96%	
2020				15.0	100%	14.8	0.4	3%	14.3	97%	

Figure 60.

Merced ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 61.

Merced Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 62.

Merced Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



MONTEREY COUNTY

Table 47. Monterey Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	154.7	81.5	53%	73.2	47%	154.7	98.8	64%	55.8	36%
2003	125.6	58.9	47%	66.7	53%	125.6	76.8	61%	48.8	39%
2004	133.5	49.9	37%	83.6	63%	131.4	65.3	50%	66.1	50%
2005	141.8	51.9	37%	89.9	63%	141.8	84.0	59%	57.8	41%
2006	148.8	58.7	39%	90.1	61%	148.8	89.4	60%	59.3	40%
2007	148.2	66.6	45%	81.6	55%	148.2	86.5	58%	61.7	42%
2008	152.7	61.0	40%	91.7	60%	152.7	97.3	64%	55.4	36%
2009	164.4	65.4	40%	99.0	60%	164.4	104.3	63%	60.1	37%
2010	162.8	59.3	36%	103.6	64%	162.8	84.5	52%	78.3	48%
2011	140.3	58.0	41%	82.3	59%	140.3	54.1	39%	86.3	61%
2012	126.6	48.8	39%	77.8	61%	128.5	55.8	43%	72.8	57%
2013	118.8	44.7	38%	74.1	62%	119.3	33.2	28%	86.2	72%
2014	93.9	33.9	36%	60.0	64%	94.0	33.6	36%	60.4	64%
2015	92.6	32.4	35%	60.2	65%	93.1	33.3	36%	59.8	64%
2016	89.9	31.8	35%	58.2	65%	87.6	32.8	37%	54.8	63%
2017	75.4	32.8	43%	42.7	57%	75.4	23.3	31%	52.2	69%
2018	77.9	33.4	43%	44.5	57%	76.0	13.6	18%	62.4	82%
2019	77.7	32.8	42%	44.8	58%	75.7	15.0	20%	60.7	80%
2020	53.0	19.6	37%	33.4	63%	53.3	7.9	15%	45.4	85%

Table 48. Monterey Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	111.4	81.5	73%	29.9	27%	111.4	73.8	66%	37.7	34%
2003	87.5	58.9	67%	28.6	33%	87.5	56.8	65%	30.7	35%
2004	87.3	49.9	57%	37.4	43%	85.3	40.2	47%	45.1	53%
2005	97.2	51.9	53%	45.3	47%	97.2	54.4	56%	42.8	44%
2006	98.4	58.7	60%	39.8	40%	98.4	54.1	55%	44.3	45%
2007	99.8	66.6	67%	33.2	33%	99.8	50.8	51%	49.0	49%
2008	100.3	61.0	61%	39.3	39%	100.3	55.3	55%	45.1	45%
2009	110.3	65.4	59%	44.9	41%	110.3	61.3	56%	49.1	44%
2010	109.6	59.3	54%	50.3	46%	109.6	62.4	57%	47.2	43%
2011	93.3	58.0	62%	35.3	38%	93.3	52.0	56%	41.3	44%
2012	78.0	48.8	63%	29.3	38%	79.9	54.8	69%	25.1	31%
2013	71.8	44.7	62%	27.1	38%	72.3	31.8	44%	40.5	56%
2014	53.8	33.9	63%	19.8	37%	53.8	24.7	46%	29.2	54%
2015	54.2	32.4	60%	21.8	40%	54.9	30.7	56%	24.3	44%
2016	51.7	31.8	61%	19.9	39%	50.1	30.8	61%	19.3	39%
2017	50.3	32.8	65%	17.6	35%	50.2	20.4	41%	29.8	59%
2018	50.7	33.4	66%	17.3	34%	48.7	11.6	24%	37.1	76%
2019	45.7	32.8	72%	12.8	28%	43.6	11.8	27%	31.8	73%
2020	29.0	19.6	67%	9.4	33%	29.2	6.0	21%	23.2	79%

Table 49. Monterey Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily		n			One-Day S	napshot			
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	luvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	43.3	100%	43.3	25.1	58%	18.2	42%		
2003		st-Disposit		38.1	100%	38.1	20.0	53%	18.1	47%		
2004		therefore t		46.2	100%	46.2	25.2	55%	21.0	45%		
2005			ed of Post-	44.7	100%	44.7	29.6	66%	15.1	34%		
2006		n juveniles		50.3	100%	50.3	35.3	70%	15.0	30%		
2007		-		48.4	100%	48.4	35.8	74%	12.7	26%		
2008				52.3	100%	52.3	42.0	80%	10.3	20%		
2009				54.1	100%	54.1	43.1	80%	11.0	20%		
2010				53.3	100%	53.3	22.1	41%	31.2	59%		
2011				47.0	100%	47.0	2.1	4%	44.9	96%		
2012				48.6	100%	48.6	0.9	2%	47.7	98%		
2013				47.0	100%	47.0	1.3	3%	45.7	97%		
2014				40.2	100%	40.2	8.9	22%	31.3	78%		
2015						38.4	100%	38.2	2.6	7%	35.6	93%
2016					38.3	100%	37.5	2.0	5%	35.5	95%	
2017				25.1	100%	25.3	2.8	11%	22.4	89%		
2018					100%	27.3	2.0	7%	25.3	93%		
2019					100%	32.1	3.2	10%	28.9	90%		
2020					100%	24.1	1.9	8%	22.2	92%		

Figure 63.

Monterey ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 64.

Monterey Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 65.

Monterey Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



NAPA COUNTY

Table 50. Napa Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n				One-Day Snapshot Misdemeanor Felony AMP % of AMP AMP % of AMP 16.8 48% 18.1 16.6 52% 15.6 14.3 46% 16.6 16.4 47% 18.7 15.8 40% 23.8 16.7 38% 26.7 17.1 41% 24.3 13.8 33% 28.5 14.3 39% 22.2 9.4 32% 20.0 8.9 33% 18.0 6.7 28% 16.9 8.2 34% 15.8 5.9 35% 11.1 6.1 42% 8.4 7.0 49% 7.3		
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition		Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP		AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	32.5	17.4	53%	15.0	46% 0	0	34.9	16.8	48%	18.1	52%
2003	32.0	18.5	58%	13.4	42% (0	32.2	16.6	52%	15.6	48%
2004	30.9	21.5	70%	9.4	30% 0	0	30.9	14.3	46%	16.6	54%
2005	32.7	21.0	64%	11.6	36% 0	0	35.1	16.4	47%	18.7	53%
2006	37.9	23.7	62%	14.1	37% 0	0	39.6	15.8	40%	23.8	60%
2007	43.1	28.3	66%	14.7	34% 0	0	43.3	16.7	38%	26.7	62%
2008	41.1	24.6	60%	16.5	40% 0	0	41.4	17.1	41%	24.3	59%
2009	43.2	27.3	63%	15.9	37% 0	0	42.3	13.8	33%	28.5	67%
2010	36.4	19.6	54%	16.8	46% 0	0	36.4	14.3	39%	22.2	61%
2011	30.3	17.2	57%	13.0	43% 0	0	29.4	9.4	32%	20.0	68%
2012	25.1	17.1	68%	8.0	32% #	#	26.9	8.9	33%	18.0	67%
2013	22.7	14.6	64%	8.1	36% 0	0	23.6	6.7	28%	16.9	72%
2014	24.2	14.3	59%	10.1	42% 0	0	24.0	8.2	34%	15.8	66%
2015	16.7	10.6	64%	6.0	36% 0	0	17.0	5.9	35%	11.1	65%
2016	14.3	9.6	67%	4.6	32% 0	0	14.5	6.1	42%	8.4	58%
2017	15.8	10.2	64%	5.7	36% 0	0	14.3	7.0	49%	7.3	51%
2018	12.5	8.3	67%	4.2	33% 0	0	12.5	5.3	42%	7.3	58%
2019	16.3	9.2	56%	7.2	44% 0	0	15.7	7.8	50%	7.8	50%
2020	11.2	5.9	52%	5.3	48% 0	0	9.8	4.8	49%	5.0	51%

Table 51. Napa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	Average Daily Population Pre-Disposition ADP ADP ADP ADP ADP ADP ADP AD								One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition		Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP		AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	32.5	17.4	53%	15.0	46%	0	34.9	16.8	48%	18.1	52%
2003	32.0	18.5	58%	13.4	42%	0	32.2	16.6	52%	15.6	48%
2004	30.9	21.5	70%	9.4	30%	0	30.9	14.3	46%	16.6	54%
2005	32.7	21.0	64%	11.6	36%	0	35.1	16.4	47%	18.7	53%
2006	37.9	23.7	62%	14.1	37%	0	39.6	15.8	40%	23.8	60%
2007	43.1	28.3	66%	14.7	34%	0	43.3	16.7	38%	26.7	62%
2008	41.1	24.6	60%	16.5	40%	0	41.4	17.1	41%	24.3	59%
2009	43.2	27.3	63%	15.9	37%	0	42.3	13.8	33%	28.5	67%
2010	36.4	19.6	54%	16.8	46%	0	36.4	14.3	39%	22.2	61%
2011	30.3	17.2	57%	13.0	43%	0	29.4	9.4	32%	20.0	68%
2012	25.1	17.1	68%	8.0	32%	#	26.9	8.9	33%	18.0	67%
2013	22.7	14.6	64%	8.1	36%	0	23.6	6.7	28%	16.9	72%
2014	24.2	14.3	59%	10.1	42%	0	24.0	8.2	34%	15.8	66%
2015	16.7	10.6	64%	6.0	36%	0	17.0	5.9	35%	11.1	65%
2016	14.3	9.6	67%	4.6	32%	0	14.5	6.1	42%	8.4	58%
2017	15.8	10.2	64%	5.7	36%	0	14.3	7.0	49%	7.3	51%
2018	12.5	8.3	67%	4.2	33%	0	12.5	5.3	42%	7.3	58%
2019	16.3	9.2	56%	7.2	44%	0	15.7	7.8	50%	7.8	50%
2020	9.0	5.9	65%	3.1	35%		7.7	4.0	52%	3.7	48%

Table 52. Napa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	•		Average Daily					One-Day S	napshot	
	•	Pre-Di	isposition	Post-D	isposition	Snapshot	Misde	emeanor	Fe	elony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile C	amne/Rar	nches only							
2003	house Pos									
2004	juveniles, t									
2005			sed of Post-							
2006	Disposition									
2007										
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012										
2013										
2014										
2015										
2016										
2017										
2018										
2019					Napa	County's Camp	opened Ja	anuary 2020		
2020				1.	•		•	•	0.3	3 22%

Figure 66.
Napa ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 67.

Napa Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 68.

Napa Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



NEVADA COUNTY

Table 53. Nevada Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day Si	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	20.7	9.8	47%	10.9	53%	20.6	10.8	52%	9.8	48%
2003	20.1	12.0	60%	8.1	40%	19.6	14.5	74%	5.1	26%
2004	24.7	12.4	50%	12.2	50%	25.9	14.9	58%	11.0	42%
2005	23.4	13.1	56%	10.3	44%	22.7	13.3	58%	9.4	42%
2006	16.0	9.9	62%	6.1	38%	15.8	9.2	58%	6.7	42%
2007	16.3	10.0	61%	6.3	39%	16.3	11.6	71%	4.7	29%
2008	17.5	13.9	80%	3.6	20%	17.8	9.8	55%	8.0	45%
2009	18.5	11.4	62%	7.1	38%	17.4	11.7	67%	5.8	33%
2010	19.5	11.4	59%	8.1	41%	19.5	14.0	72%	5.5	28%
2011	19.6	11.8	60%	7.8	40%	19.5	14.2	73%	5.3	27%
2012	15.8	7.5	47%	8.3	53%	15.3	11.3	74%	4.0	26%
2013	12.2	5.3	44%	6.8	56%	11.6	9.1	78%	2.5	22%
2014	17.8	11.8	66%	6.1	34%	17.8	11.1	62%	6.8	38%
2015	15.9	11.4	72%	4.5	28%	16.2	8.8	54%	7.4	46%
2016	10.2	7.0	68%	3.3	32%	10.4	5.1	49%	5.3	51%
2017	6.5	4.0	61%	2.3	36%	6.2	2.1	34%	4.1	66%
2018	4.9	3.7	75%	1.1	23%	5.1	1.3	25%	3.8	75%
2019	5.0	3.6	72%	1.3	26%	5.1	2.8	56%	2.3	44%
2020	6.9	4.9	72%	2.1	31%	6.7	4.1	62%	2.6	38%

Figure 69. Nevada ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 70.

Nevada Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 71.



ORANGE COUNTY

Table 54. Orange Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		212.9 29% 527.3 172.5 25% 505.3 177.7 25% 532.7 207.5 28% 539.3 291.1 36% 524.7 192.5 22% 667.3 216.3 23% 712.0 170.3 23% 558.1 123.3 20% 482.6 117.1 20% 454.3 102.2 21% 387.4 111.3 25% 335.2 101.1 27% 274.3 100.7 33% 206.2 81.9 30% 190.8 69.6 24% 214.6			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	745.3	168.9	23%	576.3	77%	740.3	212.9	29%	527.3	71%
2003	675.6	169.6	25%	505.6	75%	677.8	172.5	25%	505.3	75%
2004	709.8	185.9	26%	523.5	74%	710.3	177.7	25%	532.7	75%
2005	743.5	173.5	23%	569.7	77%	746.8	207.5	28%	539.3	72%
2006	816.8	213.8	26%	602.7	74%	815.8	291.1	36%	524.7	64%
2007	865.3	241.2	28%	623.6	72%	859.8	192.5	22%	667.3	78%
2008	926.8	202.6	22%	723.8	78%	928.3	216.3	23%	712.0	77%
2009	727.8	162.4	22%	564.8	78%	728.3	170.3	23%	558.1	77%
2010	611.3	155.4	25%	455.5	75%	605.9	123.3	20%	482.6	80%
2011	573.9	135.6	24%	438.3	76%	571.3	117.1	20%	454.3	80%
2012	489.9	162.5	33%	327.2	67%	489.6	102.2	21%	387.4	79%
2013	446.0	131.3	29%	314.5	71%	446.5	111.3	25%	335.2	75%
2014	377.8	103.6	27%	274.2	73%	375.4	101.1	27%	274.3	73%
2015	307.3	89.6	29%	217.5	71%	306.8	100.7	33%	206.2	67%
2016	270.2	94.8	35%	175.5	65%	272.8	81.9	30%	190.8	70%
2017	283.0	118.5	42%	164.3	58%	284.2	69.6	24%	214.6	76%
2018	247.2	96.8	39%	150.0	61%	250.7	54.0	22%	196.7	78%
2019	215.9	87.5	41%	128.3	59%	217.0	48.8	23%	168.2	77%
2020	172.3	71.0	41%	101.3	59%	169.8	21.9	13%	147.9	87%

