# Board of State and Community Corrections Juvenile Detention Profile Survey Data Caveats & Limitations

The following caveats and limitations have been identified for the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey data:

- Source data can be queried at <a href="http://app.bscc.ca.gov/jog/jds/QuerySelection.asp">http://app.bscc.ca.gov/jog/jds/QuerySelection.asp</a>
- We make every effort to verify the submitted data to ensure its accuracy, but it is ultimately up to the jurisdictions (counties) to report reliable data.
- We do not collect details on policies that jurisdictions enforce that might influence these data.
- A number of juvenile facilities have opened and closed from 2002 through 2019. These changes might affect how the data are represented.
  - Mariposa County: Mariposa County operates a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Facility-level data provided does not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
  - Mono County: Mono County operated a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall between 2002 and March 2014. Facility-level data provided does not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
  - Plumas County: Plumas County operated a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall between 2002 and March 2008.
     Facility-level data provided does not provide meaningful trends, thus are excluded from this document.
  - o Trinity County: Trinity County's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014.

Table 1. Statewide Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	<u>n</u>			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11,129	3,548	32%	7,579	68%	11,113	3,879	35%	7,234	65%
2003	10,919	3,491	32%	7,428	68%	10,918	3,706	34%	7,212	66%
2004	10,643	3,800	36%	6,843	64%	10,663	3,494	33%	7,170	67%
2005	10,917	3,991	37%	6,924	63%	10,817	3,638	34%	7,179	66%
2006	11,272	4,202	37%	7,069	63%	11,063	3,557	32%	7,506	68%
2007	11,139	4,189	38%	6,948	62%	10,925	3,271	30%	7,653	70%
2008	10,952	3,816	35%	7,136	65%	10,781	3,151	29%	7,630	71%
2009	10,040	3,472	35%	6,570	65%	9,930	2,833	29%	7,097	71%
2010	9,100	3,037	33%	6,062	67%	9,081	2,712	30%	6,370	70%
2011	8,245	2,622	32%	5,621	68%	8,144	2,441	30%	5,704	70%
2012	7,360	2,377	32%	4,982	68%	7,346	2,223	30%	5,123	70%
2013	6,628	2,117	32%	4,511	68%	6,674	2,080	31%	4,593	69%
2014	5,781	1,953	34%	3,828	66%	5,788	1,905	33%	3,882	67%
2015	5,307	1,964	37%	3,343	63%	5,357	1,956	37%	3,401	63%
2016	4,695	1,790	38%	2,904	62%	4,687	1,542	33%	3,145	67%
2017	4,462	1,757	39%	2,707	61%	4,465	1,404	31%	3,061	69%
2018	4,013	1,661	41%	2,350	59%	3,999	1,094	27%	2,905	73%
2019	3,692	1,566	42%	2,127	58%	3,702	1,017	27%	2,686	73%

Table 2. Statewide Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	6,574	3,548	54%	3,025	46%	6,559	2,365	36%	4,194	64%
2003	6,450	3,491	54%	2,960	46%	6,408	2,235	35%	4,173	65%
2004	6,461	3,800	59%	2,662	41%	6,441	2,198	34%	4,243	66%
2005	6,819	3,991	59%	2,828	41%	6,716	2,380	35%	4,336	65%
2006	7,019	4,202	60%	2,817	40%	6,847	2,262	33%	4,585	67%
2007	6,860	4,189	61%	2,670	39%	6,669	1,987	30%	4,682	70%
2008	6,615	3,816	58%	2,798	42%	6,367	1,881	30%	4,486	70%
2009	6,201	3,472	56%	2,727	44%	6,016	1,833	30%	4,183	70%
2010	5,724	3,037	53%	2,687	47%	5,584	1,890	34%	3,694	66%
2011	5,140	2,622	51%	2,516	49%	5,003	1,722	34%	3,281	66%
2012	4,549	2,377	52%	2,171	48%	4,518	1,570	35%	2,948	65%
2013	4,104	2,117	52%	1,987	48%	4,134	1,446	35%	2,688	65%
2014	3,640	1,953	54%	1,687	46%	3,577	1,341	37%	2,236	63%
2015	3,409	1,964	58%	1,446	42%	3,390	1,382	41%	2,008	59%
2016	3,034	1,790	59%	1,243	41%	2,975	1,092	37%	1,883	63%
2017	2,910	1,757	60%	1,157	40%	2,889	952	33%	1,937	67%
2018	2,673	1,661	62%	1,011	38%	2,643	778	29%	1,866	71%
2019	2,485	1,566	63%	920	37%	2,467	740	30%	1,726	70%

Table 3. Statewide Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot							
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony						
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP						
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	4,555	100%	4,554	1,514	33%	3,040	67%						
2003	house Pos	•		4,469	100%	4,510	1,472	33%	3,038	67%						
2004	juveniles,	therefore t	heir Total	4,182	100%	4,222	1,296	31%	2,926	69%						
2005	ADP is on	ly compris	ed of Post-	4,097	100%	4,101	1,258	31%	2,843	69%						
2006	Disposition	n juveniles		4,253	100%	4,216	1,295	31%	2,921	69%						
2007				4,279	100%	4,255	1,284	30%	2,971	70%						
2008				4,337	100%	4,414	1,270	29%	3,144	71%						
2009				3,840	100%	3,914	1,000	26%	2,914	74%						
2010				3,376	100%	3,497	821	23%	2,676	77%						
2011				3,105	100%	3,141	718	23%	2,423	77%						
2012				2,812	100%	2,828	653	23%	2,175	77%						
2013				2,524	100%	2,540	634	25%	1,905	75%						
2014										2,141	100%	2,211	564	26%	1,646	74%
2015								1,897	100%	1,967	574	29%	1,393	71%		
2016					1,661	100%	1,712	450	26%	1,263	74%					
2017				1,552	100%	1,576	451	29%	1,125	71%						
2018				1,340	100%	1,356	316	23%	1,039	77%						
2019				1,207	100%	1,236	276	22%	959	78%						

Figure 1. Statewide ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 2. Population of Statewide Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





BSCC JDPS data as of July 29, 2019. 12019 data through first quarter only (Jan-Mar).

Table 4. Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the First Quarter of 2019<sup>1</sup>

		Δ	verage Daily	Populatio	n	Snapshot		One-Day S	napshot	
	ADP 1st	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	AMP 1st	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
	Quarter					Quarter				
County	2019	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	2019	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
Alameda	83	44	52%	40	48%	82	24	30%	58	70%
Butte	22	8	38%	13	62%	22	0	0%	22	100%
Contra Costa	126	50	40%	76	60%	139	33	23%	107	77%
Del Norte	Reports not r	eceived at ti	me of posting.							
El Dorado	21	13	61%	8	39%	21	15	72%	6	28%
Fresno	206	71	35%	134	65%	206	36	17%	170	83%
Humboldt	20	19	96%	1	6%	20	14	69%	6	31%
Imperial	18	13	74%	5	26%	18	5	28%	13	72%
Inyo <sup>2</sup>	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a
Kern	241	52	22%	188	78%	246	112	46%	133	54%
Kings	29	15	51%	14	49%	29	4	13%	26	88%
Lassen	3	0	10%	3	87%	2	0	0%	2	100%
Los Angeles	840	284	34%	556	66%	833	219	26%	614	74%
Madera	39	17	43%	22	57%	39	16	41%	23	59%
Marin	13	6	43%	7	56%	12	9	78%	3	22%
Mariposa	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a
Mendocino	14	8	60%	6	41%	13	4	28%	10	73%
Merced	39	7	17%	33	84%	39	2	5%	37	95%
Monterey	87	39	45%	48	55%	83	17	21%	66	79%
Napa	15	10	67%	5	33%	15	7	48%	8	52%
Nevada	5	3	70%	1	29%	4	2	54%	2	46%
Orange	227	89	39%	138	61%	238	60	25%	177	75%
Placer	13	3	23%	10	77%	10	3	32%	7	68%
Riverside	145	63	43%	82	57%	145	25	17%	120	83%

Table 4 (continued). Combined Juvenile Facility Populations by County and Pre-Disposition, Post-Disposition, Misdemeanor, and Felony for the First Quarter of 2019<sup>1</sup>

		Δ	verage Daily	Populatio	n	Snapshot		One-Day S	napshot	
	ADP 1st	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	AMP 1st	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
	Quarter					Quarter				
County	2019	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	2019	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
Sacramento	113	92	81%	21	19%	113	48	42%	65	58%
San Benito	5	2	43%	3	59%	6	4	71%	2	29%
San Bernardino	147	73	50%	74	50%	151	33	22%	119	79%
San Diego	310	119	38%	191	62%	317	58	18%	259	82%
San Francisco	44	28	64%	16	36%	43	15	36%	27	64%
San Joaquin	106	61	57%	45	43%	106	6	6%	99	94%
San Luis Obispo	22	10	46%	12	56%	21	11	52%	10	48%
San Mateo	58	32	55%	26	45%	59	25	42%	34	58%
Santa Barbara	55	17	32%	37	68%	57	34	60%	23	40%
Santa Clara	182	106	58%	76	42%	180	35	19%	145	81%
Santa Cruz	17	14	82%	3	19%	17	6	37%	11	63%
Shasta	25	13	51%	12	49%	25	8	32%	17	68%
Siskiyou	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a
Solano	40	24	60%	16	41%	39	10	26%	29	74%
Sonoma	50	20	40%	30	60%	53	27	51%	26	49%
Stanislaus	84	49	58%	36	42%	84	14	17%	70	83%
Tehama	26	14	53%	12	47%	27	11	42%	16	58%
Trinity	2	0	0%	1	87%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Tulare	77	29	38%	47	61%	75	19	25%	56	75%
Tuolumne	9	4	39%	6	61%	9	3	35%	6	65%
Ventura	74	36	49%	37	51%	75	31	42%	43	58%
Yolo	15	1	8%	14	94%	2	0	17%	2	83%
Yuba	29	10	34%	19	67%	28	7	24%	21	76%

#### **ALAMEDA COUNTY**

Table 5. Alameda Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	328	174	53%	154	47%	329	189	57%	140	43%
2003	318	188	59%	129	41%	323	174	54%	149	46%
2004	333	200	60%	133	40%	346	184	53%	162	47%
2005	313	193	62%	120	38%	315	166	53%	148	47%
2006	324	186	57%	138	43%	284	130	46%	154	54%
2007	326	209	64%	116	36%	278	109	39%	169	61%
2008	359	188	52%	171	48%	262	92	35%	170	65%
2009	310	178	58%	131	42%	239	83	35%	156	65%
2010	284	164	58%	120	42%	207	60	29%	147	71%
2011	270	123	46%	147	54%	195	67	34%	128	66%
2012	251	90	36%	161	64%	249	109	44%	140	56%
2013	215	89	41%	126	59%	215	90	42%	125	58%
2014	199	89	45%	110	55%	197	71	36%	127	64%
2015	160	70	44%	90	56%	163	65	40%	98	60%
2016	106	52	49%	53	50%	107	41	38%	67	62%
2017	97	46	48%	51	53%	97	35	36%	62	64%
2018	82	41	50%	41	50%	82	28	34%	54	66%
2019	83	44	52%	40	48%	82	24	30%	58	70%

Table 6. Alameda Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	259	174	67%	86	33%	252	148	59%	104	41%
2003	243	188	78%	55	22%	234	125	54%	109	46%
2004	249	200	80%	49	20%	244	132	54%	112	46%
2005	238	193	81%	45	19%	237	128	54%	109	46%
2006	247	186	75%	61	25%	206	95	46%	111	54%
2007	255	209	82%	46	18%	202	83	41%	119	59%
2008	278	188	68%	90	32%	180	71	39%	109	61%
2009	245	178	73%	67	27%	174	63	36%	112	64%
2010	231	164	71%	66	29%	154	45	30%	108	70%
2011	220	123	56%	97	44%	144	45	32%	98	68%
2012	201	90	45%	111	55%	200	88	44%	111	56%
2013	175	89	51%	86	49%	174	72	41%	102	59%
2014	161	89	55%	72	45%	160	62	39%	97	61%
2015	133	70	53%	63	47%	136	57	42%	78	58%
2016	87	52	60%	35	40%	88	34	39%	54	61%
2017	78	46	59%	32	41%	77	30	39%	47	61%
2018	66	41	61%	25	38%	65	24	36%	42	64%
2019	67	44	65%	24	36%	65	20	31%	45	69%

Table 7. Alameda Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_		Average Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot				
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	69	100%	77	41	53%	36	47%			
2003	house Pos	•	•	75	100%	89	49	55%	40	45%			
2004	juveniles, t	•		84	100%	102	52	51%	50	49%			
2005			ed of Post-	75	100%	77	38	49%	39	51%			
2006	Disposition	i juveniles	<b>5.</b>	77	100%	78	35	45%	43	55%			
2007				71	100%	76	27	35%	49	65%			
2008				81	100%	82	21	25%	61	75%			
2009				64	100%	64	20	31%	45	69%			
2010				53	100%	53	15	28%	38	72%			
2011				50	100%	52	22	42%	30	58%			
2012				50	100%	50	21	42%	29	58%			
2013				40	100%	41	18	44%	23	56%			
2014				38	100%	38	8	22%	29	78%			
2015				28	100%	27	8	29%	20	71%			
2016							18	100%	19	6	33%	13	67%
2017				19	100%	20	5	26%	15	74%			
2018				16	100%	17	4	26%	13	74%			
2019				16	100%	17	4	24%	13	76%			

Figure 4. Alameda ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 5. Population of Alameda Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **BUTTE COUNTY**

Table 8. Butte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	59	45	77%	14	23%	59	27	46%	32	54%
2003	57	32	55%	26	45%	56	15	26%	41	74%
2004	58	33	57%	25	43%	58	3	6%	54	94%
2005	55	31	57%	24	43%	55	2	4%	53	96%
2006	58	32	55%	26	45%	58	1	2%	56	98%
2007	55	31	56%	24	44%	55	1	1%	54	99%
2008	52	31	60%	21	40%	52	1	2%	51	98%
2009	49	27	55%	22	45%	49	0	1%	49	99%
2010	51	26	50%	25	50%	51	2	3%	50	97%
2011	48	25	51%	24	49%	48	2	4%	46	96%
2012	44	21	49%	22	51%	44	6	13%	38	87%
2013	41	20	49%	21	51%	41	1	1%	40	99%
2014	33	12	37%	21	63%	33	1	3%	32	97%
2015	30	13	43%	17	57%	30	0	1%	30	99%
2016	27	10	35%	18	65%	27	0	1%	27	99%
2017	34	10	28%	24	72%	33	0	1%	33	99%
2018	27	8	28%	19	72%	25	0	0%	25	100%
2019	22	8	38%	13	62%	22	0	0%	22	100%

Table 9. Butte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	59	45	77%	14	23%	59	27	46%	32	54%
2003	57	32	55%	26	45%	56	15	26%	41	74%
2004	58	33	57%	25	43%	58	3	6%	54	94%
2005	55	31	57%	24	43%	55	2	4%	53	96%
2006	58	32	55%	26	45%	58	1	2%	56	98%
2007	55	31	56%	24	44%	55	1	1%	54	99%
2008	52	31	60%	21	40%	52	1	2%	51	98%
2009	49	27	55%	22	45%	49	0	1%	49	99%
2010	51	26	50%	25	50%	51	2	3%	50	97%
2011	48	25	51%	24	49%	48	2	4%	46	96%
2012	44	21	49%	22	51%	44	6	13%	38	87%
2013	41	20	49%	21	51%	41	1	1%	40	99%
2014	26	12	47%	14	53%	27	1	4%	26	96%
2015	22	13	60%	9	40%	22	0	1%	21	99%
2016	18	10	52%	9	48%	19	0	1%	19	99%
2017	22	10	44%	12	56%	21	0	1%	21	99%
2018	20	8	39%	12	61%	19	0	0%	18	100%
2019	17	8	49%	9	51%	17	0	0%	17	100%

Table 10. Butte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2014 through 2019

		•	Average Daily	Populati	on			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-D	isposition	Snapshot _	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
<ul><li>2002</li><li>2003</li></ul>	Juvenile C	•	nches only							
2004	juveniles,									
2005			ed of Post-							
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	<b>3</b> .							
2007										
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012										
2013					Butte Co	ounty's Camp	opened Ju	uly 2014.		
2014				14	100%	14	C	0%	14	100%
2015				ç	100%	9	C	2%	9	98%
2016				ç	100%	9	C	0%	9	100%
2017				12	100%	12	C	0%	12	100%
2018				7	7 100%	7	C	0%	7	100%
2019				Ę	100%	5	C	0%	5	100%

Figure 7. Butte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 8. Population of Butte Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **COLUSA COUNTY**

Table 11. Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2011 1

			Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Ca	mn/Ranc	hes only	97	100%	97	9	9%	89	91%
2003	house Post	•	•	91	100%	91	13	14%	78	86%
2004	juveniles, th	•		77	100%	75	9	12%	66	88%
2005	ADP is only			51	100%	51	6	12%	45	88%
2006	Disposition	•		53	100%	54	8	14%	47	86%
2007		<b>,</b>		58	100%	63	12	19%	51	81%
2008				57	100%	58	5	9%	52	91%
2009				45	100%	49	3	7%	45	93%
2010				44	100%	45	2	5%	42	95%
2011				45	100%	45	2	4%	43	96%
			Co	lusa Coun	ty's Camp cl	osed June 201	11			





Figure 10. Colusa Juvenile Camp/Ranch ADP for 2002 through 2011 1



#### **CONTRA COSTA COUNTY**

Table 12. Contra Costa Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily		napshot	apshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	Post-Disposition		napshot Misdeme		eanor Felo	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	228	74	33%	153	67%	241	85	35%	156	65%
2003	227	79	35%	148	65%	224	89	40%	135	60%
2004	225	81	36%	144	64%	217	74	34%	143	66%
2005	248	97	39%	150	61%	190	56	30%	133	70%
2006	277	133	48%	144	52%	198	60	30%	139	70%
2007	261	121	47%	140	53%	262	90	34%	172	66%
2008	264	110	42%	154	58%	265	84	32%	180	68%
2009	273	115	42%	158	58%	275	94	34%	181	66%
2010	292	108	37%	185	63%	290	87	30%	203	70%
2011	247	78	31%	169	69%	235	69	29%	166	71%
2012	234	79	34%	154	66%	233	62	27%	171	73%
2013	224	77	34%	148	66%	222	72	32%	150	68%
2014	225	76	34%	149	66%	218	53	24%	165	76%
2015	214	76	35%	139	65%	212	58	27%	154	73%
2016	172	54	32%	117	68%	169	53	31%	116	69%
2017	147	53	36%	95	64%	145	45	31%	100	69%
2018	150	55	37%	94	63%	149	36	24%	113	76%
2019	126	50	40%	76	60%	139	33	23%	107	77%

Table 13. Contra Costa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	136	74	55%	61	45%	149	57	38%	92	62%
2003	130	79	61%	51	39%	132	59	45%	73	55%
2004	140	81	58%	59	42%	131	49	38%	82	63%
2005	157	97	62%	59	38%	98	33	34%	65	66%
2006	180	133	74%	47	26%	102	28	28%	73	72%
2007	167	121	73%	46	27%	169	56	33%	113	67%
2008	164	110	67%	54	33%	165	54	32%	111	68%
2009	177	115	65%	61	35%	179	60	34%	119	66%
2010	193	108	56%	86	44%	191	56	29%	135	71%
2011	149	78	52%	72	48%	138	41	30%	97	70%
2012	144	79	55%	65	45%	143	41	29%	102	71%
2013	119	77	64%	43	36%	118	42	36%	76	64%
2014	121	76	63%	45	37%	114	28	25%	86	75%
2015	118	76	64%	42	36%	116	32	28%	83	72%
2016	86	54	63%	32	37%	84	32	38%	52	62%
2017	77	53	68%	24	32%	73	25	35%	48	65%
2018	76	55	73%	21	27%	74	21	29%	53	71%
2019	64	50	78%	14	22%	78	23	29%	55	71%

Table 14. Contra Costa Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_		Average Daily	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	92	100%	92	28	31%	64	69%	
2003		uvenile Camps/Ranches only ouse Post-Disposition veniles, therefore their Total			100%	92	30	33%	61	67%	
2004					100%	86	25	29%	61	71%	
2005	•		ed of Post-	91	100%	92	23	25%	69	75%	
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		97	100%	97	32	33%	65	67%	
2007				94	100%	94	34	37%	59	63%	
2008					100%	100	31	31%	69	69%	
2009					100%	96	34	35%	62	65%	
2010				99	100%	99	32	32%	67	68%	
2011				98	100%	98	28	29%	70	71%	
2012				89	100%	90	21	24%	68	76%	
2013				105	100%	104	30	29%	74	71%	
2014				104	100%	104	25	24%	79	76%	
2015				96	100%	96	25	26%	71	74%	
2016				85	100%	85	21	25%	64	75%	
2017					100%	72	20	28%	52	72%	
2018				74	100%	75	15	19%	60	81%	
2019				61	100%	61	10	16%	51	84%	

Figure 12. Contra Costa ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 <sup>1</sup>




Figure 13. Population of Contra Costa Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 14. Contra Costa Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



#### **DEL NORTE COUNTY**

Table 15. Del Norte Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		<i>P</i>	Average Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	41	6	13%	36	87%	41	8	20%	33	80%	
2003	44	6	13%	38	86%	44	14	32%	30	68%	
2004	41	6	15%	35	85%	42	16	38%	26	62%	
2005	40	7	17%	33	83%	39	14	36%	25	64%	
2006	38	6	17%	32	83%	37	14	37%	23	63%	
2007	38	6	17%	32	84%	28	3	11%	25	89%	
2008	41	7	17%	34	83%	30	3	8%	28	92%	
2009	33	6	18%	27	83%	26	3	12%	23	88%	
2010	36	5	15%	31	85%	34	10	30%	24	70%	
2011	32	6	19%	26	82%	31	7	21%	25	79%	
2012	34	6	18%	28	82%	35	10	29%	25	71%	
2013	32	5	16%	26	83%	33	9	29%	23	71%	
2014	27	4	16%	22	83%	25	6	26%	18	74%	
2015	32	5	17%	26	83%	31	4	12%	28	88%	
2016	21	4	18%	17	83%	21	6	27%	15	73%	
2017	12	4	33%	8	67%	12	6	47%	6	53%	
2018	9	4	45%	5	55%	9	4	47%	5	53%	
2019				Report	s not receive	d at time of po	sting.				

Table 16. Del Norte Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	Post-Disposition		Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	14	6	40%	8	60%	13	8	66%	4	34%	
2003	18	6	32%	12	67%	18	14	77%	4	23%	
2004	18	6	34%	12	67%	19	16	82%	4	18%	
2005	18	7	39%	11	61%	16	14	86%	2	14%	
2006	15	6	42%	9	58%	16	14	84%	3	16%	
2007	15	6	42%	9	58%	5	3	62%	2	38%	
2008	15	7	46%	8	54%	5	3	52%	2	48%	
2009	11	6	51%	6	50%	5	3	69%	1	31%	
2010	12	5	46%	6	54%	12	10	86%	2	14%	
2011	12	6	50%	6	51%	11	7	57%	5	43%	
2012	12	6	51%	6	49%	13	10	76%	3	24%	
2013	9	5	59%	4	41%	10	9	88%	1	13%	
2014	10	4	45%	5	55%	8	5	63%	3	37%	
2015	9	5	63%	3	35%	8	4	43%	5	57%	
2016	8	4	44%	5	55%	8	6	73%	2	27%	
2017	8	4	48%	4	53%	9	5	64%	3	36%	
2018	9	4	45%	5	55%	9	4	47%	5	53%	
2019				Repoi	rts not receive	d at time of pos	ting.				

Table 17. Del Norte Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2017<sup>2</sup>

	_		Average Dail	y Population		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	28	100%	29	0	0%	29	100%
2003	house Pos	•		26	100%	26	0	0%	26	100%
2004		uveniles, therefore their Total			100%	22	0	0%	22	100%
2005			ed of Post-	23	100%	23	0	0%	23	100%
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		23	100%	21	0	0%	21	100%
2007				23	100%	23	0	0%	23	100%
2008				26	100%	26	0	0%	26	100%
2009				21	100%	21	0	0%	21	100%
2010				24	100%	22	0	0%	22	100%
2011				20	100%	20	0	0%	20	100%
2012				22	100%	23	1	3%	22	97%
2013				23	100%	23	1	3%	22	97%
2014				17	100%	17	2	9%	15	91%
2015				23	100%	23	0	1%	23	99%
2016				13	100%	13	0	0%	13	100%
2017				5	100%	5	0	9%	4	91%
2018				Del Norte	's Camp clos	ed in Septemb	per 2017			

Figure 15. Del Norte ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 16. Population of Del Norte Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **EL DORADO COUNTY**

Table 18. El Dorado Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

·		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	39	15	39%	24	61%	40	19	48%	21	52%
2003	39	15	38%	24	62%	38	20	53%	18	47%
2004	38	15	39%	23	61%	38	21	54%	17	46%
2005	41	13	32%	28	68%	42	26	62%	16	38%
2006	45	16	36%	29	64%	48	28	57%	21	43%
2007	47	15	32%	32	68%	51	29	57%	22	43%
2008	46	16	35%	30	65%	48	28	58%	20	42%
2009	54	21	39%	33	61%	55	32	59%	23	41%
2010	54	23	43%	31	57%	55	33	60%	22	40%
2011	50	18	36%	32	64%	50	35	71%	15	29%
2012	38	17	43%	22	57%	39	25	64%	14	36%
2013	35	16	45%	19	55%	35	26	72%	10	28%
2014	28	12	42%	16	58%	28	21	73%	8	27%
2015	26	15	58%	11	42%	26	18	68%	8	32%
2016	34	14	41%	20	59%	32	22	69%	10	31%
2017	31	18	58%	13	42%	32	21	67%	10	33%
2018	24	14	57%	10	43%	24	17	68%	8	32%
2019	21	13	61%	8	39%	21	15	72%	6	28%

Table 19. El Dorado Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	39	15	39%	24	61%	40	19	48%	21	52%
2003	39	15	38%	24	62%	38	20	53%	18	47%
2004	38	15	39%	23	61%	38	21	54%	17	46%
2005	41	13	32%	28	68%	42	26	62%	16	38%
2006	38	16	43%	22	57%	41	24	58%	17	42%
2007	37	15	41%	22	59%	41	25	60%	16	40%
2008	36	16	44%	20	56%	39	25	64%	14	36%
2009	46	21	47%	24	53%	46	28	60%	18	40%
2010	46	23	50%	23	50%	47	29	62%	18	38%
2011	41	18	44%	23	56%	40	30	74%	10	26%
2012	32	17	52%	15	48%	31	20	63%	12	37%
2013	29	16	54%	14	46%	30	22	73%	8	27%
2014	20	12	57%	9	43%	21	15	70%	6	30%
2015	23	15	67%	8	33%	22	15	67%	7	33%
2016	25	14	55%	11	45%	23	14	62%	9	38%
2017	25	18	73%	7	27%	25	16	65%	9	35%
2018	19	14	70%	6	29%	19	13	68%	6	32%
2019	17	13	75%	4	25%	17	13	75%	4	25%

Table 20. El Dorado Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006 through 2019

			Average Daily				0 . 0	One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile Ca	amns/Rar	nches only							
2003	house Pos	•	•							
2004	juveniles, t	•								
2005	ADP is only	y compris	ed of Post-	El Dor	ado County's	Camp opene	ed March 2	006.		
2006	Disposition	juveniles	<b>.</b>	9	100%	9	5	54%	4	46%
2007				10	100%	10	5	46%	6	54%
2008				9	100%	10	3	34%	6	66%
2009				9	100%	9	4	50%	4	50%
2010				8	100%	8	4	53%	4	47%
2011				10	100%	10	5	56%	4	44%
2012				7	100%	7	5	68%	2	32%
2013				6	100%	6	4	69%	2	31%
2014				7	100%	7	6	83%	1	17%
2015				4	100%	3	3	80%	1	20%
2016				8	100%	9	8	89%	1	11%
2017				6	100%	7	5	77%	2	23%
2018				5	100%	5	4	71%	1	29%
2019				4	100%	4	3	62%	2	38%

Figure 18. El Dorado ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 19. Population of El Dorado Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **FRESNO COUNTY**

Table 21. Fresno Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	474	149	31%	326	69%	476	150	32%	326	68%
2003	471	152	32%	319	68%	474	168	35%	306	65%
2004	475	159	34%	316	66%	475	191	40%	283	60%
2005	472	160	34%	312	66%	470	196	42%	274	58%
2006	495	141	29%	353	71%	491	200	41%	291	59%
2007	513	139	27%	373	73%	513	229	45%	284	55%
2008	505	140	28%	365	72%	515	224	43%	291	57%
2009	414	133	32%	281	68%	418	138	33%	279	67%
2010	355	131	37%	225	63%	358	112	31%	246	69%
2011	334	111	33%	224	67%	339	104	31%	235	69%
2012	316	110	35%	206	65%	319	82	26%	236	74%
2013	301	104	35%	196	65%	302	65	21%	237	79%
2014	299	101	34%	197	66%	302	71	23%	231	77%
2015	247	89	36%	158	64%	252	66	26%	187	74%
2016	224	92	41%	133	59%	221	51	23%	170	77%
2017	225	88	39%	138	61%	228	45	20%	183	80%
2018	218	79	36%	139	64%	220	38	17%	182	83%
2019	206	71	35%	134	65%	206	36	17%	170	83%

Table 22. Fresno Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	<sup>r</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden			ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	288	149	52%	139	48%	290	92	32%	198	68%
2003	297	152	51%	146	49%	300	113	38%	187	62%
2004	304	159	52%	145	48%	305	132	43%	174	57%
2005	302	160	53%	142	47%	301	134	44%	167	56%
2006	268	141	53%	127	47%	263	107	41%	156	59%
2007	186	139	75%	46	25%	143	54	38%	89	62%
2008	191	140	73%	52	27%	146	47	32%	100	68%
2009	187	133	71%	53	29%	141	36	25%	105	75%
2010	166	131	79%	35	21%	137	32	23%	105	77%
2011	147	111	75%	37	25%	116	29	25%	87	75%
2012	140	110	78%	31	22%	114	25	22%	89	78%
2013	132	104	79%	28	21%	107	18	17%	89	83%
2014	133	101	76%	32	24%	106	22	20%	85	80%
2015	117	89	76%	28	24%	95	22	23%	73	77%
2016	117	92	78%	26	22%	92	21	22%	71	78%
2017	112	88	78%	24	22%	93	18	19%	75	81%
2018	104	79	76%	25	24%	87	14	16%	72	84%
2019	98	71	73%	27	27%	82	12	15%	69	85%