Table 55. Orange Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily I	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	441.8	168.9	38%	272.8	62%	438.1	133.7	31%	304.4	69%
2003	399.7	169.6	42%	229.9	58%	401.5	105.8	26%	295.7	74%
2004	431.5	185.9	43%	245.6	57%	431.5	112.2	26%	319.3	74%
2005	451.4	173.5	38%	277.7	62%	454.2	137.4	30%	316.8	70%
2006	487.7	213.8	44%	273.7	56%	486.2	173.7	36%	312.5	64%
2007	505.0	241.2	48%	263.5	52%	503.2	106.8	21%	396.4	79%
2008	501.4	202.6	40%	298.7	60%	502.1	107.2	21%	394.9	79%
2009	412.3	162.4	39%	249.6	61%	414.2	96.7	23%	317.5	77%
2010	404.6	155.4	38%	248.9	62%	399.8	79.7	20%	320.2	80%
2011	380.6	135.6	36%	244.8	64%	378.2	74.0	20%	304.2	80%
2012	309.3	162.5	53%	146.8	47%	307.8	58.1	19%	249.8	81%
2013	262.2	131.3	50%	130.9	50%	264.0	59.0	22%	205.0	78%
2014	203.9	103.6	51%	100.3	49%	201.8	51.4	25%	150.3	75%
2015	143.3	89.6	63%	53.7	37%	142.4	44.4	31%	98.0	69%
2016	136.3	94.8	70%	41.6	30%	139.3	39.2	28%	100.2	72%
2017	154.5	118.5	77%	35.9	23%	154.3	30.0	19%	124.3	81%
2018	129.2	96.8	75%	32.3	25%	133.2	24.8	19%	108.3	81%
2019	113.4	87.5	77%	25.9	23%	113.4	19.4	17%	94.0	83%
2020	96.6	71.0	74%	25.6	27%	94.6	11.3	12%	83.2	88%

Table 56. Orange Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n	<u>_</u>		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	:amns/Rar	nches only	303.5	100%	302.2	79.3	26%	222.9	74%
2003		st-Disposit		275.7	100%	276.3	66.7	24%	209.6	76%
2004	juveniles,			278.0	100%	278.8	65.5	23%	213.3	77%
2005			ed of Post-	292.0	100%	292.7	70.1	24%	222.6	76%
2006		n juveniles		329.0	100%	329.6	117.4	36%	212.2	64%
2007				360.1	100%	356.6	85.8	24%	270.8	76%
2008				425.1	100%	426.2	109.1	26%	317.1	74%
2009				315.2	100%	314.2	73.6	23%	240.6	77%
2010				206.7	100%	206.1	43.7	21%	162.4	79%
2011				193.4	100%	193.2	43.1	22%	150.1	78%
2012				180.5	100%	181.8	44.1	24%	137.7	76%
2013				183.6	100%	182.5	52.3	29%	130.2	71%
2014				173.8	100%	173.7	49.7	29%	124.0	71%
2015				163.8	100%	164.4	56.3	34%	108.2	66%
2016				133.9	100%	133.4	42.8	32%	90.7	68%
2017				128.4	100%	129.9	39.6	30%	90.3	70%
2018					100%	117.5	29.2	25%	88.3	75%
2019					100%	103.6	29.4	28%	74.2	72%
2020					100%	75.2	10.6	14%	64.7	86%

Figure 72.
Orange ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 73.

Orange Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 74.

Orange Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



PLACER COUNTY

Table 57. Placer Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	56.3	36.1	64%	20.2	36%	57.7	34.2	59%	23.5	41%
2003	51.3	24.8	48%	26.4	52%	57.3	33.9	59%	23.4	41%
2004	42.0	20.8	49%	21.3	51%	45.4	32.7	72%	12.8	28%
2005	46.2	24.9	54%	21.3	46%	45.1	26.3	58%	18.8	42%
2006	46.7	25.3	54%	21.4	46%	47.7	18.3	38%	29.3	62%
2007	44.9	17.0	38%	27.9	62%	44.7	11.8	26%	32.9	74%
2008	50.4	14.8	29%	35.5	70%	50.3	9.6	19%	40.7	81%
2009	38.9	13.4	34%	25.4	65%	38.8	12.3	32%	26.5	68%
2010	36.3	10.6	29%	25.7	71%	34.4	10.5	31%	23.8	69%
2011	33.8	8.9	26%	24.7	73%	32.6	11.9	37%	20.7	63%
2012	30.4	9.1	30%	21.3	70%	30.0	9.3	31%	20.8	69%
2013	25.2	14.1	56%	11.2	44%	25.3	9.3	37%	16.0	63%
2014	22.8	7.3	32%	15.6	69%	22.8	7.0	31%	15.8	69%
2015	18.2	5.6	31%	12.6	70%	18.3	9.6	52%	8.8	48%
2016	16.8	5.8	34%	11.1	66%	14.9	6.6	44%	8.3	56%
2017	22.8	7.3	32%	15.4	68%	19.8	11.3	57%	8.6	43%
2018	19.8	7.0	35%	12.9	65%	17.8	7.0	39%	10.8	61%
2019	14.8	4.7	31%	10.2	68%	12.7	4.0	32%	8.7	68%
2020	22.4	10.0	45%	12.4	55%	19.3	3.7	19%	15.7	81%

Figure 75.

Placer ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 76.

Placer Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 77.

Placer Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



RIVERSIDE COUNTY

Table 58. Riverside Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	421.8	140.5	33%	281.3	67%	423.3	219.8	52%	203.5	48%
2003	477.1	150.6	32%	327.5	69%	486.6	228.4	47%	258.2	53%
2004	413.3	154.3	37%	259.1	63%	420.3	144.8	34%	275.6	66%
2005	428.9	178.8	42%	250.2	58%	432.5	178.3	41%	254.3	59%
2006	441.4	200.8	45%	240.7	55%	443.1	171.8	39%	271.3	61%
2007	437.7	208.5	48%	229.1	52%	433.1	158.1	37%	275.0	63%
2008	366.1	144.1	39%	221.9	61%	362.5	118.7	33%	243.8	67%
2009	366.3	106.8	29%	259.2	71%	370.1	54.0	15%	316.1	85%
2010	357.6	90.8	25%	266.8	75%	362.3	34.2	9%	328.1	91%
2011	326.8	69.5	21%	257.1	79%	328.9	33.4	10%	295.5	90%
2012	272.9	61.4	22%	211.5	78%	272.2	32.9	12%	239.3	88%
2013	262.3	61.6	23%	200.5	76%	264.0	35.3	13%	228.7	87%
2014	199.3	53.9	27%	145.7	73%	210.8	24.0	11%	186.8	89%
2015	186.2	52.3	28%	133.9	72%	174.0	23.0	13%	151.0	87%
2016	181.5	53.9	30%	127.4	70%	176.9	27.8	16%	149.2	84%
2017	175.2	27.3	16%	147.0	84%	171.4	29.3	17%	142.1	83%
2018	146.0	33.3	23%	112.7	77%	147.3	23.4	16%	123.8	84%
2019 ²	154.8	47.1	30%	107.6	70%	155.4	25.0	16%	130.4	84%
2020	144.7	28.3	20%	116.1	80%	144.2	21.4	15%	122.8	85%

Table 59. Riverside Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	336.3	140.5	42%	195.8	58%	336.0	171.8	51%	164.2	49%
2003	377.8	150.6	40%	227.3	60%	382.3	172.3	45%	210.0	55%
2004	312.9	154.3	49%	158.6	51%	318.5	103.5	32%	215.0	68%
2005	322.3	178.8	55%	143.5	45%	325.3	128.8	40%	196.4	60%
2006	333.7	200.8	60%	132.9	40%	334.7	118.4	35%	216.3	65%
2007	330.1	208.5	63%	121.6	37%	325.0	107.4	33%	217.6	67%
2008	258.8	144.1	56%	114.8	44%	252.6	73.7	29%	178.9	71%
2009	247.9	106.8	43%	141.2	57%	253.8	32.1	13%	221.8	87%
2010	235.3	90.8	39%	144.5	61%	238.9	21.5	9%	217.4	91%
2011	205.9	69.5	34%	136.5	66%	208.7	19.9	10%	188.8	90%
2012	182.3	61.4	34%	121.0	66%	180.6	21.8	12%	158.8	88%
2013	181.1	61.6	34%	119.6	66%	182.8	23.3	13%	159.5	87%
2014	138.3	53.9	39%	84.4	61%	143.0	14.8	10%	128.2	90%
2015	134.8	52.3	39%	82.5	61%	124.3	17.0	14%	107.3	86%
2016	126.8	53.9	43%	72.9	57%	121.3	19.1	16%	102.2	84%
2017	120.4	27.3	23%	93.2	77%	118.8	21.5	18%	97.3	82%
2018	105.7	33.3	32%	72.4	68%	106.8	19.0	18%	87.8	82%
2019 ²	107.7	47.1	44%	60.6	56%	108.6	19.9	18%	88.7	82%
2020	92.8	28.3	30%	64.5	70%	92.4	13.4	15%	79.0	85%

Table 60. Riverside Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily			<u> </u>	One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	:amns/Rar	nches only	85.5	100%	87.3	48.0	55%	39.3	45%	
2003		st-Disposit		100.3	100%	104.3	56.1	54%	48.2	46%	
2004		therefore t		100.5	100%	101.8	41.3	41%	60.6	59%	
2005		ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles.			100%	107.3	49.4	46%	57.8	54%	
2006	Dispositio				100%	108.4	53.3	49%	55.1	51%	
2007					100%	108.1	50.7	47%	57.4	53%	
2008					100%	109.9	45.0	41%	64.9	59%	
2009				118.0	100%	116.3	21.9	19%	94.3	81%	
2010				122.3	100%	123.3	12.7	10%	110.7	90%	
2011				120.6	100%	120.3	13.5	11%	106.8	89%	
2012				90.6	100%	91.6	11.2	12%	80.4	88%	
2013				81.0	100%	81.3	12.1	15%	69.2	85%	
2014				68.4	100%	67.8	9.2	14%	58.6	86%	
2015				51.4	100%	49.8	6.0	12%	43.8	88%	
2016				54.5	100%	55.7	8.7	16%	47.0	84%	
2017				53.8	100%	52.7	7.8	15%	44.8	85%	
2018				40.3	100%	40.5	4.4	11%	36.1	89%	
2019 ²				47.0	100%	46.8	5.1	11%	41.8	89%	
2020				51.6	100%	51.8	8.0	15%	43.8	85%	

Figure 78.

Riverside ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 79.

Riverside Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 80.

Riverside Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Table 61. Sacramento Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snaps				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP		AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	511.9	193.6	38%	318.3	62%	0	509.6	268.3	53%	241.3	47%
2003	487.6	187.4	38%	300.0	62%	0	490.5	256.7	52%	233.8	48%
2004	497.7	196.6	40%	301.0	60%	0	499.6	251.1	50%	248.5	50%
2005	508.7	208.2	41%	300.5	59%	0	505.3	278.2	55%	227.1	45%
2006	538.1	234.8	44%	303.3	56%	0	535.4	261.0	49%	274.4	51%
2007	503.8	210.9	42%	292.7	58%	0	504.8	200.3	40%	304.5	60%
2008	485.0	206.8	43%	278.1	57%	0	455.3	178.0	39%	277.3	61%
2009	372.5	152.5	41%	220.0	59%	0	369.6	142.7	39%	226.9	61%
2010	271.4	131.4	48%	140.0	52%	0	260.9	100.1	38%	160.8	62%
2011	186.3	101.3	54%	84.9	46%	0	187.6	62.9	34%	124.7	66%
2012	178.3	98.4	55%	79.8	45%	#	179.0	56.5	32%	122.5	68%
2013	191.8	109.4	57%	82.3	43%	0	197.8	65.3	33%	132.4	67%
2014	183.7	109.9	60%	73.8	40%	0	177.4	58.3	33%	119.1	67%
2015	192.1	130.8	68%	61.3	32%	0	195.0	61.3	31%	133.7	69%
2016	143.2	91.1	64%	52.1	36%	0	145.2	40.2	28%	105.0	72%
2017	135.9	106.8	79%	29.2	21%	0	137.8	30.0	22%	107.8	78%
2018	120.0	100.9	84%	19.1	16%	0	122.0	32.6	27%	89.4	73%
2019	122.3	100.1	82%	23.3	19%	0	126.4	38.5	31%	87.8	69%
2020	92.6	81.7	88%	10.9	12%	0	95.6	31.2	33%	64.3	67%

Table 62. Sacramento Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	[,] Populatio	n			One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP		AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	307.1	193.6	63%	113.5	37%	0	312.9	143.7	46%	169.3	54%
2003	317.6	187.4	59%	130.0	41%	0	320.5	150.5	47%	170.0	53%
2004	340.8	196.6	58%	144.1	42%	0	342.6	169.0	49%	173.6	51%
2005	341.3	208.2	61%	133.2	39%	0	338.3	178.8	53%	159.4	47%
2006	335.1	234.8	70%	100.3	30%	0	331.9	153.3	46%	178.6	54%
2007	287.2	210.9	73%	76.3	27%	0	289.7	86.3	30%	203.3	70%
2008	277.8	206.8	74%	71.1	26%	0	247.0	64.8	26%	182.2	74%
2009	242.7	152.5	63%	90.2	37%	0	240.6	82.3	34%	158.3	66%
2010	234.8	131.4	56%	103.3	44%	0	222.9	79.9	36%	143.0	64%
2011	186.3	101.3	54%	84.9	46%	0	187.6	62.9	34%	124.7	66%
2012	178.3	98.4	55%	79.8	45%	0	179.0	56.5	32%	122.5	68%
2013	191.8	109.4	57%	82.3	43%	0	197.8	65.3	33%	132.4	67%
2014	183.7	109.9	60%	73.8	40%	0	177.4	58.3	33%	119.1	67%
2015	192.1	130.8	68%	61.3	32%	0	195.0	61.3	31%	133.7	69%
2016	143.2	91.1	64%	52.1	36%	0	145.2	40.2	28%	105.0	72%
2017	135.9	106.8	79%	29.2	21%	0	137.8	30.0	22%	107.8	78%
2018	120.0	100.9	84%	19.1	16%	0	122.0	32.6	27%	89.4	73%
2019	123.4	100.1	81%	23.3	19%	0	126.4	38.5	31%	87.8	69%
2020	92.6	81.7	88%	10.9	12%	0	95.6	31.2	33%	64.3	67%

Table 63. Sacramento Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010²

Table 00.			Average Dail				Joz unough Z		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition		Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP		AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	:amps/Rar	nches only	204.8	100%	0	196.7	124.6	63%	72.1	37%
2003	house Pos			170.0	100%	0	170.0	106.2	62%	63.8	38%
2004	juveniles,			156.9	100%	0	157.0	82.1	52%	74.9	48%
2005			ed of Post-	167.3	100%	0	167.0	99.3	59%	67.7	41%
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		203.0	100%	0	203.5	107.7	53%	95.8	47%
2007				216.4	100%	0	215.2	114.0	53%	101.2	47%
2008				207.1	100%	0	208.3	113.2	54%	95.1	46%
2009				129.9	100%	0	129.0	60.3	47%	68.7	53%
2010				73.3	100%	0	76.0	40.3	53%	35.7	47%
2011				Sac	ramento Co	un	ty's Camp clos	sed June 2	2010.		
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016											
2017											
2018											
2019											
2020											

Figure 81.
Sacramento ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 82.
Sacramento Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 83.