Table 23. Fresno Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_		Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot						
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony					
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP					
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	187	100%	186	58	31%	128	69%					
2003	house Pos	•	_	174	100%	174	55	32%	119	68%					
2004	juveniles, t	•		171	100%	169	60	35%	109	65%					
2005			ed of Post-	170	100%	169	63	37%	107	63%					
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		227	100%	228	93	41%	135	59%					
2007					100%	369	174	47%	195	53%					
2008					100%	369	177	48%	192	52%					
2009				227	100%	277	103	37%	174	63%					
2010					100%	221	80	36%	141	64%					
2011									187	100%	223	75	33%	148	67%
2012				175	100%	204	57	28%	148	72%					
2013				169	100%	195	46	24%	149	76%					
2014				166	100%	196	49	25%	146	75%					
2015								130	100%	157	44	28%	113	72%	
2016					107	100%	129	30	23%	99	77%				
2017				113	100%	135	27	20%	108	80%					
2018				114	100%	133	24	18%	109	82%					
2019				107	100%	124	24	19%	101	81%					

Figure 21. Fresno ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 22. Population of Fresno Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **GLENN COUNTY**

Table 24. Glenn Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2017 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	12	7	59%	5	42%	13	9	67%	4	33%
2003	7	4	53%	3	47%	7	6	88%	1	12%
2004	18	9	49%	9	51%	17	11	65%	6	35%
2005	23	11	47%	12	53%	23	14	63%	8	37%
2006	19	8	44%	11	56%	20	13	65%	7	35%
2007	18	6	36%	11	64%	17	7	39%	10	61%
2008	17	8	49%	9	51%	17	3	19%	14	81%
2009	16	7	45%	9	55%	16	6	37%	10	63%
2010	15	8	55%	7	45%	15	8	52%	7	48%
2011	13	6	46%	7	53%	13	4	31%	9	69%
2012	11	6	50%	6	49%	10	5	49%	5	51%
2013	15	7	47%	8	53%	15	6	39%	9	61%
2014	12	4	37%	8	64%	12	6	53%	6	47%
2015	8	3	45%	4	53%	9	6	75%	2	25%
2016	8	3	39%	5	61%	8	7	80%	2	20%
2017	6	3	54%	3	46%	8	5	62%	3	38%
			Glenn Co	ounty clos	ed their Juve	nile Hall in Jui	ne 2017			






Figure 25. Population of Glenn Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2017<sup>1</sup>





#### **HUMBOLDT COUNTY**

Table 25. Humboldt Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	49	17	35%	32	65%	48	27	56%	21	44%
2003	41	16	39%	25	62%	40	22	53%	19	47%
2004	39	15	38%	24	62%	39	17	43%	22	57%
2005	40	14	35%	26	65%	40	15	39%	24	61%
2006	40	18	44%	23	56%	40	20	50%	20	50%
2007	38	17	44%	21	55%	37	15	40%	23	60%
2008	41	22	53%	19	47%	41	16	38%	25	62%
2009	39	20	52%	18	47%	38	27	71%	11	29%
2010	33	16	47%	18	53%	33	26	78%	7	22%
2011	31	19	61%	12	38%	30	20	65%	10	35%
2012	30	18	62%	11	38%	28	19	69%	9	31%
2013	28	14	51%	13	49%	27	19	71%	8	29%
2014	27	15	56%	12	43%	26	19	73%	7	27%
2015	24	14	58%	10	42%	24	21	88%	3	12%
2016	24	12	47%	13	51%	24	18	75%	6	25%
2017	19	16	86%	3	15%	18	12	65%	6	35%
2018	21	20	95%	1	4%	20	14	70%	6	30%
2019	20	19	96%	1	6%	20	14	69%	6	31%






Figure 28. Population of Humboldt Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **IMPERIAL COUNTY**

Table 26. Imperial Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
			position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	44	30	69%	14	31%	45	0	0%	45	100%
2003	43	31	71%	12	29%	44	0	0%	44	100%
2004	43	30	70%	13	30%	45	0	0%	45	100%
2005	46	31	68%	15	32%	41	0	0%	41	100%
2006	47	36	76%	11	24%	48	0	0%	48	100%
2007	47	33	71%	14	29%	47	0	0%	47	100%
2008	31	24	79%	6	21%	29	0	1%	29	99%
2009	28	22	78%	6	21%	26	0	0%	26	100%
2010	28	22	81%	5	19%	27	0	0%	27	100%
2011	21	15	73%	5	26%	20	5	27%	15	73%
2012	15	9	62%	5	37%	14	7	49%	7	51%
2013	17	14	79%	4	21%	17	7	41%	10	59%
2014	12	9	72%	3	28%	13	6	49%	6	51%
2015	11	8	71%	3	29%	12	6	48%	6	52%
2016	12	8	69%	4	31%	12	6	56%	5	44%
2017	14	10	71%	4	29%	14	6	47%	7	53%
2018	21	15	70%	7	30%	21	6	30%	15	70%
2019	18	13	74%	5	26%	18	5	28%	13	72%






Figure 31. Population of Imperial Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **INYO COUNTY**

Table 27. Inyo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Population	n			One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Di	sposition	Snapshot <sup>-</sup>	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	6	3	41%	4	59%	6	4	64%	2	36%
2003	9	4	41%	6	59%	10	8	78%	2	22%
2004	11	5	43%	6	57%	12	8	66%	4	34%
2005	11	4	33%	7	66%	11	7	61%	4	39%
2006	10	3	33%	7	67%	10	8	75%	3	25%
2007	10	3	29%	7	71%	10	9	86%	1	14%
2008	11	5	45%	6	55%	12	9	74%	3	26%
2009	11	4	38%	7	62%	11	9	77%	3	23%
2010	12	4	37%	8	63%	12	9	74%	3	26%
2011	8	4	50%	4	50%	7	3	47%	4	53%
2012	7	3	44%	4	56%	7	3	41%	4	59%
2013	7	4	62%	2	38%	6	2	37%	4	63%
2014	5	2	48%	2	51%	5	3	55%	2	45%
2015	4	2	54%	2	46%	4	3	80%	1	20%
2016	2	2	82%	0	18%	2	1	52%	1	48%
2017	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a
2018	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a
2019	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0%

Note. Inyo's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in January 2017. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.






Figure 34. Population of Inyo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **KERN COUNTY**

Table 28. Kern Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day Sr	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	384	58	15%	326	85%	387	180	47%	207	53%
2003	380	53	14%	326	86%	381	177	47%	204	53%
2004	393	62	16%	330	84%	392	207	53%	184	47%
2005	379	56	15%	323	85%	378	184	49%	194	51%
2006	404	65	16%	339	84%	413	154	37%	258	63%
2007	437	71	16%	366	84%	437	142	32%	296	68%
2008	450	71	16%	379	84%	447	176	39%	271	61%
2009	402	65	16%	337	84%	396	175	44%	221	56%
2010	386	76	20%	310	80%	376	139	37%	238	63%
2011	377	62	16%	316	84%	376	123	33%	253	67%
2012	351	52	15%	299	85%	345	132	38%	213	62%
2013	342	51	15%	291	85%	379	177	47%	202	53%
2014	339	47	14%	292	86%	346	171	49%	175	51%
2015	300	50	17%	250	83%	301	179	59%	123	41%
2016	252	43	17%	209	83%	259	150	58%	109	42%
2017	259	55	21%	203	78%	279	153	55%	126	45%
2018	254	57	22%	197	78%	253	122	48%	131	52%
2019	241	52	22%	188	78%	246	112	46%	133	54%

Table 29. Kern Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor		ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	122	58	48%	63	52%	123	80	65%	44	35%
2003	105	53	51%	52	49%	105	62	59%	43	41%
2004	126	62	50%	63	50%	127	89	70%	38	30%
2005	136	56	41%	80	59%	136	90	66%	46	34%
2006	148	65	44%	84	56%	156	65	42%	91	58%
2007	160	71	45%	89	55%	162	64	40%	97	60%
2008	157	71	45%	86	55%	156	71	46%	85	54%
2009	155	65	42%	90	58%	153	67	44%	85	56%
2010	155	76	49%	79	51%	142	54	38%	88	62%
2011	141	62	44%	79	56%	139	45	33%	94	67%
2012	115	52	45%	63	55%	113	39	34%	75	66%
2013	104	51	50%	52	50%	131	52	40%	80	60%
2014	92	47	51%	45	49%	98	43	43%	56	57%
2015	83	50	60%	34	40%	85	46	54%	39	46%
2016	67	43	63%	25	37%	69	33	49%	35	51%
2017	76	55	73%	20	26%	88	36	41%	51	59%
2018	82	57	69%	26	31%	80	30	38%	50	62%
2019	74	52	71%	21	29%	77	31	40%	47	60%

Table 30. Kern Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population				_	One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	enile Camps/Ranches only			100%	264	100	38%	164	62%
2003		se Post-Disposition eniles, therefore their Total		274	100%	276	115	42%	160	58%
2004				267	100%	265	118	45%	147	55%
2005		ADP is only comprised of Post- Disposition juveniles.			100%	243	94	39%	149	61%
2006					100%	257	89	35%	167	65%
2007					100%	276	77	28%	198	72%
2008				293	100%	290	104	36%	186	64%
2009				247	100%	244	108	44%	136	56%
2010				231	100%	234	85	36%	149	64%
2011				236	100%	237	78	33%	160	67%
2012				236	100%	232	93	40%	139	60%
2013				238	100%	248	125	50%	123	50%
2014				246	100%	247	128	52%	119	48%
2015					100%	216	133	61%	84	39%
2016					100%	191	117	61%	74	39%
2017			183	100%	191	116	61%	75	39%	
2018				171	100%	173	92	53%	81	47%
2019				167	100%	168	82	49%	87	51%

Figure 36. Kern ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 37. Population of Kern Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **KINGS COUNTY**

Table 31. Kings Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Dis	isposition Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	104	23	22%	81	78%	105	62	59%	44	41%
2003	105	22	21%	83	79%	104	54	52%	51	48%
2004	102	22	22%	79	78%	99	44	45%	55	55%
2005	94	24	26%	69	74%	95	43	45%	52	55%
2006	90	24	26%	67	74%	89	46	51%	44	49%
2007	84	21	25%	63	75%	84	54	64%	30	36%
2008	89	23	26%	66	74%	90	72	80%	18	20%
2009	85	24	28%	61	72%	92	80	87%	12	13%
2010	75	25	33%	50	67%	75	64	85%	11	15%
2011	87	29	33%	58	67%	92	59	64%	34	36%
2012	74	26	36%	48	64%	74	11	14%	63	86%
2013	63	27	42%	36	58%	64	11	18%	53	82%
2014	52	26	51%	25	49%	48	4	7%	45	93%
2015	44	25	56%	20	44%	41	3	6%	38	94%
2016	53	24	46%	28	54%	53	10	19%	43	81%
2017	40	24	60%	16	40%	41	6	15%	35	85%
2018	34	18	54%	16	46%	33	3	8%	30	92%
2019	29	15	51%	14	49%	29	4	13%	26	88%

Table 32. Kings Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	57	23	41%	34	59%	58	26	46%	31	54%
2003	59	22	38%	36	62%	58	24	42%	34	58%
2004	60	22	37%	38	63%	60	25	42%	34	58%
2005	61	24	40%	36	60%	60	24	40%	36	60%
2006	60	24	39%	36	61%	60	29	48%	31	52%
2007	61	21	34%	41	66%	62	37	60%	25	40%
2008	59	23	38%	37	62%	60	48	80%	12	20%
2009	57	24	42%	33	58%	63	56	88%	7	12%
2010	37	25	66%	12	34%	37	35	95%	2	5%
2011	41	29	71%	12	29%	41	25	61%	16	39%
2012	35	26	75%	9	26%	35	4	11%	31	89%
2013	38	27	70%	12	30%	38	5	13%	33	87%
2014	36	26	74%	9	26%	33	2	7%	30	93%
2015	30	25	83%	5	17%	27	2	7%	25	93%
2016	37	24	67%	12	34%	37	7	19%	30	81%
2017	29	24	82%	5	18%	30	4	12%	26	88%
2018	23	18	79%	5	21%	23	2	8%	21	92%
2019	22	15	66%	8	34%	22	2	11%	19	89%

Table 33. Kings Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_		Average Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot								
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony							
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP							
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	47	100%	47	35	74%	12	26%							
2003	house Pos	•	_	46	100%	47	30	64%	17	36%							
2004	juveniles, t	•		42	100%	39	19	48%	20	52%							
2005			ed of Post-	33	100%	35	19	55%	15	45%							
2006	Disposition	•		30	100%	29	17	57%	13	43%							
2007				23	100%	22	16	74%	6	26%							
2008				29	100%	30	25	81%	6	19%							
2009					100%	29	24	84%	5	16%							
2010					100%	38	29	75%	9	25%							
2011									46	100%	51	34	66%	18	34%		
2012				39	100%	39	7	18%	32	82%							
2013				25	100%	26	6	25%	20	75%							
2014										16	100%	16	1	8%	15	92%	
2015															15	100%	14
2016						16	100%	15	3	18%	13	82%					
2017				11	100%	11	3	25%	8	75%							
2018				11	100%	10	1	8%	9	92%							
2019				6	100%	8	1	17%	6	83%							

Figure 39. Kings ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 40. Population of Kings Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **LAKE COUNTY**

Table 34. Lake Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2015 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	30	17	56%	13	44%	17	8	43%	10	57%
2003	35	15	42%	20	58%	18	8	41%	11	59%
2004	36	16	44%	20	56%	21	10	46%	11	54%
2005	35	15	42%	20	58%	24	10	41%	14	59%
2006	39	16	42%	22	58%	27	12	44%	15	56%
2007	38	17	43%	22	57%	28	13	47%	15	53%
2008	32	11	36%	21	64%	19	11	58%	8	42%
2009	21	10	47%	11	53%	15	7	44%	8	56%
2010	14	6	45%	8	55%	7	3	38%	4	62%
2011	12	6	46%	7	54%	7	4	58%	3	42%
2012	17	10	57%	7	43%	11	4	34%	8	66%
2013	20	9	46%	11	54%	12	4	38%	7	62%
2014	14	8	57%	6	43%	8	5	67%	3	33%
2015	10	6	64%	3	36%	5	3	63%	2	37%
			Lake Cou	nty closed	l their Juveni	le Hall in Octo	ber 2015			






Figure 43. Population of Lake Juvenile Hall by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2015<sup>1</sup>