Sacramento Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN BENITO COUNTY

Table 64. San Benito Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	14.7	9.4	64%	5.4	37%	14.3	8.0	56%	6.3	44%
2003	19.7	10.4	53%	9.2	47%	21.6	14.3	66%	7.3	34%
2004	18.9	10.0	53%	8.8	47%	20.6	13.7	66%	6.9	34%
2005	16.4	9.4	57%	7.0	43%	16.5	7.0	42%	9.5	58%
2006	14.6	10.0	69%	4.6	31%	14.7	8.0	55%	6.7	45%
2007	17.2	11.2	65%	6.0	35%	16.6	4.9	30%	11.7	70%
2008	16.9	10.7	63%	6.3	37%	15.8	6.7	42%	9.1	58%
2009	18.5	8.8	48%	9.7	52%	18.5	2.5	14%	16.0	86%
2010	16.1	9.4	59%	6.7	41%	16.1	0.7	4%	15.4	96%
2011	10.1	5.4	54%	4.7	46%	10.0	0.3	3%	9.7	97%
2012	10.6	6.3	59%	4.3	41%	10.6	0.1	1%	10.5	99%
2013	10.8	5.9	55%	4.9	45%	10.8	0.0	0%	10.8	100%
2014	10.9	6.6	60%	4.3	40%	11.1	1.6	14%	9.5	86%
2015	11.6	7.2	62%	4.5	39%	11.5	2.3	20%	9.3	80%
2016	6.6	3.0	45%	3.5	54%	6.2	2.6	42%	3.6	58%
2017	8.3	4.9	59%	3.4	41%	8.1	4.7	58%	3.4	42%
2018	5.4	3.3	61%	2.2	40%	5.5	3.0	55%	2.5	45%
2019	6.8	5.1	74%	1.7	25%	6.4	2.0	31%	4.4	69%
2020	6.1	5.5	90%	0.6	10%	6.2	0.7	11%	5.6	89%

Figure 84.
San Benito ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 85.
San Benito Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 86.
San Benito Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Table 65. San Bernardino Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	494.1	267.4	54%	226.7	46%	484.4	160.1	33%	324.3	67%
2003	478.8	256.6	54%	222.2	46%	475.1	154.3	32%	320.8	68%
2004	482.8	247.4	51%	235.4	49%	471.6	149.3	32%	322.3	68%
2005	530.5	266.8	50%	263.8	50%	521.8	189.3	36%	332.4	64%
2006	528.7	266.7	50%	262.0	50%	523.2	158.5	30%	364.7	70%
2007	475.3	244.2	51%	231.1	49%	477.3	87.8	18%	389.6	82%
2008	450.3	148.2	33%	302.1	67%	453.1	41.5	9%	411.6	91%
2009	370.4	118.7	32%	251.8	68%	369.5	87.4	24%	282.1	76%
2010	355.3	108.2	30%	247.2	70%	354.2	95.2	27%	259.0	73%
2011	367.4	94.5	26%	272.9	74%	365.1	103.4	28%	261.7	72%
2012	308.6	84.0	27%	224.6	73%	317.7	78.1	25%	239.6	75%
2013	272.5	99.4	36%	173.1	64%	275.7	68.6	25%	207.1	75%
2014	277.4	143.2	52%	134.3	48%	275.3	64.1	23%	211.3	77%
2015	271.3	141.2	52%	130.2	48%	271.8	66.8	25%	205.1	75%
2016	242.3	130.3	54%	111.9	46%	240.3	57.6	24%	182.7	76%
2017	192.8	101.3	53%	91.6	47%	192.7	44.6	23%	148.1	77%
2018	172.7	93.6	54%	79.1	46%	173.8	32.2	19%	141.7	81%
2019	153.5	81.4	53%	72.1	47%	159.7	31.8	20%	127.9	80%
2020	136.0	79.7	59%	56.3	41%	133.7	12.9	10%	120.8	90%

Table 66. San Bernardino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	433.8	267.4	62%	166.3	38%	424.1	134.5	32%	289.6	68%
2003	416.7	256.6	62%	160.1	38%	412.9	128.3	31%	284.7	69%
2004	420.3	247.4	59%	172.8	41%	408.9	128.0	31%	280.9	69%
2005	468.6	266.8	57%	201.8	43%	461.2	169.8	37%	291.3	63%
2006	471.1	266.7	57%	204.4	43%	466.0	131.5	28%	334.5	72%
2007	435.8	244.2	56%	191.7	44%	435.9	71.4	16%	364.5	84%
2008	425.2	148.2	35%	277.0	65%	428.8	34.2	8%	394.6	92%
2009	351.4	118.7	34%	232.8	66%	350.7	85.8	24%	264.8	76%
2010	322.8	108.2	34%	214.6	66%	322.5	93.4	29%	229.1	71%
2011	329.5	94.5	29%	235.0	71%	327.8	99.6	30%	228.2	70%
2012	271.6	84.0	31%	187.6	69%	280.8	69.8	25%	211.1	75%
2013	235.7	99.4	42%	136.3	58%	239.0	64.5	27%	174.5	73%
2014	243.7	143.2	59%	100.5	41%	241.8	64.1	27%	177.7	73%
2015	233.7	141.2	60%	92.5	40%	234.4	66.8	28%	167.7	72%
2016	205.3	130.3	63%	74.9	37%	203.1	57.6	28%	145.5	72%
2017	158.6	101.3	64%	57.3	36%	158.3	44.6	28%	113.8	72%
2018	140.8	93.6	66%	47.2	34%	141.6	32.2	23%	109.4	77%
2019	125.3	81.4	65%	43.8	35%	130.6	31.8	24%	98.8	76%
2020	111.3	79.7	72%	31.7	28%	109.6	12.9	12%	96.7	88%

Table 67. San Bernardino Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily		n			One-Day S	napshot		
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	luvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	60.3	100%	60.3	25.6	42%	34.8	58%	
2003		st-Disposit		62.1	100%	62.2	26.1	42%	36.1	58%	
2004		therefore t		62.6	100%	62.7	21.3	34%	41.3	66%	
2005	•		ed of Post-	61.9	100%	60.6	19.5	32%	41.1	68%	
2006		n juveniles		57.6	100%	57.2	27.0	47%	30.2	53%	
2007		-		39.4	100%	41.4	16.3	39%	25.1	61%	
2008				25.1	100%	24.3	7.3	30%	17.0	70%	
2009				19.0	100%	18.8	1.6	8%	17.3	92%	
2010				32.6	100%	31.7	1.8	6%	29.9	94%	
2011				37.9	100%	37.3	3.8	10%	33.5	90%	
2012				37.0	100%	36.8	8.3	23%	28.5	77%	
2013				36.8	100%	36.7	4.1	11%	32.6	89%	
2014				33.8	100%	33.6	0.0	0%	33.6	100%	
2015			37.7	100%	37.4	0.0	0%	37.4	100%		
2016					37.0	100%	37.2	0.0	0%	37.2	100%
2017				34.3	100%	34.3	0.0	0%	34.3	100%	
2018				31.9	100%	32.3	0.0	0%	32.3	100%	
2019				28.3	100%	29.1	0.0	0%	29.1	100%	
2020					100%	24.1	0.0	0%	24.1	100%	

Figure 87.
San Bernardino ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 88.
San Bernardino Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 89.
San Bernardino Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN DIEGO COUNTY

Table 68. San Diego Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	747.4	127.5	17%	619.7	83%	749.2	309.8	41%	439.3	59%
2003	727.7	128.0	18%	599.8	82%	743.4	300.1	40%	443.3	60%
2004	650.8	261.2	40%	389.7	60%	667.8	223.8	34%	443.9	66%
2005	742.9	287.3	39%	455.7	61%	747.9	231.5	31%	516.4	69%
2006	888.0	325.5	37%	562.5	63%	891.6	276.3	31%	615.3	69%
2007	858.1	319.9	37%	538.2	63%	861.8	249.2	29%	612.7	71%
2008	821.1	291.1	35%	530.0	65%	831.1	222.9	27%	608.2	73%
2009	836.2	268.3	32%	567.9	68%	846.1	231.3	27%	614.8	73%
2010	783.9	218.2	28%	565.8	72%	871.8	211.2	24%	660.7	76%
2011	758.4	200.5	26%	557.9	74%	773.7	213.2	28%	560.5	72%
2012	713.9	187.2	26%	526.8	74%	729.8	206.2	28%	523.6	72%
2013	630.1	167.5	27%	462.6	73%	645.9	161.1	25%	484.8	75%
2014	483.6	149.4	31%	334.2	69%	496.1	135.5	27%	360.6	73%
2015	422.5	138.2	33%	284.3	67%	434.5	127.2	29%	307.3	71%
2016	375.4	129.8	35%	245.7	65%	383.6	115.0	30%	268.6	70%
2017	349.7	118.4	34%	231.3	66%	363.9	95.6	26%	268.3	74%
2018	324.3	114.5	35%	209.8	65%	336.9	75.8	23%	261.1	77%
2019	285.9	114.7	40%	171.3	60%	291.6	48.6	17%	243.0	83%
2020	205.2	95.3	46%	109.9	54%	210.1	24.8	12%	185.3	88%

Table 69. San Diego Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	435.3	127.5	29%	307.7	71%	437.3	193.0	44%	244.3	56%
2003	425.3	128.0	30%	297.4	70%	423.0	183.5	43%	239.5	57%
2004	417.7	261.2	63%	156.5	37%	419.3	137.3	33%	282.0	67%
2005	495.3	287.3	58%	208.0	42%	493.3	149.6	30%	343.7	70%
2006	569.3	325.5	57%	243.8	43%	563.3	172.3	31%	391.0	69%
2007	525.3	319.9	61%	205.3	39%	526.1	156.7	30%	369.4	70%
2008	485.4	291.1	60%	194.3	40%	490.4	132.3	27%	358.2	73%
2009	509.0	268.3	53%	240.8	47%	508.2	136.4	27%	371.8	73%
2010	487.8	218.2	45%	269.6	55%	489.2	127.8	26%	361.3	74%
2011	470.4	200.5	43%	269.9	57%	479.6	132.8	28%	346.8	72%
2012	446.4	187.2	42%	259.3	58%	455.0	126.7	28%	328.3	72%
2013	386.3	167.5	43%	218.8	57%	394.4	96.8	25%	297.7	75%
2014	313.8	149.4	48%	164.4	52%	316.1	86.4	27%	229.7	73%
2015	303.8	138.2	45%	165.6	55%	311.9	89.9	29%	222.0	71%
2016	276.8	129.8	47%	147.1	53%	282.4	84.3	30%	198.2	70%
2017	251.6	118.4	47%	133.2	53%	262.3	63.3	24%	199.1	76%
2018	240.8	114.5	48%	126.3	52%	249.8	51.7	21%	198.1	79%
2019	210.2	114.7	55%	95.5	45%	212.6	34.2	16%	178.4	84%
2020	160.2	95.3	60%	64.9	40%	160.9	17.1	11%	143.8	89%

Table 70. San Diego Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily			ooz imoagn zoz		One-Day S	napshot		
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	312.1	100%	311.9	116.8	37%	195.1	63%	
2003		st-Disposit		302.5	100%	320.4	116.6	36%	203.8	64%	
2004		therefore t		233.2	100%	248.4	86.5	35%	161.9	65%	
2005			ed of Post-	247.7	100%	254.7	81.9	32%	172.8	68%	
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	3.	318.7	100%	328.3	104.1	32%	224.3	68%	
2007				332.8	100%	335.8	92.5	28%	243.3	72%	
2008				335.7	100%	340.7	90.7	27%	250.0	73%	
2009				327.2	100%	337.9	94.9	28%	243.0	72%	
2010				296.2	100%	382.7	83.3	22%	299.3	78%	
2011				288.0	100%	294.1	80.4	27%	213.7	73%	
2012					267.5	100%	274.8	79.5	29%	195.3	71%
2013				243.8	100%	251.5	64.3	26%	187.2	74%	
2014				169.8	100%	180.0	49.1	27%	130.9	73%	
2015				118.8	100%	122.6	37.3	30%	85.3	70%	
2016				98.6	100%	101.2	30.8	30%	70.4	70%	
2017				98.1	100%	101.6	32.3	32%	69.3	68%	
2018				83.5	100%	87.2	24.2	28%	63.0	72%	
2019				75.8	100%	79.0	14.4	18%	64.6	82%	
2020					100%	49.2	7.7	16%	41.6	84%	

Figure 90.
San Diego ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 91.
San Diego Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 92.
San Diego Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

Table 71. San Francisco Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	122.8	74.2	60%	49.2	40%	127.3	47.8	38%	79.5	62%
2003	113.6	58.7	52%	54.9	48%	117.4	37.4	32%	80.0	68%
2004	108.4	51.8	48%	56.5	52%	110.1	35.8	33%	74.3	67%
2005	117.1	57.1	49%	59.8	51%	120.1	39.3	33%	80.8	67%
2006	133.2	62.6	47%	70.5	53%	134.2	41.7	31%	92.5	69%
2007	147.8	60.3	41%	87.4	59%	146.8	41.8	28%	105.0	72%
2008	139.1	59.9	43%	80.0	58%	140.6	34.9	25%	105.7	75%
2009	123.4	54.8	44%	68.6	56%	125.1	33.4	27%	91.7	73%
2010	108.3	50.0	46%	58.3	54%	108.0	32.0	30%	76.0	70%
2011	107.7	37.0	34%	70.7	66%	105.6	33.8	32%	71.8	68%
2012	92.6	28.5	31%	64.1	69%	89.1	29.5	33%	59.6	67%
2013	88.2	28.8	33%	59.3	67%	86.0	28.5	33%	57.5	67%
2014	87.1	27.9	32%	59.2	68%	83.1	28.8	35%	54.3	65%
2015	68.8	19.6	28%	49.2	72%	66.6	27.2	41%	39.4	59%
2016	57.9	15.2	26%	42.8	74%	56.4	29.3	52%	27.1	48%
2017	58.0	17.2	30%	40.8	70%	58.1	29.6	51%	28.5	49%
2018	51.6	20.8	40%	30.8	60%	50.6	20.8	41%	29.8	59%
2019	37.5	17.4	46%	20.1	54%	36.7	13.3	36%	23.4	64%
2020	17.4	3.9	22%	13.6	78%	18.0	8.9	49%	9.1	51%

Table 72. San Francisco Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	100.3	74.2	74%	26.7	27%	106.1	40.1	38%	66.0	62%
2003	90.0	58.7	65%	31.3	35%	94.1	30.6	33%	63.5	67%
2004	93.2	51.8	56%	41.3	44%	94.4	29.3	31%	65.1	69%
2005	100.7	57.1	57%	43.6	43%	104.1	32.7	31%	71.4	69%
2006	108.3	62.6	58%	45.7	42%	109.2	32.8	30%	76.4	70%
2007	119.0	60.3	51%	58.7	49%	119.4	34.4	29%	85.0	71%
2008	124.0	59.9	48%	64.1	52%	125.3	32.2	26%	93.1	74%
2009	109.5	54.8	50%	54.7	50%	111.2	31.8	29%	79.4	71%
2010	94.0	50.0	53%	44.0	47%	93.6	28.8	31%	64.8	69%
2011	86.8	37.0	43%	49.8	57%	84.3	24.7	29%	59.7	71%
2012	74.4	28.5	38%	45.9	62%	71.9	22.3	31%	49.6	69%
2013	73.8	28.8	39%	44.9	61%	71.6	23.8	33%	47.8	67%
2014	70.0	27.9	40%	42.1	60%	66.5	22.8	34%	43.8	66%
2015	56.7	19.6	35%	37.1	65%	54.7	23.3	43%	31.4	57%
2016	45.4	15.2	33%	30.3	67%	44.2	21.6	49%	22.6	51%
2017	46.1	17.2	37%	28.9	63%	46.3	22.0	48%	24.3	52%
2018	46.3	20.8	45%	25.5	55%	45.4	18.7	41%	26.8	59%
2019	37.5	17.4	46%	20.1	54%	36.7	13.3	36%	23.4	64%
2020	17.4	3.9	22%	13.6	78%	18.0	8.9	49%	9.1	51%

Table 73. San Francisco Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Dail			<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot	_		
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	Juvenile (Camps/Rar	nches only	22.5	100%	21.3	7.8	36%	13.5	64%		
2003		st-Disposit		23.6	100%	23.3	6.8	29%	16.5	71%		
2004		therefore t		15.2	100%	15.7	6.5	41%	9.2	59%		
2005		ly comprised of P		16.2	100%	16.0	6.6	41%	9.4	59%		
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles		24.9	100%	25.0	8.9	36%	16.1	64%		
2007				28.8	100%	27.3	7.3	27%	20.0	73%		
2008				15.9	100%	15.4	2.8	18%	12.6	82%		
2009				13.9	100%	14.0	1.7	12%	12.3	88%		
2010				14.3	100%	14.5	3.3	23%	11.2	77%		
2011				20.9	100%	21.3	9.2	43%	12.1	57%		
2012				18.2	100%	17.2	7.2	42%	10.0	58%		
2013				14.4	100%	14.5	4.8	33%	9.7	67%		
2014						17.1	100%	16.6	6.1	37%	10.5	63%
2015				12.1	100%	11.9	3.9	33%	8.0	67%		
2016				12.5	100%	12.3	7.8	63%	4.5	37%		
2017				11.9	100%	11.9	7.6	64%	4.3	36%		
2018 ¹					100%	8.8	3.7	42%	5.1	58%		
2019		San Francisco County's Camp closed February 2020 2										
2020				San Francisco County's Camp closed February 2020. ²								

Note. ¹ 2018 data based on January - July. ² San Francisco County's camp was not in use from August 2018 - February 2020 and officially closed February 2020. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 93.
San Francisco ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 94.
San Francisco Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 95.
San Francisco Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

Table 74. San Joaquin Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	192.1	101.6	53%	90.4	47%	193.9	39.1	20%	154.8	80%
2003	208.9	112.7	54%	96.2	46%	206.3	36.3	18%	169.9	82%
2004	209.0	121.4	58%	87.6	42%	210.3	40.5	19%	169.8	81%
2005	198.0	117.1	59%	80.9	41%	197.5	53.2	27%	144.3	73%
2006	187.1	101.2	54%	85.9	46%	185.5	22.8	12%	162.7	88%
2007	182.8	89.0	49%	93.8	51%	181.9	38.7	21%	143.3	79%
2008	192.8	104.4	54%	89.2	46%	192.3	65.9	34%	126.4	66%
2009	179.4	89.9	50%	89.5	50%	177.7	11.1	6%	166.6	94%
2010	172.8	84.8	49%	88.0	51%	165.4	8.2	5%	157.3	95%
2011	155.5	86.9	56%	68.6	44%	152.4	5.3	3%	147.1	97%
2012	142.0	87.3	62%	54.7	38%	142.7	5.4	4%	137.3	96%
2013	120.0	72.3	60%	47.7	40%	120.7	8.4	7%	112.3	93%
2014	109.9	58.3	53%	51.7	47%	109.5	6.9	6%	102.6	94%
2015	113.8	64.7	57%	49.1	43%	114.8	9.8	9%	105.0	91%
2016	123.2	75.0	61%	48.2	39%	125.4	8.4	7%	117.0	93%
2017	115.9	70.3	61%	45.6	39%	119.3	7.2	6%	112.2	94%
2018	109.8	65.4	60%	44.3	40%	109.7	3.9	4%	105.8	96%
2019	93.1	60.2	65%	32.9	35%	97.9	4.7	5%	93.3	95%
2020	57.3	39.9	70%	17.4	30%	59.3	2.4	4%	56.9	96%

Table 75. San Joaquin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	154.2	101.6	66%	52.6	34%	156.1	33.2	21%	122.9	79%
2003	166.9	112.7	67%	54.3	33%	164.3	30.2	18%	134.2	82%
2004	166.4	121.4	73%	45.0	27%	167.7	33.3	20%	134.4	80%
2005	159.4	117.1	73%	42.3	27%	159.7	42.0	26%	117.7	74%
2006	150.6	101.2	67%	49.4	33%	147.2	19.6	13%	127.6	87%
2007	147.4	89.0	60%	58.4	40%	145.5	34.8	24%	110.7	76%
2008	156.5	104.4	67%	52.1	33%	155.5	54.7	35%	100.8	65%
2009	142.6	89.9	63%	52.7	37%	138.3	10.4	8%	127.9	92%
2010	137.8	84.8	62%	53.0	38%	129.4	8.1	6%	121.3	94%
2011	119.6	86.9	73%	32.7	27%	116.8	5.3	4%	111.5	96%
2012	114.3	87.3	76%	27.0	24%	114.5	4.0	3%	110.5	97%
2013	95.4	72.3	76%	23.1	24%	94.1	8.4	9%	85.7	91%
2014	84.2	58.3	69%	25.9	31%	83.0	6.9	8%	76.1	92%
2015	91.8	64.7	70%	27.2	30%	91.3	8.9	10%	82.3	90%
2016	103.3	75.0	73%	28.3	27%	103.5	8.4	8%	95.1	92%
2017	92.5	70.3	76%	22.2	24%	95.6	7.2	7%	88.4	93%
2018	88.8	65.4	74%	23.3	26%	88.3	3.9	4%	84.4	96%
2019	73.9	60.2	81%	13.8	19%	73.3	4.5	6%	68.8	94%
2020	47.6	39.9	84%	7.7	16%	49.1	2.4	5%	46.7	95%

Table 76. San Joaquin Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	, -	Average Daily Population				2002 tillough 2	One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Di	Pre-Disposition Post-Disposition			Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	37.9	100%	37.8	5.9	16%	31.9	84%	
2003		st-Disposit		42.0	100%	41.9	6.2	15%	35.8	85%	
2004		therefore t		42.6	100%	42.7	7.3	17%	35.4	83%	
2005	•		ed of Post-	38.6	100%	37.8	11.2	30%	26.7	70%	
2006	Dispositio	sposition juveniles.			100%	38.3	3.3	8%	35.1	92%	
2007				35.3	100%	36.4	3.8	11%	32.6	89%	
2008				37.1	100%	36.8	11.3	31%	25.6	69%	
2009				36.8	100%	39.3	0.7	2%	38.7	98%	
2010				35.0	100%	36.0	0.1	0%	35.9	100%	
2011				35.9	100%	35.7	0.1	0%	35.6	100%	
2012				27.7	100%	28.2	1.4	5%	26.8	95%	
2013				24.6	100%	26.6	0.0	0%	26.6	100%	
2014				25.8	100%	26.5	0.0	0%	26.5	100%	
2015				21.9	100%	23.6	0.9	4%	22.7	96%	
2016				19.9	100%	21.9	0.0	0%	21.9	100%	
2017				23.4	100%	23.8	0.0	0%	23.8	100%	
2018				21.0	100%	21.3	0.0	0%	21.3	100%	
2019				19.2	100%	24.7	0.2	1%	24.5	99%	
2020				9.8	100%	10.2	0.0	0%	10.2	100%	

Figure 96.
San Joaquin ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 97.
San Joaquin Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 98.
San Joaquin Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

Table 77. San Luis Obispo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n	One-[-Day Snapshot		
		Pre-Disposition Post-Disposition		sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	33.7	29.0	86%	4.7	14%	34.3	17.6	51%	16.7	49%	
2003	34.8	28.7	82%	6.3	18%	35.7	20.3	57%	15.4	43%	
2004	31.6	24.1	76%	7.5	24%	31.7	19.3	61%	12.4	39%	
2005	40.3	27.2	67%	13.2	33%	41.2	24.3	59%	16.8	41%	
2006	41.7	25.0	60%	16.6	40%	42.2	26.7	63%	15.5	37%	
2007	41.1	26.6	65%	14.6	35%	41.0	23.5	57%	17.5	43%	
2008	33.5	26.8	80%	6.7	20%	34.2	21.5	63%	12.7	37%	
2009	40.2	29.9	74%	10.3	26%	40.6	20.0	49%	20.6	51%	
2010	37.9	27.4	72%	10.4	28%	37.7	13.9	37%	23.8	63%	
2011	33.0	22.1	67%	10.9	33%	33.9	15.4	45%	18.5	55%	
2012	29.3	20.3	69%	9.0	31%	32.3	19.4	60%	12.8	40%	
2013	29.4	21.1	72%	8.4	28%	29.2	12.6	43%	16.6	57%	
2014	28.7	19.4	68%	9.2	32%	25.3	14.9	59%	10.3	41%	
2015	25.8	15.8	61%	10.1	39%	26.1	15.5	59%	10.6	41%	
2016	23.8	14.3	60%	9.6	40%	23.0	12.4	54%	10.6	46%	
2017	30.8	14.0	45%	16.7	54%	30.7	16.8	55%	13.8	45%	
2018	23.3	10.5	45%	12.9	55%	23.2	11.3	49%	11.8	51%	
2019	23.4	9.9	42%	13.8	59%	23.4	10.2	43%	13.3	57%	
2020	16.6	4.8	29%	11.7	71%	17.0	10.7	63%	6.3	37%	

Table 78. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33.7	29.0	86%	4.7	14%	34.3	17.6	51%	16.7	49%
2003	34.8	28.7	82%	6.3	18%	35.7	20.3	57%	15.4	43%
2004	31.6	24.1	76%	7.5	24%	31.7	19.3	61%	12.4	39%
2005	40.3	27.2	67%	13.2	33%	41.2	24.3	59%	16.8	41%
2006	41.7	25.0	60%	16.6	40%	42.2	26.7	63%	15.5	37%
2007	41.1	26.6	65%	14.6	35%	41.0	23.5	57%	17.5	43%
2008	33.5	26.8	80%	6.7	20%	34.2	21.5	63%	12.7	37%
2009	40.2	29.9	74%	10.3	26%	40.6	20.0	49%	20.6	51%
2010	37.9	27.4	72%	10.4	28%	37.7	13.9	37%	23.8	63%
2011	33.0	22.1	67%	10.9	33%	33.9	15.4	45%	18.5	55%
2012	29.3	20.3	69%	9.0	31%	32.3	19.4	60%	12.8	40%
2013	29.4	21.1	72%	8.4	28%	29.2	12.6	43%	16.6	57%
2014	28.7	19.4	68%	9.2	32%	25.3	14.9	59%	10.3	41%
2015	25.8	15.8	61%	10.1	39%	26.1	15.5	59%	10.6	41%
2016	23.8	14.3	60%	9.6	40%	23.0	12.4	54%	10.6	46%
2017	27.1	14.0	52%	13.0	48%	26.8	13.8	52%	13.0	48%
2018	16.9	10.5	62%	6.6	39%	17.0	7.8	46%	9.2	54%
2019	17.3	9.9	57%	7.5	43%	17.2	7.7	45%	9.5	55%
2020	9.2	4.8	52%	4.4	48%	9.7	5.6	57%	4.1	43%

Table 79. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2017 through 20201

Disposition Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles.			Average Daily Population One-Day S						Snapshot		
Disposition Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles.			Pre-Di	sposition	Post-D	isposition	tion Snapshot Misdemeanor		meanor	Fe	lony
2003 house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total 2005 ADP is only comprised of Post-2006 Disposition juveniles. 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 22 22 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 40% 3.8 66 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 66 6.3 2.5 40%	Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2003 house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-2006 Disposition juveniles. 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019	2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	iches only							
juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles. Disposition juveniles. Disposition juveniles. Disposition juveniles. San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019	2003										
2005 ADP is only comprised of Post- 2006 Disposition juveniles. 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 1 2017 2017 2018 2018 2019 ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles. San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2.7 43 2.019	2004										
2006 Disposition juveniles. 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019	2005										
2008 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 ¹ 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 2019 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019	2006										
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 ¹ 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019	2007		•								
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 ¹ 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 42 2019	2008										
2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 66	2009										
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 2019 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019	2010										
2013 2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2011										
2014 2015 2016 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2012										
2015 San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 2019 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2013										
San Luis Obispo County's Camp opened June 2017. 2017 1 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 2019 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2014										
2017 ¹ 7.3 100% 6.6 5.1 78% 1.4 22 2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2015										
2018 6.3 100% 6.2 3.5 57% 2.7 43 2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2016					San Luis	Obispo Count	y's Camp o	pened June	2017.	
2019 6.3 100% 6.3 2.5 40% 3.8 60	2017 1				7.3	3 100%	6.6	5.1	78%	1.4	22%
	2018				6.3	3 100%	6.2	3.5	5 57%	2.7	43%
2020 7.3 100% 7.3 5.1 70% 2.2 30	2019				6.3	3 100%	6.3	2.5	40%	3.8	60%
ZUZU 1.0 100/0 1.0 0.1 10/0 Z.Z 0	2020				7.3	3 100%	7.3	5.1	70%	2.2	30%

Figure 99.
San Luis Obispo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 100.
San Luis Obispo Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 101.
San Luis Obispo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SAN MATEO COUNTY

Table 80. San Mateo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	195.3	49.1	25%	146.3	75%	202.2	11.9	6%	190.3	94%
2003	205.2	56.9	28%	148.3	72%	206.1	4.8	2%	201.3	98%
2004	224.8	57.6	26%	167.2	74%	224.2	6.8	3%	217.4	97%
2005	237.4	65.6	28%	171.8	72%	236.9	9.5	4%	227.4	96%
2006	223.5	65.3	29%	158.2	71%	224.7	15.8	7%	208.8	93%
2007	217.8	71.8	33%	146.0	67%	221.7	18.3	8%	203.3	92%
2008	214.0	70.7	33%	143.3	67%	213.6	19.9	9%	193.7	91%
2009	208.6	73.8	35%	134.8	65%	210.7	20.8	10%	189.8	90%
2010	185.8	62.6	34%	123.3	66%	183.3	68.7	37%	114.6	63%
2011	167.2	62.1	37%	105.1	63%	166.6	74.8	45%	91.8	55%
2012	136.1	53.6	39%	82.5	61%	139.5	64.6	46%	74.9	54%
2013	122.3	43.1	35%	79.2	65%	144.3	78.4	54%	65.9	46%
2014	111.3	40.7	37%	70.7	63%	113.2	59.6	53%	53.6	47%
2015	112.4	41.1	37%	71.3	63%	113.3	61.2	54%	52.2	46%
2016	101.3	36.3	36%	65.1	64%	98.9	53.8	54%	45.2	46%
2017	87.2	36.7	42%	50.5	58%	85.9	43.3	50%	42.6	50%
2018	74.0	32.8	44%	41.2	56%	67.9	25.6	38%	42.3	62%
2019	56.8	34.7	61%	22.2	39%	57.6	20.7	36%	36.9	64%
2020	30.7	17.9	58%	12.8	42%	30.3	8.0	26%	22.3	74%