#### **LASSEN COUNTY**

Table 35. Lassen Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	y Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	21	15	71%	6	29%	20	7	36%	13	64%
2003	16	13	84%	3	16%	15	6	36%	10	64%
2004	14	12	83%	3	18%	14	2	18%	11	82%
2005	14	12	85%	2	15%	11	7	57%	5	43%
2006	14	12	81%	3	18%	13	10	78%	3	22%
2007	18	13	70%	5	30%	18	12	69%	6	31%
2008	17	6	37%	10	63%	15	9	58%	6	42%
2009	9	5	59%	4	41%	9	7	73%	3	27%
2010	10	6	59%	4	41%	10	6	60%	4	40%
2011	11	5	49%	6	51%	11	5	47%	6	53%
2012	8	3	36%	5	64%	8	4	52%	4	48%
2013	9	2	26%	7	74%	9	4	45%	5	55%
2014	10	4	38%	6	62%	10	1	8%	9	92%
2015	9	7	83%	2	17%	8	6	70%	2	30%
2016	5	5	100%	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0%
2017	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
2018	3	3	88%	0	12%	4	3	91%	0	9%
2019	3	0	10%	3	87%	2	0	0%	2	100%






Figure 46. Population of Lassen Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **LOS ANGELES COUNTY**

Table 36. Los Angeles Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	3,686	892	24%	2,794	76%	3,669	819	22%	2,850	78%
2003	3,729	903	24%	2,826	76%	3,694	771	21%	2,923	79%
2004	3,528	980	28%	2,548	72%	3,534	695	20%	2,839	80%
2005	3,541	1,072	30%	2,469	70%	3,516	697	20%	2,819	80%
2006	3,437	1,138	33%	2,299	67%	3,378	597	18%	2,781	82%
2007	3,276	1,110	34%	2,166	66%	3,171	583	18%	2,589	82%
2008	3,162	1,057	33%	2,105	67%	3,167	576	18%	2,591	82%
2009	2,983	981	33%	2,006	67%	2,989	531	18%	2,458	82%
2010	2,570	748	29%	1,822	71%	2,605	634	24%	1,972	76%
2011	2,295	647	28%	1,648	72%	2,303	599	26%	1,705	74%
2012	2,058	547	27%	1,511	73%	2,054	549	27%	1,505	73%
2013	1,753	397	23%	1,356	77%	1,727	492	28%	1,235	72%
2014	1,440	374	26%	1,067	74%	1,473	409	28%	1,064	72%
2015	1,311	391	30%	920	70%	1,366	408	30%	958	70%
2016	1,169	367	31%	802	69%	1,201	329	27%	872	73%
2017	1,130	363	32%	767	68%	1,122	319	28%	803	72%
2018	957	324	34%	632	66%	964	256	27%	708	73%
2019	840	284	34%	556	66%	833	219	26%	614	74%

Table 37. Los Angeles Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Α	verage Daily	Populatio Popula	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	position	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	1,615	892	55%	722	45%	1,595	306	19%	1,289	81%
2003	1,625	903	56%	722	44%	1,586	275	17%	1,311	83%
2004	1,558	980	63%	578	37%	1,550	299	19%	1,251	81%
2005	1,656	1,072	65%	584	35%	1,635	335	20%	1,301	80%
2006	1,723	1,138	66%	585	34%	1,716	352	20%	1,364	80%
2007	1,684	1,110	66%	574	34%	1,651	350	21%	1,301	79%
2008	1,578	1,057	67%	522	33%	1,575	361	23%	1,215	77%
2009	1,475	981	67%	494	33%	1,474	351	24%	1,122	76%
2010	1,261	748	59%	513	41%	1,300	486	37%	814	63%
2011	1,125	647	57%	478	43%	1,140	467	41%	673	59%
2012	980	547	56%	433	44%	988	416	42%	572	58%
2013	897	397	44%	501	56%	894	384	43%	510	57%
2014	802	374	47%	429	53%	795	344	43%	451	57%
2015	735	391	53%	344	47%	748	338	45%	410	55%
2016	657	367	56%	290	44%	662	288	43%	375	57%
2017	703	363	52%	340	48%	701	274	39%	427	61%
2018	638	324	51%	314	49%	645	238	37%	406	63%
2019	568	284	50%	284	50%	559	207	37%	352	63%

Table 38. Los Angeles Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot				
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Ran	nches only	2,072	100%	2,074	514	25%	1,561	75%			
2003	house Pos	•	•	2,103	100%	2,108	496	24%	1,612	76%			
2004	juveniles, t	•		1,970	100%	1,984	396	20%	1,589	80%			
2005			ed of Post-	1,885	100%	1,881	362	19%	1,519	81%			
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		1,715	100%	1,662	245	15%	1,417	85%			
2007				1,592	100%	1,520	232	15%	1,288	85%			
2008				1,583	100%	1,592	216	14%	1,376	86%			
2009					100%	1,515	180	12%	1,336	88%			
2010				1,309	100%	1,306	148	11%	1,158	89%			
2011				1,170	100%	1,163	132	11%	1,032	89%			
2012				1,078	100%	1,066	133	12%	933	88%			
2013				856	100%	834	108	13%	726	87%			
2014				638	100%	678	66	10%	613	90%			
2015			576	100%	618	70	11%	548	89%				
2016							512	100%	539	42	8%	497	92%
2017				427	100%	421	45	11%	377	89%			
2018				319	100%	319	18	6%	301	94%			
2019				272	100%	274	12	4%	262	96%			

Figure 48. Los Angeles ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 49. Population of Los Angeles Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





BSCC JDPS data as of July 29, 2019. 12019 data through first quarter only (Jan-Mar).

#### **MADERA COUNTY**

Table 39. Madera Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napsho <u>t</u>	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	91	20	22%	71	78%	89	38	43%	51	57%
2003	83	22	26%	61	74%	84	42	50%	42	50%
2004	75	21	28%	54	72%	76	34	45%	42	55%
2005	77	22	28%	55	71%	77	39	50%	38	50%
2006	83	26	31%	57	69%	82	41	50%	41	50%
2007	73	22	29%	52	70%	73	29	39%	45	61%
2008	76	23	30%	53	70%	76	27	35%	49	65%
2009	73	30	41%	44	59%	73	18	25%	55	75%
2010	54	27	50%	27	51%	53	16	30%	37	70%
2011	47	22	47%	25	53%	46	15	32%	31	68%
2012	51	21	41%	30	59%	51	16	31%	35	69%
2013	57	25	44%	32	56%	57	18	32%	39	68%
2014	54	21	39%	33	61%	54	21	38%	34	62%
2015	44	18	40%	26	60%	44	26	60%	18	40%
2016	44	17	39%	27	61%	44	24	56%	19	44%
2017	49	17	34%	32	66%	49	27	54%	22	46%
2018	43	16	37%	27	63%	43	18	41%	26	59%
2019	39	17	43%	22	57%	39	16	41%	23	59%

Table 40. Madera Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	45	20	45%	25	55%	43	20	46%	23	54%
2003	39	22	56%	18	44%	40	21	54%	18	46%
2004	40	21	53%	19	47%	40	19	48%	21	52%
2005	37	22	58%	16	42%	37	20	54%	17	46%
2006	43	26	60%	17	40%	43	30	70%	13	30%
2007	41	22	53%	19	47%	41	15	36%	26	64%
2008	37	23	62%	14	38%	37	9	26%	27	74%
2009	45	30	66%	15	34%	45	11	24%	34	76%
2010	34	27	77%	8	23%	34	11	32%	23	68%
2011	27	22	80%	5	20%	27	9	32%	19	68%
2012	28	21	74%	7	26%	28	8	30%	20	70%
2013	31	25	80%	6	20%	31	7	22%	24	78%
2014	30	21	70%	9	30%	30	10	34%	20	66%
2015	27	18	67%	9	33%	27	15	57%	11	43%
2016	29	17	58%	12	42%	29	15	52%	14	48%
2017	28	17	61%	11	39%	28	15	55%	12	45%
2018	26	16	62%	10	38%	26	12	46%	14	54%
2019	26	17	64%	9	36%	26	12	47%	14	53%

Table 41. Madera Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot												
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony											
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP											
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	46	100%	46	18	39%	28	61%											
2003	house Pos	•	_	44	100%	44	21	47%	23	53%											
2004	juveniles, t			36	100%	36	15	42%	21	58%											
2005			ed of Post-	39	100%	40	18	47%	21	53%											
2006	Disposition			40	100%	39	11	29%	28	71%											
2007				33	100%	33	14	43%	19	57%											
2008				39	100%	39	17	44%	22	56%											
2009				28	100%	28	8	27%	21	73%											
2010				19	100%	19	5	27%	14	73%											
2011				19	100%	19	6	33%	13	67%											
2012				23	100%	23	8	34%	15	66%											
2013				26	100%	26	11	44%	15	56%											
2014															24	100%	24	11	43%	14	57%
2015									18	100%	18	11	64%	6	36%						
2016								100%	14	9	64%	5	36%								
2017				21	100%	21	11	53%	10	47%											
2018				18	100%	18	6	34%	12	66%											
2019				13	100%	13	3	26%	9	74%											

Figure 51. Madera ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 52. Population of Madera Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### MARIN COUNTY

Table 42. Marin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
			position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	22	11	49%	11	51%	22	14	65%	8	35%
2003	23	13	55%	10	44%	23	16	68%	7	32%
2004	26	12	48%	13	52%	25	16	63%	9	37%
2005	30	16	54%	14	46%	31	16	51%	15	49%
2006	26	15	59%	11	41%	25	12	48%	13	52%
2007	25	14	57%	11	42%	25	12	50%	13	50%
2008	25	12	48%	13	52%	25	13	52%	12	48%
2009	22	9	39%	13	60%	24	15	65%	8	35%
2010	21	8	40%	12	59%	24	15	64%	8	36%
2011	16	7	44%	9	55%	19	9	49%	9	51%
2012	13	5	41%	7	60%	14	9	66%	5	34%
2013	11	4	35%	7	65%	11	7	65%	4	35%
2014	8	3	39%	5	61%	9	6	71%	3	29%
2015	14	7	49%	7	51%	15	10	64%	5	36%
2016	15	9	61%	6	39%	14	7	51%	7	49%
2017	13	6	46%	7	54%	14	9	64%	5	36%
2018	13	5	43%	7	56%	11	8	72%	3	28%
2019	13	6	43%	7	56%	12	9	78%	3	22%






Figure 55. Population of Marin Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1






#### **MENDOCINO COUNTY**

Table 43. Mendocino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1


·		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	32	20	60%	13	39%	33	23	71%	10	29%
2003	34	19	58%	14	42%	36	25	69%	11	31%
2004	32	18	56%	14	44%	35	26	75%	9	25%
2005	37	19	50%	18	50%	40	28	70%	12	30%
2006	30	17	55%	14	45%	33	23	71%	10	29%
2007	35	21	58%	15	42%	37	24	65%	13	35%
2008	34	18	54%	16	46%	34	26	75%	8	25%
2009	29	15	50%	14	50%	29	23	81%	6	19%
2010	26	14	55%	11	44%	26	19	71%	8	29%
2011	22	13	57%	9	43%	25	18	74%	6	26%
2012	24	13	56%	10	44%	24	19	78%	5	22%
2013	20	11	56%	9	44%	22	16	74%	6	26%
2014	11	7	65%	4	35%	12	9	76%	3	24%
2015	12	8	67%	4	34%	13	10	74%	3	26%
2016	22	15	71%	6	29%	22	15	68%	7	32%
2017	17	11	67%	6	33%	18	14	76%	4	24%
2018	15	11	74%	4	26%	17	10	60%	7	40%
2019	14	8	60%	6	41%	13	4	28%	10	73%




BSCC JDPS data as of July 29, 2019. 12019 data through first quarter only (Jan-Mar).

Page 102 of 266

Figure 58. Population of Mendocino Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **MERCED COUNTY**

Table 44. Merced Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Population	on			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42	37	87%	6	13%	43	3	7%	40	93%
2003	43	38	89%	5	11%	42	4	9%	38	91%
2004	48	43	88%	6	11%	48	7	14%	42	86%
2005	70	54	77%	16	23%	66	14	22%	51	78%
2006	92	52	57%	40	43%	86	21	25%	65	75%
2007	99	45	45%	54	55%	88	26	29%	63	71%
2008	96	42	44%	54	56%	101	29	29%	72	71%
2009	99	41	42%	57	58%	103	25	24%	78	76%
2010	106	48	45%	58	55%	109	27	25%	82	75%
2011	92	44	48%	47	52%	77	14	18%	64	82%
2012	77	36	46%	41	54%	58	7	12%	51	88%
2013	65	36	55%	29	45%	48	7	14%	41	86%
2014	57	30	53%	27	47%	43	6	14%	37	86%
2015	52	28	54%	24	46%	42	7	17%	35	83%
2016	55	29	54%	26	46%	40	5	11%	36	89%
2017	49	23	48%	26	53%	36	4	12%	31	88%
2018	48	24	51%	23	49%	38	5	12%	33	88%
2019	39	7	17%	33	84%	39	2	5%	37	95%

Table 45. Merced Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	42	37	87%	6	13%	43	3	7%	40	93%
2003	43	38	89%	5	11%	42	4	9%	38	91%
2004	48	43	88%	6	11%	48	7	14%	42	86%
2005	70	54	77%	16	23%	66	14	22%	51	78%
2006	58	52	90%	6	10%	54	11	20%	44	80%
2007	46	45	96%	2	4%	43	6	15%	37	85%
2008	43	42	98%	1	2%	50	15	29%	36	71%
2009	42	41	99%	1	1%	49	15	30%	34	70%
2010	49	48	98%	1	2%	51	10	20%	41	80%
2011	45	44	98%	1	1%	39	5	12%	35	88%
2012	36	36	98%	1	2%	29	3	12%	25	88%
2013	36	36	99%	0	1%	28	3	12%	25	88%
2014	31	30	98%	1	2%	27	4	14%	23	86%
2015	28	28	100%	0	0%	26	5	18%	21	82%
2016	30	29	99%	0	0%	27	3	11%	24	89%
2017	24	23	99%	0	1%	21	2	9%	19	91%
2018	25	24	99%	0	1%	22	3	13%	19	87%
2019	18	7	36%	12	65%	18	2	9%	16	91%

Table 46. Merced Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006<sup>2</sup> through 2019<sup>1</sup>

	_		Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	on		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only								
2003	house Pos	•	_								
2004	juveniles, t	•									
2005	•		ed of Post-	Merced County's Camp opened February 2006.							
2006	Disposition	i juveniles	8.	37	100%	35	11	33%	24	67%	
2007				53	100%	45	19	43%	26	57%	
2008				53	100%	51	15	29%	37	71%	
2009				57	100%	54	10	18%	44	82%	
2010				57	100%	58	17	29%	41	71%	
2011				47	100%	38	9	23%	29	77%	
2012				41	100%	29	3	12%	25	88%	
2013				29	100%	20	3	17%	16	83%	
2014				26	100%	17	2	14%	14	86%	
2015				24	100%	17	3	16%	14	84%	
2016				25	100%	13	2	13%	12	87%	
2017				26	100%	15	2	17%	12	83%	
2018				23	100%	16	2	11%	14	89%	
2019				21	100%	21	0	2%	21	98%	

Figure 60. Merced ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 61. Population of Merced Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002





#### **MONTEREY COUNTY**

Table 47. Monterey Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	155	82	53%	73	47%	155	99	64%	56	36%
2003	126	59	47%	67	53%	126	77	61%	49	39%
2004	134	50	37%	84	63%	131	65	50%	66	50%
2005	142	52	37%	90	63%	142	84	59%	58	41%
2006	149	59	39%	90	61%	149	89	60%	59	40%
2007	148	67	45%	82	55%	148	87	58%	62	42%
2008	153	61	40%	92	60%	153	97	64%	55	36%
2009	164	65	40%	99	60%	164	104	63%	60	37%
2010	163	59	36%	104	64%	163	85	52%	78	48%
2011	140	58	41%	82	59%	140	54	39%	86	61%
2012	127	49	39%	78	61%	129	56	43%	73	57%
2013	119	45	38%	74	62%	119	33	28%	86	72%
2014	94	34	36%	60	64%	94	34	36%	60	64%
2015	93	32	35%	60	65%	93	33	36%	60	64%
2016	90	32	35%	58	65%	88	33	37%	55	63%
2017	75	33	43%	43	57%	75	23	31%	52	69%
2018	78	33	43%	45	57%	76	14	18%	62	82%
2019	87	39	45%	48	55%	83	17	21%	66	79%

Table 48. Monterey Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	111	82	73%	30	27%	111	74	66%	38	34%
2003	88	59	67%	29	33%	88	57	65%	31	35%
2004	87	50	57%	37	43%	85	40	47%	45	53%
2005	97	52	53%	45	47%	97	54	56%	43	44%
2006	98	59	60%	40	40%	98	54	55%	44	45%
2007	100	67	67%	33	33%	100	51	51%	49	49%
2008	100	61	61%	39	39%	100	55	55%	45	45%
2009	110	65	59%	45	41%	110	61	56%	49	44%
2010	110	59	54%	50	46%	110	62	57%	47	43%
2011	93	58	62%	35	38%	93	52	56%	41	44%
2012	78	49	63%	29	38%	80	55	69%	25	31%
2013	72	45	62%	27	38%	72	32	44%	41	56%
2014	54	34	63%	20	37%	54	25	46%	29	54%
2015	54	32	60%	22	40%	55	31	56%	24	44%
2016	52	32	61%	20	39%	50	31	61%	19	39%
2017	50	33	65%	18	35%	50	20	41%	30	59%
2018	51	33	66%	17	34%	49	12	24%	37	76%
2019	55	39	70%	17	30%	52	15	28%	38	72%

Table 49. Monterey Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot					
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony				
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP				
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	43	100%	43	25	58%	18	42%				
2003	house Pos			38	100%	38	20	53%	18	47%				
2004	juveniles,			46	100%	46	25	55%	21	45%				
2005			ed of Post-	45	100%	45	30	66%	15	34%				
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		50	100%	50	35	70%	15	30%				
2007				48	100%	48	36	74%	13	26%				
2008				52	100%	52	42	80%	10	20%				
2009				54	100%	54	43	80%	11	20%				
2010				53	100%	53	22	41%	31	59%				
2011						47	100%	47	2	4%	45	96%		
2012				49	100%	49	1	2%	48	98%				
2013				47	100%	47	1	3%	46	97%				
2014				40	100%	40	9	22%	31	78%				
2015								38	100%	38	3	7%	36	93%
2016						38	100%	38	2	5%	36	95%		
2017				25	100%	25	3	11%	22	89%				
2018				27	100%	27	2	7%	25	93%				
2019					100%	31	3	9%	28	91%				

Figure 63. Monterey ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 <sup>1</sup>






Figure 64. Population of Monterey Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **NAPA COUNTY**

Table 50. Napa Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33	17	53%	15	46%	35	17	48%	18	52%
2003	32	19	58%	13	42%	32	17	52%	16	48%
2004	31	22	70%	9	30%	31	14	46%	17	54%
2005	33	21	64%	12	36%	35	16	47%	19	53%
2006	38	24	62%	14	37%	40	16	40%	24	60%
2007	43	28	66%	15	34%	43	17	38%	27	62%
2008	41	25	60%	16	40%	41	17	41%	24	59%
2009	43	27	63%	16	37%	42	14	33%	29	67%
2010	36	20	54%	17	46%	36	14	39%	22	61%
2011	30	17	57%	13	43%	29	9	32%	20	68%
2012	25	17	68%	8	32%	27	9	33%	18	67%
2013	23	15	64%	8	36%	24	7	28%	17	72%
2014	24	14	59%	10	42%	24	8	34%	16	66%
2015	17	11	64%	6	36%	17	6	35%	11	65%
2016	14	10	67%	5	32%	15	6	42%	8	58%
2017	16	10	64%	6	36%	14	7	49%	7	51%
2018	13	8	67%	4	33%	13	5	42%	7	58%
2019	15	10	67%	5	33%	15	7	48%	8	52%

Figure 66. Napa ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 67. Population of Napa Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **NEVADA COUNTY**

Table 51. Nevada Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	21	10	47%	11	53%	21	11	52%	10	48%
2003	20	12	60%	8	40%	20	15	74%	5	26%
2004	25	12	50%	12	50%	26	15	58%	11	42%
2005	23	13	56%	10	44%	23	13	58%	9	42%
2006	16	10	62%	6	38%	16	9	58%	7	42%
2007	16	10	61%	6	39%	16	12	71%	5	29%
2008	18	14	80%	4	20%	18	10	55%	8	45%
2009	19	11	62%	7	38%	17	12	67%	6	33%
2010	20	11	59%	8	41%	20	14	72%	6	28%
2011	20	12	60%	8	40%	20	14	73%	5	27%
2012	16	8	47%	8	53%	15	11	74%	4	26%
2013	12	5	44%	7	56%	12	9	78%	3	22%
2014	18	12	66%	6	34%	18	11	62%	7	38%
2015	16	11	72%	5	28%	16	9	54%	7	46%
2016	10	7	68%	3	32%	10	5	49%	5	51%
2017	7	4	61%	2	36%	6	2	34%	4	66%
2018	5	4	75%	1	23%	5	1	25%	4	75%
2019	5	3	70%	1	29%	4	2	54%	2	46%






Figure 70. Population of Nevada Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **ORANGE COUNTY**

Table 52. Orange Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	745	169	23%	576	77%	740	213	29%	527	71%
2003	676	170	25%	506	75%	678	173	25%	505	75%
2004	710	186	26%	524	74%	710	178	25%	533	75%
2005	744	173	23%	570	77%	747	208	28%	539	72%
2006	817	214	26%	603	74%	816	291	36%	525	64%
2007	865	241	28%	624	72%	860	193	22%	667	78%
2008	927	203	22%	724	78%	928	216	23%	712	77%
2009	728	162	22%	565	78%	728	170	23%	558	77%
2010	611	155	25%	456	75%	606	123	20%	483	80%
2011	574	136	24%	438	76%	571	117	20%	454	80%
2012	490	162	33%	327	67%	490	102	21%	387	79%
2013	446	131	29%	315	71%	447	111	25%	335	75%
2014	378	104	27%	274	73%	375	101	27%	274	73%
2015	307	90	29%	217	71%	307	101	33%	206	67%
2016	270	95	35%	175	65%	273	82	30%	191	70%
2017	283	119	42%	164	58%	284	70	24%	215	76%
2018	247	97	39%	150	61%	251	54	22%	197	78%
2019	227	89	39%	138	61%	238	60	25%	177	75%

Table 53. Orange Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	442	169	38%	273	62%	438	134	31%	304	69%
2003	400	170	42%	230	58%	402	106	26%	296	74%
2004	432	186	43%	246	57%	432	112	26%	319	74%
2005	451	173	38%	278	62%	454	137	30%	317	70%
2006	488	214	44%	274	56%	486	174	36%	313	64%
2007	505	241	48%	264	52%	503	107	21%	396	79%
2008	501	203	40%	299	60%	502	107	21%	395	79%
2009	412	162	39%	250	61%	414	97	23%	318	77%
2010	405	155	38%	249	62%	400	80	20%	320	80%
2011	381	136	36%	245	64%	378	74	20%	304	80%
2012	309	162	53%	147	47%	308	58	19%	250	81%
2013	262	131	50%	131	50%	264	59	22%	205	78%
2014	204	104	51%	100	49%	202	51	25%	150	75%
2015	143	90	63%	54	37%	142	44	31%	98	69%
2016	136	95	70%	42	30%	139	39	28%	100	72%
2017	155	119	77%	36	23%	154	30	19%	124	81%
2018	129	97	75%	32	25%	133	25	19%	108	81%
2019	116	89	77%	27	23%	125	24	19%	101	81%

Table 54. Orange Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot													
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony												
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP												
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	304	100%	302	79	26%	223	74%												
2003	house Pos	•	•	276	100%	276	67	24%	210	76%												
2004	juveniles, t			278	100%	279	66	23%	213	77%												
2005			ed of Post-	292	100%	293	70	24%	223	76%												
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		329	100%	330	117	36%	212	64%												
2007				360	100%	357	86	24%	271	76%												
2008				425	100%	426	109	26%	317	74%												
2009				315	100%	314	74	23%	241	77%												
2010				207	100%	206	44	21%	162	79%												
2011				193	100%	193	43	22%	150	78%												
2012								180	100%	182	44	24%	138	76%								
2013						184	100%	183	52	29%	130	71%										
2014																174	100%	174	50	29%	124	71%
2015										164	100%	164	56	34%	108	66%						
2016							134	100%	133	43	32%	91	68%									
2017				128	100%	130	40	30%	90	70%												
2018				118	100%	118	29	25%	88	75%												
2019				111	100%	113	37	32%	76	68%												

Figure 72. Orange ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 73. Population of Orange Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **PLACER COUNTY**

Table 55. Placer Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
			position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	56	36	64%	20	36%	58	34	59%	24	41%
2003	51	25	48%	26	52%	57	34	59%	23	41%
2004	42	21	49%	21	51%	45	33	72%	13	28%
2005	46	25	54%	21	46%	45	26	58%	19	42%
2006	47	25	54%	21	46%	48	18	38%	29	62%
2007	45	17	38%	28	62%	45	12	26%	33	74%
2008	50	15	29%	36	70%	50	10	19%	41	81%
2009	39	13	34%	25	65%	39	12	32%	27	68%
2010	36	11	29%	26	71%	34	11	31%	24	69%
2011	34	9	26%	25	73%	33	12	37%	21	63%
2012	30	9	30%	21	70%	30	9	31%	21	69%
2013	25	14	56%	11	44%	25	9	37%	16	63%
2014	23	7	32%	16	69%	23	7	31%	16	69%
2015	18	6	31%	13	70%	18	10	52%	9	48%
2016	17	6	34%	11	66%	15	7	44%	8	56%
2017	23	7	32%	15	68%	20	11	57%	9	43%
2018	20	7	35%	13	65%	18	7	39%	11	61%
2019	13	3	23%	10	77%	10	3	32%	7	68%






Figure 76. Population of Placer Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **RIVERSIDE COUNTY**

Table 56. Riverside Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day Sı	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	422	141	33%	281	67%	423	220	52%	204	48%
2003	477	151	32%	328	69%	487	228	47%	258	53%
2004	413	154	37%	259	63%	420	145	34%	276	66%
2005	429	179	42%	250	58%	433	178	41%	254	59%
2006	441	201	45%	241	55%	443	172	39%	271	61%
2007	438	209	48%	229	52%	433	158	37%	275	63%
2008	366	144	39%	222	61%	363	119	33%	244	67%
2009	366	107	29%	259	71%	370	54	15%	316	85%
2010	358	91	25%	267	75%	362	34	9%	328	91%
2011	327	69	21%	257	79%	329	33	10%	296	90%
2012	273	61	22%	212	78%	272	33	12%	239	88%
2013	262	62	23%	201	76%	264	35	13%	229	87%
2014	199	54	27%	146	73%	202	94	47%	108	53%
2015	186	52	28%	134	72%	186	131	70%	55	30%
2016	182	54	30%	127	70%	177	28	16%	149	84%
2017	175	27	16%	147	84%	171	29	17%	142	83%
2018	146	33	23%	113	77%	147	23	16%	124	84%
2019	145	63	43%	82	57%	145	25	17%	120	83%

Table 57. Riverside Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	336	141	42%	196	58%	336	172	51%	164	49%
2003	377	151	40%	227	60%	382	172	45%	210	55%
2004	313	154	49%	159	51%	319	104	32%	215	68%
2005	322	179	55%	144	45%	325	129	40%	196	60%
2006	334	201	60%	133	40%	335	118	35%	216	65%
2007	330	209	63%	122	37%	325	107	33%	218	67%
2008	259	144	56%	115	44%	253	74	29%	179	71%
2009	248	107	43%	141	57%	254	32	13%	222	87%
2010	235	91	39%	145	62%	239	22	9%	217	91%
2011	206	69	34%	136	66%	209	20	10%	189	90%
2012	182	61	34%	121	66%	181	22	12%	159	88%
2013	181	62	34%	120	66%	183	23	13%	160	87%
2014	138	54	39%	84	61%	141	71	51%	70	49%
2015	135	52	39%	82	61%	133	93	70%	40	30%
2016	127	54	42%	73	57%	121	19	16%	102	84%
2017	120	27	23%	93	77%	119	22	18%	97	82%
2018	106	33	32%	72	68%	107	19	18%	88	82%
2019	107	63	59%	44	41%	107	21	20%	86	80%

Table 58. Riverside Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_		Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot								
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony							
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP							
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	86	100%	87	48	55%	39	45%							
2003	house Pos		-	100	100%	104	56	54%	48	46%							
2004	juveniles, t			101	100%	102	41	41%	61	59%							
2005			ed of Post-	107	100%	107	49	46%	58	54%							
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	<b>.</b>	108	100%	108	53	49%	55	51%							
2007				108	100%	108	51	47%	57	53%							
2008				107	100%	110	45	41%	65	59%							
2009				118	100%	116	22	19%	94	81%							
2010				122	100%	123	13	10%	111	90%							
2011							121	100%	120	14	11%	107	89%				
2012				91	100%	92	11	12%	80	88%							
2013				81	100%	81	12	15%	69	85%							
2014											61	100%	61	23	37%	38	63%
2015											51	100%	53	38	71%	15	29%
2016							55	100%	56	9	16%	47	84%				
2017				54	100%	53	8	15%	45	85%							
2018				40	100%	41	4	11%	36	89%							
2019					100%	38	4	10%	34	90%							

Figure 78. Riverside ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 79. Population of Riverside Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **SACRAMENTO COUNTY**

Table 59. Sacramento Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	512	194	38%	318	62%	510	268	53%	241	47%
2003	488	187	38%	300	62%	491	257	52%	234	48%
2004	498	197	40%	301	60%	500	251	50%	249	50%
2005	509	208	41%	301	59%	505	278	55%	227	45%
2006	538	235	44%	303	56%	535	261	49%	274	51%
2007	504	211	42%	293	58%	505	200	40%	305	60%
2008	485	207	43%	278	57%	455	178	39%	277	61%
2009	373	153	41%	220	59%	370	143	39%	227	61%
2010	271	131	48%	140	52%	261	100	38%	161	62%
2011	186	101	54%	85	46%	188	63	34%	125	66%
2012	178	98	55%	80	45%	179	57	32%	123	68%
2013	192	109	57%	82	43%	198	65	33%	132	67%
2014	184	110	60%	74	40%	177	58	33%	119	67%
2015	192	131	68%	61	32%	195	61	31%	134	69%
2016	143	91	64%	52	36%	145	40	28%	105	72%
2017	136	107	79%	29	21%	138	30	22%	108	78%
2018	120	101	84%	19	16%	122	33	27%	89	73%
2019	113	92	81%	21	19%	113	48	42%	65	58%