Table 81. San Mateo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	A	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	141.2	49.1	35%	92.1	65%	148.1	0.5	0%	147.6	100%
2003	149.4	56.9	38%	92.5	62%	150.3	0.5	0%	149.8	100%
2004	172.4	57.6	33%	114.8	67%	171.8	0.6	0%	171.3	100%
2005	183.0	65.6	36%	117.4	64%	181.8	1.8	1%	179.9	99%
2006	166.7	65.3	39%	101.3	61%	167.0	2.1	1%	164.9	99%
2007	153.7	71.8	47%	81.8	53%	156.6	0.9	1%	155.7	99%
2008	157.9	70.7	45%	87.3	55%	158.4	2.3	1%	156.2	99%
2009	157.8	73.8	47%	84.1	53%	159.8	2.3	1%	157.5	99%
2010	133.5	62.6	47%	70.9	53%	130.1	47.4	36%	82.7	64%
2011	132.3	62.1	47%	70.3	53%	131.6	66.1	50%	65.5	50%
2012	110.8	53.6	48%	57.2	52%	113.8	57.0	50%	56.8	50%
2013	95.8	43.1	45%	52.8	55%	117.5	71.8	61%	45.8	39%
2014	85.3	40.7	48%	44.7	52%	87.2	50.8	58%	36.3	42%
2015	83.3	41.1	49%	42.1	51%	84.2	47.0	56%	37.2	44%
2016	73.8	36.3	49%	37.7	51%	72.3	39.6	55%	32.8	45%
2017	61.1	36.7	60%	24.4	40%	59.9	31.5	53%	28.4	47%
2018	56.9	32.8	58%	24.1	42%	50.8	17.7	35%	33.1	65%
2019	49.3	34.7	70%	14.6	30%	49.5	16.9	34%	32.6	66%
2020	26.2	17.9	68%	8.3	32%	25.7	7.3	29%	18.3	71%

Table 82. San Mateo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily		n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	54.2	100%	54.1	11.4	21%	42.7	79%
2003		st-Disposit		55.8	100%	55.8	4.3	8%	51.4	92%
2004		therefore t		52.3	100%	52.3	6.2	12%	46.2	88%
2005			ed of Post-	54.4	100%	55.2	7.7	14%	47.5	86%
2006		n juveniles		56.8	100%	57.7	13.8	24%	43.9	76%
2007				64.2	100%	65.1	17.4	27%	47.7	73%
2008				56.1	100%	55.2	17.7	32%	37.5	68%
2009				50.8	100%	50.9	18.6	36%	32.3	64%
2010				52.3	100%	53.2	21.3	40%	31.9	60%
2011				34.8	100%	35.0	8.8	25%	26.3	75%
2012				25.3	100%	25.8	7.6	29%	18.2	71%
2013				26.4	100%	26.8	6.7	25%	20.2	75%
2014				26.0	100%	26.0	8.8	34%	17.3	66%
2015				29.2	100%	29.2	14.2	49%	15.0	51%
2016				27.4	100%	26.6	14.2	53%	12.4	47%
2017				26.1	100%	26.0	11.8	46%	14.2	54%
2018				17.1	100%	17.2	7.9	46%	9.3	54%
2019				7.6	100%	8.1	3.8	46%	4.3	54%
2020				4.4	100%	4.7	0.7	14%	4.0	86%

Figure 102.
San Mateo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 103.
San Mateo Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 104.
San Mateo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

Table 83. Santa Barbara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	171.4	60.5	35%	110.9	65%	168.3	114.0	68%	54.3	32%
2003	171.2	58.8	34%	112.3	66%	172.4	117.3	68%	55.1	32%
2004	144.8	56.5	39%	88.3	61%	145.5	94.7	65%	50.8	35%
2005	149.8	67.3	45%	82.5	55%	153.6	101.4	66%	52.2	34%
2006	183.8	74.3	40%	109.4	60%	186.9	107.0	57%	79.9	43%
2007	186.8	73.8	39%	113.0	61%	187.9	105.3	56%	82.7	44%
2008	184.1	46.6	25%	137.6	75%	179.3	118.2	66%	61.1	34%
2009	189.3	35.4	19%	153.8	81%	188.4	120.6	64%	67.8	36%
2010	183.4	31.6	17%	151.8	83%	187.2	124.7	67%	62.5	33%
2011	173.7	27.3	16%	146.3	84%	169.0	115.9	69%	53.1	31%
2012	156.3	31.6	20%	124.7	80%	157.8	111.4	71%	46.4	29%
2013	120.9	18.7	15%	102.3	85%	119.1	84.9	71%	34.2	29%
2014	98.3	13.0	13%	85.3	87%	98.7	74.3	75%	24.3	25%
2015	102.0	31.8	31%	70.2	69%	104.0	76.6	74%	27.4	26%
2016	103.3	37.1	36%	66.2	64%	106.4	69.7	65%	36.8	35%
2017	98.8	32.2	33%	66.7	67%	98.0	65.2	66%	32.8	34%
2018	65.3	22.6	35%	42.5	65%	64.8	41.8	64%	23.1	36%
2019	57.6	17.3	30%	40.3	70%	60.4	7.8	13%	52.6	87%
2020	43.3	15.2	35%	28.1	65%	43.0	4.9	11%	38.1	89%

Table 84. Santa Barbara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	87.7	60.5	69%	27.2	31%	83.8	57.2	68%	26.7	32%
2003	90.6	58.8	65%	31.8	35%	91.8	60.9	66%	30.9	34%
2004	83.5	56.5	68%	27.0	32%	83.8	54.8	65%	29.0	35%
2005	90.7	67.3	74%	23.3	26%	94.3	64.9	69%	29.4	31%
2006	111.7	74.3	67%	37.3	33%	111.7	70.3	63%	41.3	37%
2007	116.8	73.8	63%	43.0	37%	118.3	68.1	58%	50.3	42%
2008	112.1	46.6	42%	65.6	59%	108.8	71.9	66%	36.8	34%
2009	111.8	35.4	32%	76.3	68%	110.0	69.0	63%	41.0	37%
2010	106.8	31.6	30%	75.3	70%	109.7	71.8	66%	37.8	34%
2011	100.4	27.3	27%	73.1	73%	100.3	67.4	67%	32.8	33%
2012	90.8	31.6	35%	59.3	65%	91.6	64.0	70%	27.6	30%
2013	67.0	18.7	28%	48.3	72%	65.4	45.3	69%	20.2	31%
2014	57.9	13.0	22%	44.9	78%	58.8	41.8	71%	17.1	29%
2015	64.9	31.8	49%	33.1	51%	64.3	46.7	73%	17.6	27%
2016	64.8	37.1	57%	27.7	43%	66.0	41.3	63%	24.7	37%
2017	60.7	32.2	53%	28.5	47%	61.1	37.5	61%	23.6	39%
2018	37.1	22.6	61%	14.5	39%	37.1	21.0	57%	16.1	43%
2019	39.5	17.3	44%	22.2	56%	41.5	5.8	14%	35.7	86%
2020	28.2	15.2	54%	13.0	46%	28.4	3.0	11%	25.4	89%

Note. Santa Barbara's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in October 2011. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 85. Santa Barbara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	y Populatio				One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	83.8	100%	84.4	56.8	67%	27.6	33%
2003		st-Disposit		80.6	100%	80.6	56.4	70%	24.2	30%
2004		therefore t		61.3	100%	61.7	39.8	65%	21.8	35%
2005			ed of Post-	59.2	100%	59.3	36.5	62%	22.8	38%
2006		n juveniles		72.1	100%	75.3	36.7	49%	38.6	51%
2007				70.0	100%	69.6	37.2	53%	32.4	47%
2008				72.0	100%	70.5	46.3	66%	24.3	34%
2009				77.5	100%	78.4	51.6	66%	26.8	34%
2010				76.6	100%	77.5	52.8	68%	24.7	32%
2011				73.3	100%	68.8	48.5	71%	20.3	29%
2012				65.4	100%	66.3	47.4	72%	18.8	28%
2013				53.9	100%	53.7	39.7	74%	14.0	26%
2014				40.4	100%	39.8	32.6	82%	7.3	18%
2015				37.1	100%	39.8	29.9	75%	9.8	25%
2016				38.5	100%	40.4	28.3	70%	12.1	30%
2017				38.2	100%	36.9	27.7	75%	9.3	25%
2018				28.0	100%	27.8	20.8	75%	7.0	25%
2019				18.1	100%	18.9	2.0	11%	16.9	89%
2020				15.1	100%	14.6	1.9	13%	12.7	87%

Figure 105.
Santa Barbara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 106.
Santa Barbara Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 107.

Santa Barbara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Table 86. Santa Clara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	446.0	153.3	34%	292.8	66%	441.0	193.8	44%	247.2	56%
2003	356.5	117.6	33%	238.9	67%	353.9	161.8	46%	192.1	54%
2004	341.5	151.4	44%	190.1	56%	339.6	214.3	63%	125.3	37%
2005	352.5	166.6	47%	185.9	53%	349.6	192.5	55%	157.1	45%
2006	340.7	139.5	41%	201.2	59%	334.3	167.4	50%	166.9	50%
2007	414.4	162.7	39%	251.8	61%	410.7	204.3	50%	206.3	50%
2008	422.7	146.5	35%	276.2	65%	421.8	193.4	46%	228.3	54%
2009	387.3	137.2	35%	250.2	65%	387.8	195.4	50%	192.3	50%
2010	380.9	125.1	33%	255.8	67%	380.3	213.5	56%	166.8	44%
2011	271.0	114.8	42%	156.2	58%	270.5	141.1	52%	129.4	48%
2012	220.2	103.9	47%	116.3	53%	218.5	95.0	43%	123.5	57%
2013	209.3	91.7	44%	117.6	56%	209.3	100.2	48%	109.1	52%
2014	172.4	70.4	41%	102.0	59%	171.5	90.9	53%	80.6	47%
2015	149.0	58.1	39%	90.9	61%	149.9	79.8	53%	70.1	47%
2016	114.3	50.8	44%	63.4	56%	111.8	52.8	47%	59.0	53%
2017	131.8	76.1	58%	55.7	42%	133.8	29.9	22%	103.8	78%
2018	160.8	96.5	60%	64.3	40%	160.7	28.9	18%	131.8	82%
2019	173.3	93.4	54%	79.9	46%	172.3	39.3	23%	133.0	77%
2020	110.1	55.1	50%	55.0	50%	108.1	20.3	19%	87.8	81%

Table 87. Santa Clara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	282.6	153.3	54%	129.3	46%	281.3	124.5	44%	156.8	56%
2003	224.3	117.6	52%	106.8	48%	222.4	107.5	48%	114.9	52%
2004	246.8	151.4	61%	95.4	39%	249.8	160.3	64%	89.5	36%
2005	257.6	166.6	65%	91.0	35%	259.1	145.8	56%	113.3	44%
2006	253.9	139.5	55%	114.4	45%	256.1	129.0	50%	127.1	50%
2007	315.3	162.7	52%	152.7	48%	312.8	157.7	50%	155.2	50%
2008	319.6	146.5	46%	173.1	54%	316.8	151.3	48%	165.5	52%
2009	284.3	137.2	48%	147.1	52%	283.0	152.4	54%	130.6	46%
2010	268.0	125.1	47%	142.9	53%	266.3	159.2	60%	107.1	40%
2011	194.3	114.8	59%	79.5	41%	192.3	109.3	57%	83.0	43%
2012	162.2	103.9	64%	58.3	36%	158.1	75.3	48%	82.8	52%
2013	150.0	91.7	61%	58.3	39%	147.8	77.8	53%	69.9	47%
2014	130.2	70.4	54%	59.8	46%	128.4	75.1	58%	53.3	42%
2015	110.6	58.1	53%	52.5	47%	110.4	67.2	61%	43.3	39%
2016	84.2	50.8	60%	33.3	40%	81.5	41.0	50%	40.5	50%
2017	88.4	76.1	86%	12.3	14%	87.8	16.8	19%	71.0	81%
2018	110.1	96.5	88%	13.6	12%	109.4	19.3	18%	90.1	82%
2019	116.5	93.4	80%	23.1	20%	113.7	24.8	22%	88.8	78%
2020	69.3	55.1	79%	14.2		63.8	11.2	18%	52.6	82%

Table 88. Santa Clara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily		n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	.luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	163.4	100%	159.8	69.3	43%	90.4	57%
2003		st-Disposit		132.2	100%	131.5	54.3	41%	77.2	59%
2004		therefore t		94.8	100%	89.8	54.0	60%	35.8	40%
2005			ed of Post-	94.9	100%	90.5	46.7	52%	43.8	48%
2006	Dispositio	n juveniles	·	86.8	100%	78.3	38.4	49%	39.8	51%
2007				99.1	100%	97.8	46.7	48%	51.2	52%
2008				103.1	100%	104.9	42.1	40%	62.8	60%
2009				103.1	100%	104.8	43.0	41%	61.8	59%
2010				112.9	100%	114.0	54.3	48%	59.7	52%
2011				76.7	100%	78.2	31.8	41%	46.4	59%
2012				58.0	100%	60.4	19.7	33%	40.8	67%
2013				59.3	100%	61.5	22.3	36%	39.2	64%
2014				42.3	100%	43.1	15.8	37%	27.3	63%
2015				38.4	100%	39.5	12.7	32%	26.8	68%
2016				30.1	100%	30.3	11.8	39%	18.5	61%
2017				43.3	100%	45.9	13.1	28%	32.8	72%
2018				50.8	100%	51.3	9.6	19%	41.7	81%
2019				56.8	100%	58.6	14.4	25%	44.2	75%
2020				40.8	100%	44.3	9.1	21%	35.2	79%

Figure 108.
Santa Clara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 109.
Santa Clara Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 110.
Santa Clara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

Table 89. Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33.0	22.3	68%	10.7	32%	34.8	22.5	65%	12.3	35%
2003	26.1	20.3	78%	5.9	23%	27.3	14.2	52%	13.2	48%
2004	22.8	17.0	75%	5.8	25%	23.4	12.3	52%	11.2	48%
2005	16.4	12.7	77%	3.8	23%	15.4	9.3	60%	6.2	40%
2006	22.3	15.6	70%	6.7	30%	22.2	10.7	48%	11.5	52%
2007	22.8	14.8	65%	7.8	34%	22.8	9.8	43%	12.9	57%
2008	20.4	12.8	62%	7.5	37%	19.8	7.8	40%	11.9	60%
2009	22.3	14.1	63%	8.3	37%	22.3	9.3	41%	13.1	59%
2010	20.0	10.1	50%	9.8	49%	21.1	8.7	41%	12.4	59%
2011	18.3	11.2	61%	7.1	39%	19.5	7.8	40%	11.7	60%
2012	23.0	12.0	52%	11.0	48%	22.5	7.9	35%	14.6	65%
2013	20.5	13.1	64%	7.4	36%	20.0	6.6	33%	13.4	67%
2014	18.0	10.8	60%	7.2	40%	17.7	5.8	33%	11.8	67%
2015	20.3	12.5	62%	7.7	38%	19.7	7.1	36%	12.6	64%
2016	18.2	12.0	66%	6.2	34%	18.0	7.8	44%	10.2	56%
2017	17.9	11.4	64%	6.5	36%	17.3	7.5	43%	9.8	57%
2018	15.8	12.9	82%	2.8	18%	14.6	5.6	38%	9.0	62%
2019	17.3	13.2	76%	4.1	24%	16.2	5.8	36%	10.4	64%
2020	11.6	10.3	89%	1.3	11%	11.4	2.6	22%	8.9	78%

Figure 111.
Santa Cruz ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 112.
Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 113.

Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Felony Population as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SHASTA COUNTY

Table 90. Shasta Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	103.8	27.6	27%	76.2	73%	105.3	63.3	60%	42.0	40%
2003	101.2	26.3	26%	74.8	74%	102.2	70.3	69%	31.8	31%
2004	94.3	28.2	30%	66.1	70%	96.2	59.8	62%	36.3	38%
2005	96.0	26.6	28%	69.1	72%	95.8	62.5	65%	33.3	35%
2006	96.1	26.5	28%	69.6	72%	96.1	67.3	70%	28.8	30%
2007	94.5	27.5	29%	67.0	71%	96.4	60.1	62%	36.3	38%
2008	77.1	25.3	33%	51.8	67%	78.4	48.3	62%	30.1	38%
2009	53.6	20.6	38%	33.0	62%	54.9	34.7	63%	20.3	37%
2010	31.7	21.4	68%	10.3	32%	31.4	19.8	63%	11.7	37%
2011	27.6	18.7	68%	8.9	32%	28.7	18.3	64%	10.4	36%
2012	28.9	19.8	68%	9.2	32%	29.0	16.8	58%	12.2	42%
2013	25.4	16.3	64%	9.2	36%	25.9	15.4	59%	10.5	41%
2014	23.8	13.8	58%	10.0	42%	23.8	14.8	62%	9.0	38%
2015	18.3	9.1	50%	9.2	50%	18.8	14.0	74%	4.8	26%
2016	17.9	10.5	59%	7.4	41%	17.5	7.6	43%	9.9	57%
2017	23.5	12.8	55%	10.7	45%	24.9	12.5	50%	12.4	50%
2018	22.3	11.6	52%	10.7	48%	22.3	7.3	33%	15.0	67%
2019	29.1	14.7	50%	14.4	50%	29.3	9.7	33%	19.7	67%
2020	19.9	7.4	37%	12.4	63%	19.7	5.4	28%	14.2	72%

Table 91. Shasta Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	54.8	27.6	50%	27.2	50%	56.0	37.6	67%	18.4	33%
2003	54.9	26.3	48%	28.6	52%	54.8	39.4	72%	15.4	28%
2004	52.9	28.2	53%	24.8	47%	53.6	33.8	63%	19.8	37%
2005	54.8	26.6	49%	27.8	51%	53.8	38.2	71%	15.7	29%
2006	53.9	26.5	49%	27.4	51%	53.3	42.9	81%	10.3	19%
2007	53.5	27.5	51%	26.0	49%	54.1	37.9	70%	16.2	30%
2008	45.8	25.3	55%	20.5	45%	45.4	30.8	68%	14.6	32%
2009	35.3	20.6	58%	14.7	42%	34.1	23.9	70%	10.2	30%
2010	31.7	21.4	68%	10.3	32%	31.4	19.8	63%	11.7	37%
2011	27.6	18.7	68%	8.9	32%	28.7	18.3	64%	10.4	36%
2012	28.9	19.8	68%	9.2	32%	29.0	16.8	58%	12.2	42%
2013	25.4	16.3	64%	9.2	36%	25.9	15.4	59%	10.5	41%
2014	23.8	13.8	58%	10.0	42%	23.8	14.8	62%	9.0	38%
2015	18.3	9.1	50%	9.2	50%	18.8	14.0	74%	4.8	26%
2016	17.9	10.5	59%	7.4	41%	17.5	7.6	43%	9.9	57%
2017	23.5	12.8	55%	10.7	45%	24.9	12.5	50%	12.4	50%
2018	22.3	11.6	52%	10.7	48%	22.3	7.3	33%	15.0	67%
2019	29.1	14.7	50%	14.4	50%	29.3	9.7	33%	19.7	67%
2020	19.9	7.4	37%	12.4	63%	19.7	5.4	28%	14.2	72%

Table 92. Shasta Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20091

			Average Daily			. unougn 2000	One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	49.0	100%	49.3	25.7	52%	23.6	48%	
2003	Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-Disposition juveniles.			46.3	100%	47.3	30.9	65%	16.4	35%	
2004				41.3	100%	42.6	26.0	61%	16.6	39%	
2005				41.3	100%	42.0	24.3	58%	17.7	42%	
2006				42.2	100%	42.8	24.4	57%	18.4	43%	
2007				41.0	100%	42.3	22.2	52%	20.2	48%	
2008				31.3	100%	33.0	17.5	53%	15.5	47%	
2009 ¹					100%	31.3	16.1	52%	15.1	48%	
2010				Shasta County's Camp closed July 2010. ²							
2011						•	,				
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016											
2017											
2018											
2019											
2020											

Note. ¹ 2009 data based on January - August. ² Shasta County's camp was not in use from September 2009 - July 2010 and officially closed July 2010. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 114.
Shasta ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 115.
Shasta Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 116.
Shasta Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SISKIYOU COUNTY

Table 93. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017

		Δ	verage Daily	Populatio	n	One-Da			y Snapshot		
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	14.2	7.3	51%	6.9	49%	14.3	5.4	38%	8.8	62%	
2003	17.4	7.8	45%	9.6	55%	17.3	10.3	60%	7.0	40%	
2004	15.0	5.8	38%	9.3	62%	14.7	4.3	30%	10.3	70%	
2005	13.8	5.8	42%	7.9	58%	13.1	3.8	29%	9.3	71%	
2006	14.6	7.5	51%	7.1	49%	13.9	3.8	28%	10.1	72%	
2007	15.4	9.5	62%	5.9	38%	14.8	5.4	37%	9.3	63%	
2008	17.6	6.6	37%	11.0	63%	17.4	7.6	44%	9.8	56%	
2009	13.8	6.3	45%	7.5	55%	11.3	4.8	42%	6.6	58%	
2010	13.8	4.7	34%	9.2	66%	14.5	4.3	29%	10.3	71%	
2011	14.3	6.8	48%	7.4	52%	14.9	5.5	37%	9.4	63%	
2012	15.0	7.2	48%	7.8	52%	14.0	3.5	25%	10.5	75%	
2013	8.6	4.3	50%	4.3	50%	7.9	2.9	37%	5.0	63%	
2014	11.8	4.6	39%	7.3	61%	11.5	2.8	25%	8.7	75%	
2015	11.7	4.2	36%	7.5	64%	12.3	1.6	13%	10.7	87%	
2016	9.5	4.2	44%	5.3	56%	9.5	0.3	4%	9.2	96%	
2017	7.9	4.2	53%	3.8	47%	8.0	1.1	14%	6.9	86%	
	Siskiyou County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in February 2018 ¹										

Note. ¹ Siskiyou County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in February 2018. A SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data for provided SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 117. Siskiyou ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2017




Figure 118.

Population of Siskiyou Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017





Figure 119.



SOLANO COUNTY

Table 94. Solano Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	95.5	57.4	60%	38.2	40%	96.5	37.2	39%	59.3	61%
2003	98.8	70.9	72%	28.1	28%	103.3	37.3	36%	66.0	64%
2004	103.2	70.8	69%	32.6	32%	103.8	30.3	29%	73.5	71%
2005	103.9	71.8	69%	32.2	31%	102.3	33.0	32%	69.3	68%
2006	115.3	84.3	73%	31.0	27%	114.1	35.2	31%	78.9	69%
2007	100.5	66.0	66%	34.3	34%	100.3	41.0	41%	59.3	59%
2008	110.3	72.1	65%	38.1	35%	110.8	45.8	41%	64.9	59%
2009	108.8	54.1	50%	54.5	50%	108.4	50.3	46%	58.2	54%
2010	103.1	64.0	62%	39.1	38%	102.9	45.4	44%	57.5	56%
2011	98.0	50.2	51%	47.9	49%	97.2	45.2	46%	52.0	54%
2012	93.8	44.0	47%	49.6	53%	90.6	42.8	47%	47.8	53%
2013	89.3	40.3	45%	48.7	55%	85.6	39.6	46%	46.0	54%
2014	71.5	34.2	48%	37.2	52%	69.5	33.0	47%	36.5	53%
2015	77.8	37.6	48%	40.3	52%	75.7	33.6	44%	42.1	56%
2016	73.9	32.8	44%	41.1	56%	67.2	23.8	35%	43.4	65%
2017	50.3	24.5	49%	25.6	51%	50.8	11.9	23%	38.9	77%
2018	38.3	21.8	57%	16.5	43%	37.9	7.6	20%	30.3	80%
2019	40.0	20.9	52%	19.1	48%	39.3	10.2	26%	29.2	74%
2020	28.7	12.3	43%	16.3	57%	27.9	7.0	25%	20.9	75%

Table 95. Solano Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	69.8	57.4	82%	12.5	18%	69.9	23.3	33%	46.7	67%
2003	76.8	70.9	92%	5.9	8%	79.7	27.1	34%	52.6	66%
2004	78.4	70.8	90%	7.7	10%	78.3	18.8	24%	59.6	76%
2005	78.3	71.8	92%	6.5	8%	77.2	21.2	27%	56.0	73%
2006	90.5	84.3	93%	6.2	7%	89.1	23.7	27%	65.4	73%
2007	78.1	66.0	85%	11.9	15%	77.8	29.9	38%	47.8	62%
2008	84.8	72.1	85%	12.6	15%	84.9	32.7	38%	52.3	62%
2009	83.3	54.1	65%	29.1	35%	83.6	37.8	45%	45.8	55%
2010	78.0	64.0	82%	14.1	18%	77.8	30.3	39%	47.6	61%
2011	73.8	50.2	68%	23.7	32%	72.3	33.1	46%	39.2	54%
2012	71.1	44.0	62%	27.1	38%	68.1	31.5	46%	36.6	54%
2013	66.9	40.3	60%	26.5	40%	63.5	25.7	40%	37.8	60%
2014	58.4	34.2	59%	24.2	41%	56.6	23.7	42%	32.9	58%
2015	64.8	37.6	58%	27.1	42%	62.2	22.9	37%	39.3	63%
2016	62.0	32.8	53%	29.3	47%	55.8	14.7	26%	41.2	74%
2017	46.4	24.5	53%	21.8	47%	47.8	10.3	21%	37.6	79%
2018	30.4	21.8	72%	8.6	28%	29.9	6.8	23%	23.2	77%
2019	32.4	20.9	65%	11.5	35%	31.8	10.1	32%	21.7	68%
2020	19.0	12.3		6.6	35%	18.4	6.2	34%	12.2	66%

Table 96. Solano Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily		n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (amns/Rar	nches only	25.7	100%	26.6	13.9	52%	12.7	48%
2003		st-Disposit		22.2	100%	23.6	10.2	43%	13.4	57%
2004		therefore t		25.0	100%	25.4	11.5	45%	13.9	55%
2005			ed of Post-	25.7	100%	25.2	11.8	47%	13.3	53%
2006		n juveniles		24.8	100%	25.0	11.5	46%	13.5	54%
2007				22.4	100%	22.5	11.1	49%	11.4	51%
2008				25.4	100%	25.8	13.2	51%	12.7	49%
2009			25.4	100%	24.8	12.5	50%	12.3	50%	
2010				25.0	100%	25.1	15.2	60%	9.9	40%
2011				24.2	100%	24.9	12.1	48%	12.8	52%
2012				22.6	100%	22.5	11.3	50%	11.3	50%
2013				22.2	100%	22.1	13.9	63%	8.2	37%
2014				13.0	100%	12.9	9.3	72%	3.6	28%
2015				13.2	100%	13.5	10.7	79%	2.8	21%
2016				11.8	100%	11.3	9.1	80%	2.3	20%
2017				3.8	100%	3.0	1.7	56%	1.3	44%
2018				7.9	100%	8.0	0.8	10%	7.2	90%
2019				7.6	100%	7.6	0.1	1%	7.5	99%
2020					100%	9.4	0.8	8%	8.7	92%

Figure 120. Solano ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 121.
Solano Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 122.
Solano Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



SONOMA COUNTY

Table 97. Sonoma Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	96.7	29.2	30%	67.5	70%	96.0	60.8	63%	35.3	37%
2003	104.1	31.5	30%	72.6	70%	105.3	56.8	54%	48.5	46%
2004	115.2	33.8	29%	81.4	71%	115.3	61.6	53%	53.7	47%
2005	111.8	38.4	34%	73.6	66%	115.3	59.6	52%	55.7	48%
2006	134.1	47.3	35%	86.6	65%	134.2	74.2	55%	60.0	45%
2007	134.3	43.7	33%	90.3	67%	135.7	63.6	47%	72.1	53%
2008	125.0	39.1	31%	85.9	69%	126.4	60.8	48%	65.7	52%
2009	106.9	41.0	38%	65.8	62%	107.3	48.6	45%	58.8	55%
2010	112.4	42.7	38%	69.5	62%	113.5	61.5	54%	52.0	46%
2011	109.5	36.2	33%	73.2	67%	109.6	61.1	56%	48.5	44%
2012	108.8	35.7	33%	73.0	67%	110.8	63.3	57%	47.5	43%
2013	79.2	26.4	33%	52.7	67%	78.8	44.0	56%	34.8	44%
2014	83.9	27.0	32%	57.0	68%	87.2	52.1	60%	35.1	40%
2015	76.4	24.4	32%	52.0	68%	76.8	44.3	58%	32.5	42%
2016	64.8	24.0	37%	40.8	63%	66.8	37.8	57%	29.0	43%
2017	57.3	23.2	40%	34.0	59%	57.5	27.5	48%	30.0	52%
2018	44.2	18.6	42%	25.6	58%	44.7	21.4	48%	23.3	52%
2019	51.3	20.6	40%	31.0	60%	51.7	29.6	57%	22.1	43%
2020	33.0	14.1	43%	19.1	58%	34.7	20.7	60%	14.0	40%

Table 98. Sonoma Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	62.6	29.2	47%	33.4	53%	62.7	40.0	64%	22.7	36%
2003	68.3	31.5	46%	36.8	54%	69.2	37.2	54%	32.0	46%
2004	74.9	33.8	45%	41.2	55%	74.9	41.2	55%	33.8	45%
2005	75.8	38.4	51%	37.5	49%	79.1	39.3	50%	39.8	50%
2006	93.4	47.3	51%	46.1	49%	92.8	48.8	53%	43.9	47%
2007	97.4	43.7	45%	53.7	55%	98.2	44.9	46%	53.3	54%
2008	88.0	39.1	44%	49.0	56%	88.9	43.6	49%	45.3	51%
2009	81.1	41.0	51%	40.1	49%	79.7	35.8	45%	43.9	55%
2010	84.8	42.7	50%	42.2	50%	86.8	48.9	56%	37.9	44%
2011	82.0	36.2	44%	45.7	56%	81.9	46.8	57%	35.2	43%
2012	81.7	35.7	44%	46.0	56%	84.1	49.3	59%	34.8	41%
2013	63.4	26.4	42%	37.0	58%	62.8	37.4	60%	25.4	40%
2014	71.8	27.0	38%	44.9	63%	74.6	44.3	59%	30.3	41%
2015	64.5	24.4	38%	40.1	62%	65.0	38.0	58%	27.0	42%
2016	54.8	24.0	44%	30.9	56%	56.8	33.8	59%	23.0	41%
2017	44.4	23.2	52%	21.2	48%	44.9	21.6	48%	23.3	52%
2018	36.8	18.6	51%	18.3	50%	37.5	17.8	47%	19.8	53%
2019	37.8	20.6	54%	17.4	46%	39.1	21.9	56%	17.2	44%
2020	24.6	14.1	57%	10.7		25.8	14.1	55%	11.7	45%

Table 99. Sonoma Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Dail		n	<u> </u>		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile C	amns/Rai	nches only	34.1	100%	33.3	20.8	62%	12.6	38%
2003	house Pos			35.8	100%	36.1	19.6	54%	16.5	46%
2004	juveniles,	•		40.3	100%	40.3	20.4	51%	19.9	49%
2005			sed of Post-	36.0	100%	36.2	20.3	56%	15.9	44%
2006	Disposition			40.5	100%	41.4	25.3	61%	16.1	39%
2007				36.6	100%	37.5	18.7	50%	18.8	50%
2008				36.9	100%	37.5	17.2	46%	20.3	54%
2009				25.7	100%	27.7	12.8	46%	14.8	54%
2010				27.4	100%	26.7	12.6	47%	14.1	53%
2011				27.5	100%	27.7	14.3	52%	13.3	48%
2012				27.0	100%	26.8	14.0	52%	12.8	48%
2013				15.8	100%	15.9	6.6	41%	9.3	59%
2014				12.1	100%	12.6	7.8	62%	4.8	38%
2015				12.0	100%	11.8	6.3	54%	5.5	46%
2016			9.9	100%	10.1	4.1	40%	6.0	60%	
2017				12.8	100%	12.6	5.9	47%	6.7	53%
2018				7.3	100%	7.2	3.7	51%	3.5	49%
2019				13.6	100%	12.6	7.7	61%	4.9	39%
2020					100%	8.9	6.6	74%	2.3	26%