Table 60. Sacramento Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	307	194	63%	114	37%	313	144	46%	169	54%
2003	318	187	59%	130	41%	321	151	47%	170	53%
2004	341	197	58%	144	42%	343	169	49%	174	51%
2005	341	208	61%	133	39%	338	179	53%	159	47%
2006	335	235	70%	100	30%	332	153	46%	179	54%
2007	287	211	73%	76	27%	290	86	30%	203	70%
2008	278	207	74%	71	26%	247	65	26%	182	74%
2009	243	153	63%	90	37%	241	82	34%	158	66%
2010	235	131	56%	103	44%	223	80	36%	143	64%
2011	186	101	54%	85	46%	188	63	34%	125	66%
2012	178	98	55%	80	45%	179	57	32%	123	68%
2013	192	109	57%	82	43%	198	65	33%	132	67%
2014	184	110	60%	74	40%	177	58	33%	119	67%
2015	192	131	68%	61	32%	195	61	31%	134	69%
2016	143	91	64%	52	36%	145	40	28%	105	72%
2017	136	107	79%	29	21%	138	30	22%	108	78%
2018	120	101	84%	19	16%	122	33	27%	89	73%
2019	113	92	81%	21	19%	113	48	42%	65	58%

Table 61. Sacramento Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010<sup>2</sup>

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot					
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP		
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	205	100%	197	125	63%	72	37%		
2003		Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition juveniles, therefore their Total ADP is only comprised of Post-			100%	170	106	62%	64	38%		
2004					100%	157	82	52%	75	48%		
2005					100%	167	99	59%	68	41%		
2006	Disposition juveniles.			203	100%	204	108	53%	96	47%		
2007				216	100%	215	114	53%	101	47%		
2008				207	100%	208	113	54%	95	46%		
2009					100%	129	60	47%	69	53%		
2010					100%	76	40	53%	36	47%		
2011					Sacramento County's Camp closed June 2010.							
2012												
2013												
2014												
2015												
2016												
2017												
2018												
2019												

Figure 81. Sacramento ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 82. Population of Sacramento Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002





#### **SAN BENITO COUNTY**

Table 62. San Benito Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

·		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	15	9	64%	5	37%	14	8	56%	6	44%
2003	20	10	53%	9	47%	22	14	66%	7	34%
2004	19	10	53%	9	47%	21	14	66%	7	34%
2005	16	9	57%	7	43%	17	7	42%	10	58%
2006	15	10	69%	5	31%	15	8	55%	7	45%
2007	17	11	65%	6	35%	17	5	30%	12	70%
2008	17	11	63%	6	37%	16	7	42%	9	58%
2009	19	9	48%	10	52%	19	3	14%	16	86%
2010	16	9	59%	7	41%	16	1	4%	15	96%
2011	10	5	54%	5	46%	10	0	3%	10	97%
2012	11	6	59%	4	41%	11	0	1%	11	99%
2013	11	6	55%	5	45%	11	0	0%	11	100%
2014	11	7	60%	4	40%	11	2	14%	10	86%
2015	12	7	62%	4	39%	12	2	20%	9	80%
2016	7	3	45%	4	54%	6	3	42%	4	58%
2017	8	5	59%	3	41%	8	5	58%	3	42%
2018	5	3	61%	2	40%	6	3	55%	3	45%
2019	5	2	43%	3	59%	6	4	71%	2	29%

Figure 84. San Benito ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 85. Population of San Benito Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY**

Table 63. San Bernardino Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	494	267	54%	227	46%	484	160	33%	324	67%
2003	479	257	54%	222	46%	475	154	32%	321	68%
2004	483	247	51%	235	49%	472	149	32%	322	68%
2005	531	267	50%	264	50%	522	189	36%	332	64%
2006	529	267	50%	262	50%	523	159	30%	365	70%
2007	475	244	51%	231	49%	477	88	18%	390	82%
2008	450	148	33%	302	67%	453	42	9%	412	91%
2009	370	119	32%	252	68%	370	87	24%	282	76%
2010	355	108	30%	247	70%	354	95	27%	259	73%
2011	367	95	26%	273	74%	365	103	28%	262	72%
2012	309	84	27%	225	73%	318	78	25%	240	75%
2013	273	99	36%	173	64%	276	69	25%	207	75%
2014	277	143	52%	134	48%	275	64	23%	211	77%
2015	271	141	52%	130	48%	272	67	25%	205	75%
2016	242	130	54%	112	46%	240	58	24%	183	76%
2017	193	101	53%	92	47%	193	45	23%	148	77%
2018	173	94	54%	79	46%	174	32	19%	142	81%
2019	147	73	49%	74	51%	151	33	22%	119	78%

Table 64. San Bernardino Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	<sup>r</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	434	267	62%	166	38%	424	135	32%	290	68%
2003	417	257	62%	160	38%	413	128	31%	285	69%
2004	420	247	59%	173	41%	409	128	31%	281	69%
2005	469	267	57%	202	43%	461	170	37%	291	63%
2006	471	267	57%	204	43%	466	132	28%	335	72%
2007	436	244	56%	192	44%	436	71	16%	365	84%
2008	425	148	35%	277	65%	429	34	8%	395	92%
2009	351	119	34%	233	66%	351	86	24%	265	76%
2010	323	108	34%	215	66%	323	93	29%	229	71%
2011	330	95	29%	235	71%	328	100	30%	228	70%
2012	272	84	31%	188	69%	281	70	25%	211	75%
2013	236	99	42%	136	58%	239	65	27%	175	73%
2014	244	143	59%	101	41%	242	64	27%	178	73%
2015	234	141	60%	93	40%	234	67	28%	168	72%
2016	205	130	63%	75	37%	203	58	28%	146	72%
2017	159	101	64%	57	36%	158	45	28%	114	72%
2018	141	94	66%	47	34%	142	32	23%	109	77%
2019	120	73	61%	47	39%	124	33	26%	91	74%

Table 65. San Bernardino Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

			Average Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot				
	·	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP			
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	60	100%	60	26	42%	35	58%			
2003	house Pos	•		62	100%	62	26	42%	36	58%			
2004	juveniles, t			63	100%	63	21	34%	41	66%			
2005			ed of Post-	62	100%	61	20	32%	41	68%			
2006	Disposition			58	100%	57	27	47%	30	53%			
2007				39	100%	41	16	39%	25	61%			
2008				25	100%	24	7	30%	17	70%			
2009				19	100%	19	2	8%	17	92%			
2010				33	100%	32	2	6%	30	94%			
2011				38	100%	37	4	10%	34	90%			
2012				37	100%	37	8	23%	29	77%			
2013				37	100%	37	4	11%	33	89%			
2014						34	100%	34	0	0%	34	100%	
2015				38	100%	37	0	0%	37	100%			
2016							37	100%	37	0	0%	37	100%
2017				34	100%	34	0	0%	34	100%			
2018				32	100%	32	0	0%	32	100%			
2019				27	100%	27	0	0%	27	100%			

Figure 87. San Bernardino ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 88. Population of San Bernardino Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 89. San Bernardino Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



#### **SAN DIEGO COUNTY**

Table 66. San Diego Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	747	128	17%	620	83%	749	310	41%	439	59%
2003	728	128	18%	600	82%	743	300	40%	443	60%
2004	651	261	40%	390	60%	668	224	34%	444	66%
2005	743	287	39%	456	61%	748	232	31%	516	69%
2006	888	326	37%	563	63%	892	276	31%	615	69%
2007	858	320	37%	538	63%	862	249	29%	613	71%
2008	821	291	35%	530	65%	831	223	27%	608	73%
2009	836	268	32%	568	68%	846	231	27%	615	73%
2010	784	218	28%	566	72%	872	211	24%	661	76%
2011	758	201	26%	558	74%	774	213	28%	561	72%
2012	714	187	26%	527	74%	730	206	28%	524	72%
2013	630	168	27%	463	73%	646	161	25%	485	75%
2014	484	149	31%	334	69%	496	136	27%	361	73%
2015	423	138	33%	284	67%	435	127	29%	307	71%
2016	375	130	35%	246	65%	384	115	30%	269	70%
2017	350	118	34%	231	66%	364	96	26%	268	74%
2018	324	115	35%	210	65%	337	76	23%	261	77%
2019	310	118	38%	191	62%	317	58	18%	259	82%

Table 67. San Diego Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	435	128	29%	308	71%	437	193	44%	244	56%
2003	425	128	30%	297	70%	423	184	43%	240	57%
2004	418	261	63%	157	37%	419	137	33%	282	67%
2005	495	287	58%	208	42%	493	150	30%	344	70%
2006	569	326	57%	244	43%	563	172	31%	391	69%
2007	525	320	61%	205	39%	526	157	30%	369	70%
2008	485	291	60%	194	40%	490	132	27%	358	73%
2009	509	268	53%	241	47%	508	136	27%	372	73%
2010	488	218	45%	270	55%	489	128	26%	361	74%
2011	470	201	43%	270	57%	480	133	28%	347	72%
2012	446	187	42%	259	58%	455	127	28%	328	72%
2013	386	168	43%	219	57%	394	97	25%	298	75%
2014	314	149	48%	164	52%	316	86	27%	230	73%
2015	304	138	45%	166	55%	312	90	29%	222	71%
2016	277	130	47%	147	53%	282	84	30%	198	70%
2017	252	118	47%	133	53%	262	63	24%	199	76%
2018	241	115	48%	126	52%	250	52	21%	198	79%
2019	228	118	52%	110		233	40	17%	194	83%

Table 68. San Diego Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

			Average Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot							
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony						
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP						
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	312	100%	312	117	37%	195	63%						
2003	house Pos		-	302	100%	320	117	36%	204	64%						
2004	juveniles,			233	100%	248	87	35%	162	65%						
2005			ed of Post-	248	100%	255	82	32%	173	68%						
2006	Disposition			319	100%	328	104	32%	224	68%						
2007				333	100%	336	93	28%	243	72%						
2008				336	100%	341	91	27%	250	73%						
2009					100%	338	95	28%	243	72%						
2010				296	100%	383	83	22%	299	78%						
2011				288	100%	294	80	27%	214	73%						
2012				268	100%	275	80	29%	195	71%						
2013				244	100%	252	64	26%	187	74%						
2014										170	100%	180	49	27%	131	73%
2015				119	100%	123	37	30%	85	70%						
2016						99	100%	101	31	30%	70	70%				
2017				98	100%	102	32	32%	69	68%						
2018				84	100%	87	24	28%	63	72%						
2019				81	100%	84	19	22%	65	78%						

Figure 90. San Diego ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 91. Population of San Diego Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





BSCC JDPS data as of July 29, 2019. 12019 data through first quarter only (Jan-Mar).

#### **SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY**

Table 69. San Francisco Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	123	74	60%	49	40%	127	48	38%	80	62%
2003	114	59	52%	55	48%	117	37	32%	80	68%
2004	108	52	48%	57	52%	110	36	33%	74	67%
2005	117	57	49%	60	51%	120	39	33%	81	67%
2006	133	63	47%	71	53%	134	42	31%	93	69%
2007	148	60	41%	87	59%	147	42	28%	105	72%
2008	139	60	43%	80	58%	141	35	25%	106	75%
2009	123	55	44%	69	56%	125	33	27%	92	73%
2010	108	50	46%	58	54%	108	32	30%	76	70%
2011	108	37	34%	71	66%	106	34	32%	72	68%
2012	93	29	31%	64	69%	89	30	33%	60	67%
2013	88	29	33%	59	67%	86	29	33%	58	67%
2014	87	28	32%	59	68%	83	29	35%	54	65%
2015	69	20	28%	49	72%	67	27	41%	39	59%
2016	58	15	26%	43	74%	56	29	52%	27	48%
2017	58	17	30%	41	70%	58	30	51%	29	49%
2018	52	21	40%	31	60%	51	21	41%	30	59%
2019	44	28	64%	16	36%	43	15	36%	27	64%

Table 70. San Francisco Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	100	74	74%	27	27%	106	40	38%	66	62%
2003	90	59	65%	31	35%	94	31	33%	64	67%
2004	93	52	56%	41	44%	94	29	31%	65	69%
2005	101	57	57%	44	43%	104	33	31%	71	69%
2006	108	63	58%	46	42%	109	33	30%	76	70%
2007	119	60	51%	59	49%	119	34	29%	85	71%
2008	124	60	48%	64	52%	125	32	26%	93	74%
2009	110	55	50%	55	50%	111	32	29%	79	71%
2010	94	50	53%	44	47%	94	29	31%	65	69%
2011	87	37	43%	50	57%	84	25	29%	60	71%
2012	74	29	38%	46	62%	72	22	31%	50	69%
2013	74	29	39%	45	61%	72	24	33%	48	67%
2014	70	28	40%	42	60%	67	23	34%	44	66%
2015	57	20	35%	37	65%	55	23	43%	31	57%
2016	45	15	33%	30	67%	44	22	49%	23	51%
2017	46	17	37%	29	63%	46	22	48%	24	52%
2018	46	21	45%	26	55%	45	19	41%	27	59%
2019	44	28	64%	16	36%	43	15	36%	27	64%

Table 71. San Francisco Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

	_		Average Dail	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot								
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony							
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP							
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	23	100%	21	8	36%	14	64%							
2003	house Pos	•		24	100%	23	7	29%	17	71%							
2004	juveniles, t			15	100%	16	7	41%	9	59%							
2005	•		ed of Post-	16	100%	16	7	41%	9	59%							
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		25	100%	25	9	36%	16	64%							
2007				29	100%	27	7	27%	20	73%							
2008					100%	15	3	18%	13	82%							
2009					100%	14	2	12%	12	88%							
2010				14	100%	14	3	23%	11	77%							
2011				21	100%	21	9	43%	12	57%							
2012				18	100%	17	7	42%	10	58%							
2013				14	100%	14	5	33%	10	67%							
2014					12 100% 12 4 33%						17	100%	17	6	37%	11	63%
2015										100%	12	4	33%	8	67%		
2016						63%	5	37%									
2017				12	100%	12	8	64%	4	36%							
2018				5	100%	5	2	42%	3	58%							
2019					100%	0	0	n/a	0	n/a							

Figure 93. San Francisco ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 94. Population of San Francisco Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002





Figure 95. San Francisco Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



#### **SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY**

Table 72. San Joaquin Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	192	102	53%	90	47%	194	39	20%	155	80%
2003	209	113	54%	96	46%	206	36	18%	170	82%
2004	209	121	58%	88	42%	210	41	19%	170	81%
2005	198	117	59%	81	41%	198	53	27%	144	73%
2006	187	101	54%	86	46%	186	23	12%	163	88%
2007	183	89	49%	94	51%	182	39	21%	143	79%
2008	193	104	54%	89	46%	192	66	34%	126	66%
2009	179	90	50%	90	50%	178	11	6%	167	94%
2010	173	85	49%	88	51%	165	8	5%	157	95%
2011	156	87	56%	69	44%	152	5	3%	147	97%
2012	142	87	62%	55	38%	143	5	4%	137	96%
2013	120	72	60%	48	40%	121	8	7%	112	93%
2014	110	58	53%	52	47%	110	7	6%	103	94%
2015	114	65	57%	49	43%	115	10	9%	105	91%
2016	123	75	61%	48	39%	125	8	7%	117	93%
2017	116	70	61%	46	39%	119	7	6%	112	94%
2018	110	65	60%	44	40%	110	4	4%	106	96%
2019	106	61	57%	45	43%	106	6	6%	99	94%