Figure 123.
Sonoma ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 124.
Sonoma Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 125.
Sonoma Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



STANISLAUS COUNTY

Table 100. Stanislaus Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	_
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	117.7	67.5	57%	50.2	43%	119.8	26.7	22%	93.1	78%
2003	106.1	55.6	52%	50.4	48%	105.3	20.8	20%	84.6	80%
2004	129.8	76.4	59%	53.4	41%	134.3	18.0	13%	116.3	87%
2005	139.9	71.8	51%	68.3	49%	136.1	18.7	14%	117.4	86%
2006	145.3	69.0	48%	76.3	52%	149.9	20.8	14%	129.1	86%
2007	144.4	80.8	56%	63.7	44%	145.3	13.9	10%	131.3	90%
2008	132.3	70.8	54%	61.5	47%	136.3	21.8	16%	114.5	84%
2009	147.8	83.0	56%	64.7	44%	147.3	18.6	13%	128.7	87%
2010	145.3	81.2	56%	64.0	44%	149.0	15.0	10%	134.0	90%
2011	133.8	66.3	50%	67.4	50%	132.7	13.0	10%	119.7	90%
2012	121.4	60.0	49%	61.3	51%	121.1	17.1	14%	104.0	86%
2013	104.1	45.1	43%	59.0	57%	109.4	22.4	20%	87.0	80%
2014	94.8	37.5	39%	57.3	60%	95.6	16.1	17%	79.5	83%
2015	91.8	64.2	70%	27.4	30%	93.1	23.2	25%	69.9	75%
2016	85.4	41.5	49%	43.8	51%	83.3	13.5	16%	69.8	84%
2017	68.8	35.6	52%	33.0	48%	68.2	14.1	21%	54.1	79%
2018	78.8	47.2	60%	31.4	40%	79.0	17.3	22%	61.7	78%
2019	74.6	39.1	52%	35.6	48%	74.3	14.8	20%	59.5	80%
2020	61.2	38.7	63%	22.6	37%	58.1	7.8	13%	50.3	87%

Table 101. Stanislaus Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	[,] Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	117.7	67.5	57%	50.2	43%	119.8	26.7	22%	93.1	78%
2003	106.1	55.6	52%	50.4	48%	105.3	20.8	20%	84.6	80%
2004	129.8	76.4	59%	53.4	41%	134.3	18.0	13%	116.3	87%
2005	139.9	71.8	51%	68.3	49%	136.1	18.7	14%	117.4	86%
2006	145.3	69.0	48%	76.3	52%	149.9	20.8	14%	129.1	86%
2007	144.4	80.8	56%	63.7	44%	145.3	13.9	10%	131.3	90%
2008	132.3	70.8	54%	61.5	47%	136.3	21.8	16%	114.5	84%
2009	147.8	83.0	56%	64.7	44%	147.3	18.6	13%	128.7	87%
2010	145.3	81.2	56%	64.0	44%	149.0	15.0	10%	134.0	90%
2011	133.8	66.3	50%	67.4	50%	132.7	13.0	10%	119.7	90%
2012	121.4	60.0	49%	61.3	51%	121.1	17.1	14%	104.0	86%
2013	82.3	45.1	55%	37.2	45%	89.1	17.8	20%	71.3	80%
2014	62.1	37.5	60%	24.6	40%	62.3	10.3	17%	51.9	83%
2015	67.8	64.2	95%	3.5	5%	68.9	15.9	23%	53.0	77%
2016	57.8	41.5	72%	16.2	28%	56.6	8.8	16%	47.8	84%
2017	47.2	35.6	76%	11.4	24%	46.5	8.3	18%	38.2	82%
2018	57.8	47.2	82%	10.4	18%	58.0	11.0	19%	47.0	81%
2019	50.1	39.1	78%	11.0	22%	49.8	8.2	16%	41.6	84%
2020	52.9	38.7	73%	14.2	27%	50.9	5.9	12%	45.0	88%

Table 102. Stanislaus Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2013 through 20201

			Average Daily	/ Population	on	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (Samns/Rar	nches only							
2003		st-Disposit								
2004		therefore t								
2005			ed of Post-							
2006		n juveniles								
2007		•								
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012					Star	nislaus County'	s Camp op	ened June 2	2013.	
2013				37.3	100%	34.9	7.9	23%	27.0	77%
2014				32.7	100%	33.3	5.8	17%	27.6	83%
2015				23.9	100%	24.2	7.3	30%	16.9	70%
2016				27.6	100%	26.8	4.7	17%	22.1	83%
2017				21.6	100%	21.7	5.8	27%	15.9	73%
2018				20.9	100%	21.0	6.3	30%	14.7	70%
2019				24.6	100%	24.5	6.6	27%	17.9	73%
2020				8.3	100%	7.2	1.9		5.3	
1 1 20 4										

Figure 126.
Stanislaus ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 127.
Stanislaus Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 128.
Stanislaus Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



TEHAMA COUNTY

Table 103. Tehama Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	20.7	7.9	38%	12.7	61%	19.1	13.8	72%	5.3	28%
2003	29.5	8.0	27%	21.7	73%	30.8	23.1	75%	7.7	25%
2004	32.6	8.1	25%	24.6	75%	33.3	20.8	62%	12.6	38%
2005	30.2	5.3	18%	24.9	82%	30.0	21.5	72%	8.5	28%
2006	28.3	5.8	21%	22.4	79%	28.3	18.1	64%	10.2	36%
2007	32.5	5.8	18%	26.6	82%	32.3	22.3	69%	9.9	31%
2008	28.7	6.2	22%	22.4	78%	27.6	14.8	54%	12.8	46%
2009	18.7	4.1	22%	14.4	77%	17.3	11.9	69%	5.4	31%
2010	15.0	3.0	20%	12.0	80%	14.8	10.3	69%	4.5	31%
2011	18.5	4.5	24%	14.1	76%	18.3	9.5	52%	8.8	48%
2012	15.5	5.6	36%	9.8	63%	15.6	9.0	58%	6.6	42%
2013	14.7	6.7	45%	8.0	55%	15.3	6.2	40%	9.1	60%
2014	15.3	6.8	45%	8.3	54%	15.0	13.0	87%	2.0	13%
2015	16.8	7.9	47%	9.0	54%	11.9	9.4	79%	2.5	21%
2016	15.1	5.5	36%	9.6	64%	12.5	9.8	79%	2.7	21%
2017	15.3	6.8	45%	8.3	55%	15.9	10.7	67%	5.3	33%
2018 ¹	26.2	12.6	48%	13.4	51%	26.8	14.8	55%	12.0	45%
2019	17.8	8.3	47%	9.5	53%	16.6	7.0	42%	9.6	58%
2020	8.7	2.2	26%	6.5	75%	5.9	2.0	34%	3.9	66%

Figure 129.

Tehama ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 130.

Tehama Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 131.



TRINITY COUNTY

Table 104. Trinity Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2014 1

		A	verage Daily	[,] Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11.3	3.4	30%	7.9	70%	11.4	5.7	50%	5.8	50%
2003	7.3	3.0	41%	4.3	59%	7.3	3.9	53%	3.4	47%
2004	7.9	1.9	24%	6.0	76%	7.9	6.3	79%	1.7	21%
2005	10.3	4.8	46%	5.6	54%	10.3	6.5	63%	3.8	37%
2006	13.5	6.0	44%	7.5	56%	13.7	9.5	70%	4.2	30%
2007	16.4	5.6	34%	10.8	66%	16.8	11.8	70%	5.1	30%
2008	14.8	4.7	32%	10.1	68%	13.6	9.2	67%	4.4	33%
2009	10.6	2.4	23%	8.2	77%	12.1	8.3	69%	3.8	31%
2010	13.4	3.5	26%	9.9	74%	12.8	7.4	58%	5.4	42%
2011	7.4	1.9	26%	5.5	74%	7.2	5.5	77%	1.7	23%
2012	9.1	3.0	33%	6.1	67%	8.9	6.3	71%	2.6	29%
2013	6.3	2.7	42%	3.7	58%	6.5	4.4	68%	2.1	32%
2014	5.4	1.9	34%	3.5	64%	5.1	2.0	39%	3.1	61%

Trinity County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014²

Note. ¹ 2014 data based on January - July. ² Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in August 2014. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 105. Trinity Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2014 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11.3	3.4	30%	7.9	70%	11.4	5.7	50%	5.8	50%
2003	7.3	3.0	41%	4.3	59%	7.3	3.9	53%	3.4	47%
2004	7.9	1.9	24%	6.0	76%	7.9	6.3	79%	1.7	21%
2005	10.3	4.8	46%	5.6	54%	10.3	6.5	63%	3.8	37%
2006	11.5	6.0	52%	5.5	48%	11.7	8.6	74%	3.1	26%
2007	10.4	5.6	54%	4.8	46%	10.8	7.3	68%	3.5	32%
2008	10.2	4.7	46%	5.5	54%	8.7	5.8	67%	2.8	33%
2009	5.6	2.4	43%	3.2	57%	6.7	4.6	69%	2.1	31%
2010	7.5	3.5	47%	4.0	53%	6.9	4.6	66%	2.3	34%
2011	3.3	1.9	59%	1.3	41%	2.8	2.0	73%	0.8	27%
2012	4.4	3.0	68%	1.4	32%	4.0	2.7	67%	1.3	33%
2013	3.0	2.7	89%	0.3	11%	3.1	2.3	76%	0.8	24%
2014	2.1	1.9	87%	0.3	13%	2.1	1.1	53%	1.0	47%

Trinity County converted their Juvenile Hall to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014²

Note. ¹ 2014 data based on January - July. ² Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall (SPJH) in August 2014. An SPJH is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data of provided of SPJH's do not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 106. Trinity Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 3 through 2014 2

	,		Average Daily			tinoagn 2014		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	<u>-</u>	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot _	Misdei	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only							
2003	house Pos	•	_							
2004	juveniles, t									
2005	ADP is onl	ly compris	ed of Post-		Trinity Count	y's Camp open	ed Septen	nber 2006.		
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	.	6.0	100%	6.0	2.8	46%	3.3	54%
2007				6.0	100%	6.0	4.4	74%	1.6	26%
2008				4.6	100%	4.9	3.3	68%	1.6	32%
2009				5.0	100%	5.4	3.8	69%	1.7	31%
2010				5.9	100%	5.9	2.8	48%	3.1	52%
2011				4.2	100%	4.4	3.5	79%	0.9	21%
2012				4.7	100%	4.9	3.7	75%	1.3	25%
2013				3.3	100%	3.4	2.1	61%	1.3	39%
2014				3.2	100%	3.0	0.9	29%	2.1	71%
2015					Trinity Cour	nty's Camp clos	ed Augusi	t 2014.		
2016										
2017										
2018										
2019										

Figure 132.

Trinity ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2014 1



Figure 133.

Population of Trinity Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2014 1





Figure 134.

Trinity Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2014 1



TULARE COUNTY

Table 107. Tulare Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	205.6	93.9	46%	111.6	54%	200.3	96.2	48%	104.1	52%
2003	178.8	101.6	57%	77.1	43%	179.5	95.4	53%	84.1	47%
2004	182.6	107.3	59%	75.4	41%	180.4	94.0	52%	86.4	48%
2005	190.1	82.3	43%	107.8	57%	189.0	112.3	59%	76.7	41%
2006	212.2	77.7	37%	134.3	63%	197.2	112.3	57%	84.9	43%
2007	183.7	82.9	45%	100.9	55%	184.7	93.9	51%	90.8	49%
2008	170.6	82.0	48%	88.8	52%	175.8	80.8	46%	95.0	54%
2009	166.2	78.8	47%	87.3	53%	168.6	66.8	40%	101.8	60%
2010	182.0	60.6	33%	121.5	67%	184.7	54.1	29%	130.6	71%
2011	179.6	57.4	32%	122.3	68%	194.6	47.4	24%	147.2	76%
2012	154.4	45.5	29%	108.9	71%	164.8	36.9	22%	127.8	78%
2013	164.4	47.6	29%	116.8	71%	174.6	40.8	23%	133.8	77%
2014	147.0	45.8	31%	101.3	69%	149.9	29.3	20%	120.6	80%
2015	157.1	46.8	30%	110.3	70%	153.5	41.3	27%	112.3	73%
2016	127.2	51.1	40%	76.2	60%	131.7	39.0	30%	92.7	70%
2017	123.9	47.0	38%	76.7	62%	123.6	38.4	31%	85.2	69%
2018	97.4	35.8	37%	61.5	63%	93.5	18.8	20%	74.8	80%
2019	71.2	32.6	46%	38.4	54%	71.5	15.3	21%	56.2	79%
2020	47.9	22.3	47%	25.6	53%	48.1	6.4	13%	41.7	87%

Table 108. Tulare Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	125.2	93.9	75%	31.1	25%	122.8	66.2	54%	56.7	46%	
2003	126.3	101.6	80%	24.6	19%	126.9	66.5	52%	60.4	48%	
2004	124.6	107.3	86%	17.4	14%	122.9	62.3	51%	60.7	49%	
2005	123.7	82.3	67%	41.4	33%	123.3	69.1	56%	54.2	44%	
2006	112.1	77.7	69%	34.4	31%	90.1	47.5	53%	42.6	47%	
2007	98.5	82.9	84%	15.7	16%	94.9	40.4	43%	54.5	57%	
2008	88.3	82.0	93%	6.5	7%	91.0	33.8	37%	57.3	63%	
2009	88.4	78.8	89%	9.5	11%	89.5	29.7	33%	59.8	67%	
2010	83.3	60.6	73%	22.7	27%	84.3	16.0	19%	68.3	81%	
2011	75.5	57.4	76%	18.3	24%	85.1	17.8	21%	67.3	79%	
2012	65.1	45.5	70%	19.6	30%	72.5	16.5	23%	56.0	77%	
2013	64.9	47.6	73%	17.4	27%	75.7	18.0	24%	57.7	76%	
2014	58.8	45.8	78%	13.0	22%	60.2	13.6	23%	46.6	77%	
2015	68.4	46.8	68%	21.6	32%	68.2	20.7	30%	47.5	70%	
2016	60.8	51.1	84%	9.8	16%	64.4	19.5	30%	44.9	70%	
2017	58.0	47.0	81%	11.0	19%	55.9	15.8	28%	40.2	72%	
2018	40.0	35.8	89%	4.3	11%	38.1	8.3	22%	29.8	78%	
2019	34.8	32.6	94%	2.2	6%	33.8	6.8	20%	27.0	80%	
2020	22.6	22.3	99%	0.2	1%	23.4	3.4	15%	20.0	85%	

Table 109. Tulare Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	80.4	100%	77.4	30.0	39%	47.4	61%	
2003		ise Post-Disposition			100%	52.6	28.9	55%	23.7	45%	
2004		therefore t		58.0	100%	57.5	31.8	55%	25.8	45%	
2005			ed of Post-	66.4	100%	65.8	43.3	66%	22.5	34%	
2006		n juveniles		99.9	100%	107.1	64.8	60%	42.3	40%	
2007					100%	89.8	53.5	60%	36.3	40%	
2008					100%	84.8	47.1	56%	37.8	44%	
2009				77.7	100%	79.1	37.1	47%	42.0	53%	
2010				98.8	100%	100.4	38.1	38%	62.3	62%	
2011				104.0	100%	109.5	29.6	27%	79.9	73%	
2012				89.3	100%	92.3	20.4	22%	71.8	78%	
2013				99.4	100%	98.9	22.8	23%	76.2	77%	
2014				88.4	100%	89.8	15.8	18%	74.0	82%	
2015				88.7	100%	85.3	20.6	24%	64.8	76%	
2016				66.4	100%	67.3	19.5	29%	47.8	71%	
2017				65.6	100%	67.7	22.7	33%	45.0	67%	
2018				57.3	100%	55.4	10.4	19%	45.0	81%	
2019				36.2	100%	37.7	8.5	23%	29.2	77%	
2020				25.4	100%	24.7	3.0	12%	21.7	88%	

Figure 135.

Tulare ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 136.

Tulare Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 137.

Tulare Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹



TUOLUMNE COUNTY

Table 110. Tuolumne Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2017 through 20201

			Average Daily	y Population	on		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Fe	lony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2011											
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016			Tuolumne	County's	Juvenile Hall	opened April	2017.				
2017	5.6	3.0	52%	2.6	47%	6.4	3.9	61%	2.5	39%	
2018	8.0	6.5	81%	1.5	19%	8.7	8.2	94%	0.5	6%	
2019	10.0	2.7	7 27%	7.3	73%	10.0	3.5	35%	6.5	65%	
2020	11.4	4.3	38%	7.2	2 63%	13.8	3.0	22%	10.8	78%	

Table 111. Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2017 through 20201

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Dis	sposition	ition Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2011											
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016			Tuolumne	County's	Juvenile Hall	opened April 2	2017.				
2017	5.6	3.0	52%	2.6	47%	6.4	3.9	61%	2.5	39%	
2018	8.0	6.5	81%	1.5	19%	8.7	8.2	94%	0.5	6%	
2019	10.0	2.7	27%	7.3	3 73%	10.0	3.5	35%	6.5	65%	
2020	11.4	4.3	38%	7.2	2 63%	13.8	3.0	22%	10.8	78%	

Table 112. Tuolumne Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 20202

			Average Daily	/ Populati	ion		One-Day Snapshot				
	-	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Fe	elony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2011										_	
2012	Juvenile C	•									
2013	house Pos										
2014	juveniles, t										
2015	ADP is onl Post-Dispo	•									
2016	i ost-bispt	osition juvi	eriiles.								
2017											
2018											
2019				Tuolumne County's Camp opened March 2020							
2020				0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0) #DIV/0!	

Figure 138.

Tuolumne ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2017 through 2020¹




Figure 139.

Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2017 through 2020¹





Figure 140.

Tuolumne Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2017 through 2020¹



VENTURA COUNTY

Table 113. Ventura Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	174.3	45.7	26%	127.5	73%	175.7	68.9	39%	106.8	61%
2003	170.6	37.5	22%	133.1	78%	169.4	73.1	43%	96.3	57%
2004	171.1	40.5	24%	130.6	76%	172.7	93.4	54%	79.3	46%
2005	187.6	43.6	23%	144.1	77%	188.9	98.2	52%	90.8	48%
2006	191.3	40.5	21%	150.7	79%	193.2	105.9	55%	87.3	45%
2007	183.0	56.5	31%	126.5	69%	180.8	79.8	44%	101.0	56%
2008	203.0	67.1	33%	135.8	67%	202.7	53.7	26%	149.0	74%
2009	186.8	63.3	34%	123.5	66%	186.3	54.4	29%	131.9	71%
2010	164.6	53.2	32%	111.4	68%	166.0	47.6	29%	118.4	71%
2011	155.3	53.0	34%	102.3	66%	152.4	36.4	24%	116.0	76%
2012	117.4	43.2	37%	74.3	63%	118.2	34.6	29%	83.6	71%
2013	102.3	41.4	40%	60.9	60%	104.3	26.6	25%	77.8	75%
2014	91.5	35.1	38%	56.4	62%	82.6	38.2	46%	44.4	54%
2015	88.8	32.4	36%	56.4	64%	88.3	41.3	47%	47.1	53%
2016	81.8	29.5	36%	52.4	64%	80.4	35.1	44%	45.3	56%
2017	94.8	36.8	39%	58.0	61%	97.3	44.8	46%	52.4	54%
2018	81.2	38.6	48%	42.5	52%	82.4	35.2	43%	47.3	57%
2019	77.8	34.4	44%	43.4	56%	76.6	28.8	38%	47.8	62%
2020	65.2	30.2	46%	35.1	54%	66.8	20.3	30%	46.4	70%

Table 114. Ventura Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
_		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	94.3	45.7	48%	48.5	51%	96.0	26.8	28%	69.2	72%
2003	86.8	37.5	43%	49.3	57%	85.6	24.7	29%	60.9	71%
2004	70.2	40.5	58%	29.6	42%	71.3	24.0	34%	47.3	66%
2005	72.2	43.6	60%	28.7	40%	73.5	30.0	41%	43.5	59%
2006	68.5	40.5	59%	27.8	41%	70.4	33.0	47%	37.4	53%
2007	77.7	56.5	73%	21.2	27%	75.8	29.8	39%	46.0	61%
2008	89.0	67.1	75%	21.8	25%	89.9	32.3	36%	57.6	64%
2009	94.1	63.3	67%	30.9	33%	93.6	41.3	44%	52.3	56%
2010	77.8	53.2	68%	24.5	32%	79.2	34.3	43%	44.8	57%
2011	68.2	53.0	78%	15.2	22%	65.4	18.1	28%	47.3	72%
2012	48.5	43.2	89%	5.3	11%	49.3	15.2	31%	34.1	69%
2013	50.6	41.4	82%	9.2	18%	52.3	13.7	26%	38.7	74%
2014	41.4	35.1	85%	6.3	15%	35.6	12.3	35%	23.3	65%
2015	38.9	32.4	83%	6.5	17%	38.3	12.2	32%	26.1	68%
2016	36.4	29.5	81%	6.9	19%	34.4	11.7	34%	22.8	66%
2017	45.0	36.8	82%	8.2	18%	44.3	15.4	35%	28.8	65%
2018	43.8	38.6	88%	5.2	12%	45.5	12.3	27%	33.3	73%
2019	43.9	34.4	78%	9.5	22%	42.7	11.2	26%	31.5	74%
2020	42.9	30.2	71%	12.8	30%	43.4	10.7	25%	32.8	75%

Table 115. Ventura Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (:amns/Rar	nches only	79.0	100%	79.7	42.1	53%	37.6	47%
2003		st-Disposit		83.8	100%	83.8	48.4	58%	35.4	42%
2004		therefore t		100.9	100%	101.4	69.4	68%	32.0	32%
2005			ed of Post-	115.4	100%	115.4	68.2	59%	47.3	41%
2006		n juveniles		122.8	100%	122.8	72.9	59%	49.8	41%
2007				105.3	100%	105.0	50.0	48%	55.0	52%
2008				114.0	100%	112.8	21.3	19%	91.4	81%
2009				92.7	100%	92.8	13.2	14%	79.6	86%
2010				86.8	100%	86.8	13.3	15%	73.6	85%
2011				87.1	100%	87.0	18.3	21%	68.7	79%
2012				68.9	100%	68.9	19.4	28%	49.5	72%
2013				51.8	100%	52.0	12.9	25%	39.1	75%
2014				50.1	100%	47.0	25.8	55%	21.2	45%
2015				49.9	100%	50.1	29.1	58%	21.0	42%
2016				45.5	100%	46.0	23.4	51%	22.6	49%
2017				49.8	100%	53.0	29.4	56%	23.6	44%
2018				37.3	100%	36.9	22.9	62%	14.0	38%
2019				33.9	100%	33.9	17.6	52%	16.3	48%
2020				22.3	100%	23.3	9.7	41%	13.7	59%

Figure 141.

Ventura ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 142.

Ventura Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 143.

Ventura Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 th



YOLO COUNTY

Table 116. Yolo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42.7	29.1	68%	13.5	32%	40.3	4.7	12%	35.7	88%
2003	39.0	27.2	70%	11.7	30%	38.0	4.3	11%	33.7	89%
2004	36.9	24.7	67%	12.3	33%	34.3	1.8	5%	32.4	95%
2005	35.3	19.9	56%	15.4	44%	35.2	0.7	2%	34.5	98%
2006	43.2	22.3	52%	20.8	48%	34.2	4.9	14%	29.3	86%
2007	67.3	22.4	33%	44.8	67%	26.9	5.2	19%	21.8	81%
2008	74.5	18.3	25%	56.2	75%	16.8	2.9	17%	13.8	83%
2009	73.6	14.9	20%	58.6	80%	13.4	1.7	12%	11.8	88%
2010	64.5	14.8	23%	49.6	77%	21.7	0.9	4%	20.8	96%
2011	43.3	10.0	23%	33.3	77%	14.7	0.3	2%	14.3	98%
2012	29.3	7.1	24%	22.0	75%	12.2	0.9	8%	11.3	92%
2013	25.6	14.2	55%	11.4	44%	20.8	0.7	3%	20.1	97%
2014	22.7	11.6	51%	10.9	48%	25.3	0.3	1%	25.0	99%
2015	33.5	14.7	44%	19.0	57%	28.4	6.7	23%	21.8	77%
2016	40.6	15.0	37%	25.7	63%	22.3	2.5	11%	19.8	89%
2017	28.7	7.6	27%	20.9	73%	14.1	1.1	8%	13.0	92%
2018	21.9	3.7	17%	18.3	84%	11.1	1.4	13%	9.7	87%
2019	13.0	3.8	29%	9.3	72%	8.7	1.3	15%	7.3	85%
2020	4.8	4.6	97%	0.1	2%	7.3	0.3	5%	7.0	95%

Figure 144. Yolo ADP of Juvenile Hall Population for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 145.
Yolo Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹





Figure 146.



YUBA COUNTY

Table 117. Yuba Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		А	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	61.5	24.4	40%	37.0	60%	60.7	27.8	46%	32.8	54%
2003	60.7	25.9	43%	35.0	58%	60.4	19.5	32%	40.9	68%
2004	56.0	23.1	41%	32.8	59%	55.9	17.8	32%	38.1	68%
2005	55.1	21.6	39%	33.4	61%	57.6	19.8	34%	37.8	66%
2006	46.8	17.6	38%	29.1	62%	48.2	15.6	32%	32.6	68%
2007	47.6	17.4	36%	30.3	64%	48.3	15.8	33%	32.6	67%
2008	61.7	24.8	40%	36.8	60%	63.8	22.8	36%	41.0	64%
2009	53.8	20.6	38%	33.2	62%	54.8	15.3	28%	39.6	72%
2010	48.5	16.8	35%	31.7	65%	49.7	15.8	32%	33.9	68%
2011	49.6	15.2	31%	34.3	69%	49.8	21.6	43%	28.3	57%
2012	45.1	15.0	33%	30.1	67%	44.4	20.3	46%	24.1	54%
2013	53.1	18.3	35%	35.0	66%	53.9	24.1	45%	29.8	55%
2014	49.8	16.1	32%	33.6	67%	48.9	21.4	44%	27.5	56%
2015	55.8	24.5	44%	31.4	56%	55.6	20.4	37%	35.2	63%
2016	40.7	17.7	44%	23.1	57%	41.1	12.7	31%	28.4	69%
2017	41.6	18.2	44%	23.4	56%	41.2	12.8	31%	28.4	69%
2018	44.9	15.6	35%	29.4	65%	44.3	9.3	21%	35.1	79%
2019	29.6	10.1	34%	19.5	66%	30.8	8.3	27%	22.4	73%
2020	23.6	6.7	29%	17.0	72%	23.1	3.9	17%	19.2	83%

Table 118. Yuba Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	on		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Feld	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	45.7	24.4	53%	21.3	47%	44.8	19.7	44%	25.2	56%
2003	45.2	25.9	57%	19.3	43%	44.0	14.8	34%	29.3	66%
2004	37.3	23.1	62%	14.2	38%	36.9	10.1	27%	26.8	73%
2005	35.3	21.6	61%	13.6	39%	36.7	11.4	31%	25.3	69%
2006	28.5	17.6	62%	10.9	38%	29.6	10.8	36%	18.8	64%
2007	28.9	17.4	60%	11.6	40%	29.2	10.3	35%	18.9	65%
2008	38.7	24.8	64%	13.9	36%	39.7	13.9	35%	25.8	65%
2009	34.5	20.6	60%	13.8	40%	34.7	9.6	28%	25.1	72%
2010	29.5	16.8	57%	12.6	43%	30.4	8.8	29%	21.7	71%
2011	24.8	15.2	61%	9.6	39%	25.6	11.0	43%	14.6	57%
2012	24.8	15.0	60%	9.8	39%	24.2	10.8	45%	13.3	55%
2013	29.8	18.3	61%	11.6	39%	30.4	13.0	43%	17.4	57%
2014	26.2	16.1	62%	10.0	38%	25.4	13.3	52%	12.2	48%
2015	32.3	24.5	76%	7.8	24%	31.8	13.2	41%	18.6	59%
2016	23.2	17.7	76%	5.4	23%	23.1	7.7	33%	15.4	67%
2017	24.0	18.2	76%	5.8	24%	24.0	7.9	33%	16.1	67%
2018	22.6	15.6	69%	7.1	31%	22.3	5.0	22%	17.3	78%
2019	16.5	10.1	61%	6.5	39%	17.7	5.3	30%	12.3	70%
2020	12.2	6.7	55%	5.6	46%	11.1	2.7	24%	8.4	76%

Table 119. Yuba Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 20201

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	luvenile (amns/Rar	nches only	15.7	100%	15.8	8.2	52%	7.7	48%
2003		st-Disposit		15.7	100%	16.4	4.8	29%	11.7	71%
2004		therefore t		18.6	100%	19.0	7.8	41%	11.3	59%
2005	•		ed of Post-	19.8	100%	20.9	8.3	40%	12.6	60%
2006		n juveniles		18.2	100%	18.6	4.8	26%	13.8	74%
2007		_		18.7	100%	19.2	5.5	29%	13.7	71%
2008				22.9	100%	24.1	8.8	37%	15.3	63%
2009				19.4	100%	20.2	5.7	28%	14.5	72%
2010				19.1	100%	19.3	7.0	36%	12.3	64%
2011				24.7	100%	24.3	10.6	44%	13.7	56%
2012				20.3	100%	20.3	9.5	47%	10.8	53%
2013				23.4	100%	23.5	11.1	47%	12.4	53%
2014				23.6	100%	23.5	8.2	35%	15.3	65%
2015				23.6	100%	23.8	7.3	30%	16.6	70%
2016				17.7	100%	18.0	5.0	28%	13.0	72%
2017				17.6	100%	17.2	4.8	28%	12.3	72%
2018				22.3	100%	22.1	4.3	19%	17.8	81%
2019				13.0	100%	13.1	3.0	23%	10.1	77%
2020				11.4	100%	12.0	1.2	10%	10.8	90%

Figure 147.
Yuba ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 148.

Yuba Juvenile Hall Population by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2020¹




Figure 149. Yuba Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2020¹