Table 73. San Joaquin Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	154	102	66%	53	34%	156	33	21%	123	79%
2003	167	113	67%	54	33%	164	30	18%	134	82%
2004	166	121	73%	45	27%	168	33	20%	134	80%
2005	159	117	73%	42	27%	160	42	26%	118	74%
2006	151	101	67%	49	33%	147	20	13%	128	87%
2007	147	89	60%	58	40%	146	35	24%	111	76%
2008	157	104	67%	52	33%	156	55	35%	101	65%
2009	143	90	63%	53	37%	138	10	8%	128	92%
2010	138	85	62%	53	38%	129	8	6%	121	94%
2011	120	87	73%	33	27%	117	5	4%	112	96%
2012	114	87	76%	27	24%	115	4	3%	111	97%
2013	95	72	76%	23	24%	94	8	9%	86	91%
2014	84	58	69%	26	31%	83	7	8%	76	92%
2015	92	65	70%	27	30%	91	9	10%	82	90%
2016	103	75	73%	28	27%	104	8	8%	95	92%
2017	93	70	76%	22	24%	96	7	7%	88	93%
2018	89	65	74%	23	26%	88	4	4%	84	96%
2019	79	61	77%	18	23%	77	6	8%	71	92%

Table 74. San Joaquin Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

			Average Dail	y Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	38	100%	38	6	16%	32	84%	
2003	house Pos	•	•	42	100%	42	6	15%	36	85%	
2004	juveniles, t			43	100%	43	7	17%	35	83%	
2005			ed of Post-	39	100%	38	11	30%	27	70%	
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		37	100%	38	3	8%	35	92%	
2007				35	100%	36	4	11%	33	89%	
2008				37	100%	37	11	31%	26	69%	
2009				37	100%	39	1	2%	39	98%	
2010				35	100%	36	0	0%	36	100%	
2011				36	100%	36	0	0%	36	100%	
2012				28	100%	28	1	5%	27	95%	
2013				25	100%	27	0	0%	27	100%	
2014					100%	27	0	0%	27	100%	
2015				22	100%	24	1	4%	23	96%	
2016				20	100%	22	0	0%	22	100%	
2017				23	100%	24	0	0%	24	100%	
2018				21	100%	21	0	0%	21	100%	
2019				27	100%	28	0	0%	28	100%	

Figure 96. San Joaquin ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 97. Population of San Joaquin Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY**

Table 75. San Luis Obispo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	34	29	86%	5	14%	34	18	51%	17	49%
2003	35	29	82%	6	18%	36	20	57%	15	43%
2004	32	24	76%	7	24%	32	19	61%	12	39%
2005	40	27	67%	13	33%	41	24	59%	17	41%
2006	42	25	60%	17	40%	42	27	63%	16	37%
2007	41	27	65%	15	35%	41	24	57%	18	43%
2008	34	27	80%	7	20%	34	22	63%	13	37%
2009	40	30	74%	10	26%	41	20	49%	21	51%
2010	38	27	72%	10	28%	38	14	37%	24	63%
2011	33	22	67%	11	33%	34	15	45%	19	55%
2012	29	20	69%	9	31%	32	19	60%	13	40%
2013	29	21	72%	8	28%	29	13	43%	17	57%
2014	29	19	68%	9	32%	25	15	59%	10	41%
2015	26	16	61%	10	39%	26	16	59%	11	41%
2016	24	14	60%	10	40%	23	12	54%	11	46%
2017	31	14	45%	17	54%	31	17	55%	14	45%
2018	23	10	45%	13	55%	23	11	49%	12	51%
2019	22	10	46%	12	56%	21	11	52%	10	48%

Table 76. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
-		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	34	29	86%	5	14%	34	18	51%	17	49%
2003	35	29	82%	6	18%	36	20	57%	15	43%
2004	32	24	76%	7	24%	32	19	61%	12	39%
2005	40	27	67%	13	33%	41	24	59%	17	41%
2006	42	25	60%	17	40%	42	27	63%	16	37%
2007	41	27	65%	15	35%	41	24	57%	18	43%
2008	34	27	80%	7	20%	34	22	63%	13	37%
2009	40	30	74%	10	26%	41	20	49%	21	51%
2010	38	27	72%	10	28%	38	14	37%	24	63%
2011	33	22	67%	11	33%	34	15	45%	19	55%
2012	29	20	69%	9	31%	32	19	60%	13	40%
2013	29	21	72%	8	28%	29	13	43%	17	57%
2014	29	19	68%	9	32%	25	15	59%	10	41%
2015	26	16	61%	10	39%	26	16	59%	11	41%
2016	24	14	60%	10	40%	23	12	54%	11	46%
2017	27	14	52%	13	48%	27	14	52%	13	48%
2018	17	10	62%	7	39%	17	8	46%	9	54%
2019	18	10	56%	8	46%	18	10	57%	8	43%

Table 77. San Luis Obispo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2017 through 2019

	_	•	Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populati	on		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Di	isposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only								
2003	house Pos	•	•								
2004	juveniles, t	•									
2005			sed of Post-								
2006	Disposition	-									
2007											
2008											
2009											
2010											
2011											
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016					San Luis Ob	ispo County's	o County's Camp opened June 2017.				
2017				6	100%	7		5 78%		1 22%	
2018				6	100%	6	4	4 57%	,	3 43%	
2019				4	100%	4	•	1 27%		3 73%	

Figure 99. San Luis Obispo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 100. Population of San Luis Obispo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 101. San Luis Obispo Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



### **SAN MATEO COUNTY**

Table 78. San Mateo Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misden			ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	195	49	25%	146	75%	202	12	6%	190	94%
2003	205	57	28%	148	72%	206	5	2%	201	98%
2004	225	58	26%	167	74%	224	7	3%	217	97%
2005	237	66	28%	172	72%	237	10	4%	227	96%
2006	224	65	29%	158	71%	225	16	7%	209	93%
2007	218	72	33%	146	67%	222	18	8%	203	92%
2008	214	71	33%	143	67%	214	20	9%	194	91%
2009	209	74	35%	135	65%	211	21	10%	190	90%
2010	186	63	34%	123	66%	183	69	37%	115	63%
2011	167	62	37%	105	63%	167	75	45%	92	55%
2012	136	54	39%	83	61%	140	65	46%	75	54%
2013	122	43	35%	79	65%	144	78	54%	66	46%
2014	111	41	37%	71	63%	113	60	53%	54	47%
2015	112	41	37%	71	63%	113	61	54%	52	46%
2016	101	36	36%	65	64%	99	54	54%	45	46%
2017	87	37	42%	51	58%	86	43	50%	43	50%
2018	74	33	44%	41	56%	68	26	38%	42	62%
2019	58	32	55%	26	45%	59	25	42%	34	58%

Table 79. San Mateo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	meanor		ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	141	49	35%	92	65%	148	1	0%	148	100%
2003	149	57	38%	93	62%	150	1	0%	150	100%
2004	172	58	33%	115	67%	172	1	0%	171	100%
2005	183	66	36%	117	64%	182	2	1%	180	99%
2006	167	65	39%	101	61%	167	2	1%	165	99%
2007	154	72	47%	82	53%	157	1	1%	156	99%
2008	158	71	45%	87	55%	158	2	1%	156	99%
2009	158	74	47%	84	53%	160	2	1%	158	99%
2010	134	63	47%	71	53%	130	47	36%	83	64%
2011	132	62	47%	70	53%	132	66	50%	66	50%
2012	111	54	48%	57	52%	114	57	50%	57	50%
2013	96	43	45%	53	55%	118	72	61%	46	39%
2014	85	41	48%	45	52%	87	51	58%	36	42%
2015	83	41	49%	42	51%	84	47	56%	37	44%
2016	74	36	49%	38	51%	72	40	55%	33	45%
2017	61	37	60%	24	40%	60	32	53%	28	47%
2018	57	33	58%	24	42%	51	18	35%	33	65%
2019	47	32	68%	15	32%	47	19	41%	28	59%

Table 80. San Mateo Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

			Average Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot							
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony						
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP						
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	54	100%	54	11	21%	43	79%						
2003	house Pos	•	_	56	100%	56	4	8%	51	92%						
2004	juveniles, t			52	100%	52	6	12%	46	88%						
2005			ed of Post-	54	100%	55	8	14%	48	86%						
2006	Disposition	n juveniles	<b>.</b>	57	100%	58	14	24%	44	76%						
2007					100%	65	17	27%	48	73%						
2008					100%	55	18	32%	38	68%						
2009				51	100%	51	19	36%	32	64%						
2010					100%	53	21	40%	32	60%						
2011						35	100%	35	9	25%	26	75%				
2012				25	100%	26	8	29%	18	71%						
2013								26	100%	27	7	25%	20	75%		
2014				26	100%	26	9	34%	17	66%						
2015										29	100%	29	14	49%	15	51%
2016					27	100%	27	14	53%	12	47%					
2017				26	100%	26	12	46%	14	54%						
2018				17	100%	17	8	46%	9	54%						
2019				11	100%	13	6	47%	7	53%						

Figure 102. San Mateo ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 103. Population of San Mateo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

Table 81. Santa Barbara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

	_	Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	171	61	35%	111	65%	168	114	68%	54	32%
2003	171	59	34%	112	66%	172	117	68%	55	32%
2004	145	57	39%	88	61%	146	95	65%	51	35%
2005	150	67	45%	83	55%	154	101	66%	52	34%
2006	184	74	40%	109	60%	187	107	57%	80	43%
2007	187	74	39%	113	61%	188	105	56%	83	44%
2008	184	47	25%	138	75%	179	118	66%	61	34%
2009	189	35	19%	154	81%	188	121	64%	68	36%
2010	183	32	17%	152	83%	187	125	67%	63	33%
2011	174	27	16%	146	84%	169	116	69%	53	31%
2012	156	32	20%	125	80%	158	111	71%	46	29%
2013	121	19	15%	102	85%	119	85	71%	34	29%
2014	98	13	13%	85	87%	99	74	75%	24	25%
2015	102	32	31%	70	69%	104	77	74%	27	26%
2016	103	37	36%	66	64%	106	70	65%	37	35%
2017	99	32	33%	67	67%	98	65	66%	33	34%
2018	65	23	35%	43	65%	65	42	64%	23	36%
2019	55	17	32%	37	68%	57	34	60%	23	40%

Table 82. Santa Barbara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	y Populatio	n			One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	88	61	69%	27	31%	84	57	68%	27	32%
2003	91	59	65%	32	35%	92	61	66%	31	34%
2004	84	57	68%	27	32%	84	55	65%	29	35%
2005	91	67	74%	23	26%	94	65	69%	29	31%
2006	112	74	67%	37	33%	112	70	63%	41	37%
2007	117	74	63%	43	37%	118	68	58%	50	42%
2008	112	47	42%	66	59%	109	72	66%	37	34%
2009	112	35	32%	76	68%	110	69	63%	41	37%
2010	107	32	30%	75	70%	110	72	66%	38	34%
2011	100	27	27%	73	73%	100	67	67%	33	33%
2012	91	32	35%	59	65%	92	64	70%	28	30%
2013	67	19	28%	48	72%	65	45	69%	20	31%
2014	58	13	22%	45	78%	59	42	71%	17	29%
2015	65	32	49%	33	51%	64	47	73%	18	27%
2016	65	37	57%	28	43%	66	41	63%	25	37%
2017	61	32	53%	29	47%	61	38	61%	24	39%
2018	37	23	60%	15	39%	37	21	57%	16	43%
2019	39	17	44%	22	56%	42	22	53%	20	47%

Note. Santa Barbara's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in October 2011. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 83. Santa Barbara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

	_		Average Dail	y Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot											
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony										
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP										
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	84	100%	84	57	67%	28	33%										
2003	house Pos	•		81	100%	81	56	70%	24	30%										
2004	juveniles, t			61	100%	62	40	65%	22	35%										
2005			ed of Post-	59	100%	59	37	62%	23	38%										
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		72	100%	75	37	49%	39	51%										
2007				70	100%	70	37	53%	32	47%										
2008					72	100%	71	46	66%	24	34%									
2009				78	100%	78	52	66%	27	34%										
2010					100%	78	53	68%	25	32%										
2011						73	100%	69	49	71%	20	29%								
2012				65	100%	66	47	72%	19	28%										
2013				54	100%	54	40	74%	14	26%										
2014														40	100%	40	33	82%	7	18%
2015																	100%	40	30	75%
2016					39	100%	40	28	70%	12	30%									
2017				38	100%	37	28	75%	9	25%										
2018					28 100	100%	28	21	75%	7	25%									
2019				16	100%	15	12	80%	3	20%										

Figure 105. Santa Barbara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 106. Population of Santa Barbara Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





Figure 107. Santa Barbara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



### **SANTA CLARA COUNTY**

Table 84. Santa Clara Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	446	153	34%	293	66%	441	194	44%	247	56%
2003	357	118	33%	239	67%	354	162	46%	192	54%
2004	342	151	44%	190	56%	340	214	63%	125	37%
2005	353	167	47%	186	53%	350	193	55%	157	45%
2006	341	140	41%	201	59%	334	167	50%	167	50%
2007	414	163	39%	252	61%	411	204	50%	206	50%
2008	423	147	35%	276	65%	422	193	46%	228	54%
2009	387	137	35%	250	65%	388	195	50%	192	50%
2010	381	125	33%	256	67%	380	214	56%	167	44%
2011	271	115	42%	156	58%	271	141	52%	129	48%
2012	220	104	47%	116	53%	219	95	43%	124	57%
2013	209	92	44%	118	56%	209	100	48%	109	52%
2014	172	70	41%	102	59%	172	91	53%	81	47%
2015	149	58	39%	91	61%	150	80	53%	70	47%
2016	114	51	44%	63	56%	112	53	47%	59	53%
2017	132	76	58%	56	42%	134	30	22%	104	78%
2018	161	97	60%	64	40%	161	29	18%	132	82%
2019	182	106	58%	76	42%	180	35	19%	145	81%

Table 85. Santa Clara Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	283	153	54%	129	46%	281	125	44%	157	56%
2003	224	118	52%	107	48%	222	108	48%	115	52%
2004	247	151	61%	95	39%	250	160	64%	90	36%
2005	258	167	65%	91	35%	259	146	56%	113	44%
2006	254	140	55%	114	45%	256	129	50%	127	50%
2007	315	163	52%	153	48%	313	158	50%	155	50%
2008	320	147	46%	173	54%	317	151	48%	166	52%
2009	284	137	48%	147	52%	283	152	54%	131	46%
2010	268	125	47%	143	53%	266	159	60%	107	40%
2011	194	115	59%	80	41%	192	109	57%	83	43%
2012	162	104	64%	58	36%	158	75	48%	83	52%
2013	150	92	61%	58	39%	148	78	53%	70	47%
2014	130	70	54%	60	46%	128	75	58%	53	42%
2015	111	58	53%	53	47%	110	67	61%	43	39%
2016	84	51	60%	33	40%	82	41	50%	41	50%
2017	88	76	86%	12	14%	88	17	19%	71	81%
2018	110	97	88%	14	12%	109	19	18%	90	82%
2019	123	106	86%	17	14%	119	24	20%	95	80%

Table 86. Santa Clara Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

			Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	163	100%	160	69	43%	90	57%
2003	house Pos	•	-	132	100%	132	54	41%	77	59%
2004	juveniles, t			95	100%	90	54	60%	36	40%
2005			ed of Post-	95	100%	91	47	52%	44	48%
2006	Disposition			87	100%	78	38	49%	40	51%
2007				99	100%	98	47	48%	51	52%
2008					100%	105	42	40%	63	60%
2009					100%	105	43	41%	62	59%
2010					100%	114	54	48%	60	52%
2011				77	100%	78	32	41%	46	59%
2012				58	100%	60	20	33%	41	67%
2013				59	100%	62	22	36%	39	64%
2014				42	100%	43	16	37%	27	63%
2015			38	100%	40	13	32%	27	68%	
2016				30	100%	30	12	39%	19	61%
2017				43	100%	46	13	28%	33	72%
2018				51	100%	51	10	19%	42	81%
2019				59	100%	61	11	17%	50	83%

Figure 108. Santa Clara ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 109. Population of Santa Clara Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002





Figure 110. Santa Clara Felony Population for the Combined Juvenile Facilities as a Percent of AMP for 2002 through 2019 1



### **SANTA CRUZ COUNTY**

Table 87. Santa Cruz Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	33	22	68%	11	32%	35	23	65%	12	35%
2003	26	20	78%	6	23%	27	14	52%	13	48%
2004	23	17	75%	6	25%	23	12	52%	11	48%
2005	16	13	77%	4	23%	15	9	60%	6	40%
2006	22	16	70%	7	30%	22	11	48%	12	52%
2007	23	15	65%	8	34%	23	10	43%	13	57%
2008	20	13	62%	8	37%	20	8	40%	12	60%
2009	22	14	63%	8	37%	22	9	41%	13	59%
2010	20	10	50%	10	49%	21	9	41%	12	59%
2011	18	11	61%	7	39%	20	8	40%	12	60%
2012	23	12	52%	11	48%	23	8	35%	15	65%
2013	21	13	64%	7	36%	20	7	33%	13	67%
2014	18	11	60%	7	40%	18	6	33%	12	67%
2015	20	13	62%	8	38%	20	7	36%	13	64%
2016	18	12	66%	6	34%	18	8	44%	10	56%
2017	18	11	64%	6	36%	17	8	43%	10	57%
2018	16	13	82%	3	18%	15	6	38%	9	62%
2019	17	14	82%	3	19%	17	6	37%	11	63%






Figure 112. Population of Santa Cruz Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002





### **SHASTA COUNTY**

Table 88. Shasta Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	104	28	27%	76	73%	105	63	60%	42	40%
2003	101	26	26%	75	74%	102	70	69%	32	31%
2004	94	28	30%	66	70%	96	60	62%	36	38%
2005	96	27	28%	69	72%	96	63	65%	33	35%
2006	96	27	28%	70	72%	96	67	70%	29	30%
2007	95	28	29%	67	71%	96	60	62%	36	38%
2008	77	25	33%	52	67%	78	48	62%	30	38%
2009	54	21	38%	33	62%	55	35	63%	20	37%
2010	32	21	68%	10	32%	31	20	63%	12	37%
2011	28	19	68%	9	32%	29	18	64%	10	36%
2012	29	20	68%	9	32%	29	17	58%	12	42%
2013	25	16	64%	9	36%	26	15	59%	11	41%
2014	24	14	58%	10	42%	24	15	62%	9	38%
2015	18	9	50%	9	50%	19	14	74%	5	26%
2016	18	11	59%	7	41%	18	8	43%	10	57%
2017	24	13	55%	11	45%	25	13	50%	12	50%
2018	22	12	52%	11	48%	22	7	33%	15	67%
2019	25	13	51%	12	49%	25	8	32%	17	68%

Table 89. Shasta Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	55	28	50%	27	50%	56	38	67%	18	33%
2003	55	26	48%	29	52%	55	39	72%	15	28%
2004	53	28	53%	25	47%	54	34	63%	20	37%
2005	55	27	49%	28	51%	54	38	71%	16	29%
2006	54	27	49%	27	51%	53	43	81%	10	19%
2007	54	28	51%	26	49%	54	38	70%	16	30%
2008	46	25	55%	21	45%	45	31	68%	15	32%
2009	35	21	58%	15	42%	34	24	70%	10	30%
2010	32	21	68%	10	32%	31	20	63%	12	37%
2011	28	19	68%	9	32%	29	18	64%	10	36%
2012	29	20	68%	9	32%	29	17	58%	12	42%
2013	25	16	64%	9	36%	26	15	59%	11	41%
2014	24	14	58%	10	42%	24	15	62%	9	38%
2015	18	9	50%	9	50%	19	14	74%	5	26%
2016	18	11	59%	7	41%	18	8	43%	10	57%
2017	24	13	55%	11	45%	25	13	50%	12	50%
2018	22	12	52%	11	48%	22	7	33%	15	67%
2019	25	13	51%	12	49%	25	8	32%	17	68%

Table 90. Shasta Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2010<sup>2</sup>

			Average Daily			iniough zon	One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	.luvenile C:	venile Camps/Ranches only			100%	49	26	52%	24	48%	
2003		nouse Post-Disposition uveniles, therefore their Total		46	100%	47	31	65%	16	35%	
2004				41	100%	43	26	61%	17	39%	
2005			ed of Post-	41	100%	42	24	58%	18	42%	
2006	Disposition	juveniles		42	100%	43	24	57%	18	43%	
2007					100%	42	22	52%	20	48%	
2008					100%	33	18	53%	16	47%	
2009					100%	21	11	52%	10	48%	
2010				0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	
2011				Shasta County's Camp closed July 2010.							
2012						·					
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016											
2017											
2018											
2019											

Figure 114. Shasta ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 115. Population of Shasta Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





### SISKIYOU COUNTY

Table 91. Siskiyou Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Dis	Post-Disposition		Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	14	7	51%	7	49%	14	5	38%	9	62%	
2003	17	8	45%	10	55%	17	10	60%	7	40%	
2004	15	6	38%	9	62%	15	4	30%	10	70%	
2005	14	6	42%	8	58%	13	4	29%	9	71%	
2006	15	8	51%	7	49%	14	4	28%	10	72%	
2007	15	10	62%	6	38%	15	5	37%	9	63%	
2008	18	7	37%	11	63%	17	8	44%	10	56%	
2009	14	6	45%	8	55%	11	5	42%	7	58%	
2010	14	5	34%	9	66%	15	4	29%	10	71%	
2011	14	7	48%	7	52%	15	6	37%	9	63%	
2012	15	7	48%	8	52%	14	4	25%	11	75%	
2013	9	4	50%	4	50%	8	3	37%	5	63%	
2014	12	5	39%	7	61%	12	3	25%	9	75%	
2015	12	4	36%	8	64%	12	2	13%	11	87%	
2016	10	4	44%	5	56%	10	0	4%	9	96%	
2017	8	4	53%	4	47%	8	1	14%	7	86%	
2018	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	
2019	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	

Note. Siskiyou's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in February 2018. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.






Figure 118. Population of Siskiyou Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





### **SOLANO COUNTY**

Table 92. Solano Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Δ	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	96	57	60%	38	40%	97	37	39%	59	61%	
2003	99	71	72%	28	28%	103	37	36%	66	64%	
2004	103	71	69%	33	32%	104	30	29%	74	71%	
2005	104	72	69%	32	31%	102	33	32%	69	68%	
2006	115	84	73%	31	27%	114	35	31%	79	69%	
2007	101	66	66%	34	34%	100	41	41%	59	59%	
2008	110	72	65%	38	35%	111	46	41%	65	59%	
2009	109	54	50%	55	50%	108	50	46%	58	54%	
2010	103	64	62%	39	38%	103	45	44%	58	56%	
2011	98	50	51%	48	49%	97	45	46%	52	54%	
2012	94	44	47%	50	53%	91	43	47%	48	53%	
2013	89	40	45%	49	55%	86	40	46%	46	54%	
2014	72	34	48%	37	52%	70	33	47%	37	53%	
2015	78	38	48%	40	52%	76	34	44%	42	56%	
2016	74	33	44%	41	56%	67	24	35%	43	65%	
2017	48	24	49%	24	50%	49	10	21%	38	79%	
2018	38	22	57%	17	43%	38	8	20%	30	80%	
2019	40	24	60%	16	41%	39	10	26%	29	74%	

Table 93. Solano Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot				
	_	Pre-Disposition			Post-Disposition		Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	70	57	82%	13	18%	70	23	33%	47	67%	
2003	77	71	92%	6	8%	80	27	34%	53	66%	
2004	78	71	90%	8	10%	78	19	24%	60	76%	
2005	78	72	92%	6	8%	77	21	27%	56	73%	
2006	91	84	93%	6	7%	89	24	27%	65	73%	
2007	78	66	85%	12	15%	78	30	38%	48	62%	
2008	85	72	85%	13	15%	85	33	38%	52	62%	
2009	83	54	65%	29	35%	84	38	45%	46	55%	
2010	78	64	82%	14	18%	78	30	39%	48	61%	
2011	74	50	68%	24	32%	72	33	46%	39	54%	
2012	71	44	62%	27	38%	68	32	46%	37	54%	
2013	67	40	60%	27	40%	64	26	40%	38	60%	
2014	58	34	59%	24	41%	57	24	42%	33	58%	
2015	65	38	58%	27	42%	62	23	37%	39	63%	
2016	62	33	53%	29	47%	56	15	26%	41	74%	
2017	45	24	53%	21	47%	47	10	20%	37	80%	
2018	30	22	72%	9	28%	30	7	23%	23	77%	
2019	35	24	68%	11	32%	34	10	29%	24	71%	

Table 94. Solano Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

	_		Average Daily	<sup>,</sup> Populatio	n	_	One-Day Snapshot				
			sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	26	100%	27	14	52%	13	48%	
2003		enile Camps/Ranches only se Post-Disposition			100%	24	10	43%	13	57%	
2004	juveniles, t			25	100%	25	12	45%	14	55%	
2005			ed of Post-	26	100%	25	12	47%	13	53%	
2006	Disposition	•		25	100%	25	12	46%	14	54%	
2007					100%	23	11	49%	11	51%	
2008				25	100%	26	13	51%	13	49%	
2009				25	100%	25	13	50%	12	50%	
2010				25	100%	25	15	60%	10	40%	
2011				24	100%	25	12	48%	13	52%	
2012				23	100%	23	11	50%	11	50%	
2013				22	100%	22	14	63%	8	37%	
2014				13	100%	13	9	72%	4	28%	
2015				13	100%	14	11	79%	3	21%	
2016				12	100%	11	9	80%	2	20%	
2017				3	100%	2	1	41%	1	59%	
2018				8	100%	8	1	10%	7	90%	
2019				5	100%	5	0	7%	5	93%	

Figure 120. Solano ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 121. Population of Solano Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **SONOMA COUNTY**

Table 95. Sonoma Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Д	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	97	29	30%	68	70%	96	61	63%	35	37%
2003	104	32	30%	73	70%	105	57	54%	49	46%
2004	115	34	29%	81	71%	115	62	53%	54	47%
2005	112	38	34%	74	66%	115	60	52%	56	48%
2006	134	47	35%	87	65%	134	74	55%	60	45%
2007	134	44	33%	90	67%	136	64	47%	72	53%
2008	125	39	31%	86	69%	126	61	48%	66	52%
2009	107	41	38%	66	62%	107	49	45%	59	55%
2010	112	43	38%	70	62%	114	62	54%	52	46%
2011	110	36	33%	73	67%	110	61	56%	49	44%
2012	109	36	33%	73	67%	111	63	57%	48	43%
2013	79	26	33%	53	67%	79	44	56%	35	44%
2014	84	27	32%	57	68%	87	52	60%	35	40%
2015	76	24	32%	52	68%	77	44	58%	33	42%
2016	65	24	37%	41	63%	67	38	57%	29	43%
2017	57	23	40%	34	59%	58	28	48%	30	52%
2018	44	19	42%	26	58%	45	21	48%	23	52%
2019	50	20	40%	30	60%	53	27	51%	26	49%

Table 96. Sonoma Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	63	29	47%	33	53%	63	40	64%	23	36%
2003	68	32	46%	37	54%	69	37	54%	32	46%
2004	75	34	45%	41	55%	75	41	55%	34	45%
2005	76	38	51%	38	49%	79	39	50%	40	50%
2006	93	47	51%	46	49%	93	49	53%	44	47%
2007	97	44	45%	54	55%	98	45	46%	53	54%
2008	88	39	44%	49	56%	89	44	49%	45	51%
2009	81	41	51%	40	49%	80	36	45%	44	55%
2010	85	43	50%	42	50%	87	49	56%	38	44%
2011	82	36	44%	46	56%	82	47	57%	35	43%
2012	82	36	44%	46	56%	84	49	59%	35	41%
2013	63	26	42%	37	58%	63	37	60%	25	40%
2014	72	27	38%	45	63%	75	44	59%	30	41%
2015	65	24	38%	40	62%	65	38	58%	27	42%
2016	55	24	44%	31	56%	57	34	59%	23	41%
2017	44	23	52%	21	48%	45	22	48%	23	52%
2018	37	19	51%	18	50%	38	18	47%	20	53%
2019	38	20	53%	18	47%	45	24	53%	21	47%

Table 97. Sonoma Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019<sup>2</sup>

	_		Average Daily	Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot										
	_	Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony									
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP									
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	34	100%	33	21	62%	13	38%									
2003	house Pos	•	_	36	100%	36	20	54%	17	46%									
2004	juveniles, t	•		40	100%	40	20	51%	20	49%									
2005			ed of Post-	36	100%	36	20	56%	16	44%									
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		40	100%	41	25	61%	16	39%									
2007				37	100%	38	19	50%	19	50%									
2008				37	100%	38	17	46%	20	54%									
2009				26	100%	28	13	46%	15	54%									
2010				27	100%	27	13	47%	14	53%									
2011					27	100%	28	14	52%	13	48%								
2012						27	100%	27	14	52%	13	48%							
2013				16	100%	16	7	41%	9	59%									
2014													12	100%	13	8	62%	5	38%
2015																12	100%	12	6
2016				10	100%	10	4	40%	6	60%									
2017				13	100%	13	6	47%	7	53%									
2018				7	100%	7	4	51%	4	49%									
2019				12	100%	8	3	42%	5	58%									

Figure 123. Sonoma ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 124. Population of Sonoma Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **STANISLAUS COUNTY**

Table 98. Stanislaus Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	118	67	57%	50	43%	120	27	22%	93	78%
2003	106	56	52%	50	48%	105	21	20%	85	80%
2004	130	76	59%	53	41%	134	18	13%	116	87%
2005	140	72	51%	68	49%	136	19	14%	117	86%
2006	145	69	48%	76	52%	150	21	14%	129	86%
2007	144	81	56%	64	44%	145	14	10%	131	90%
2008	132	71	54%	62	47%	136	22	16%	115	84%
2009	148	83	56%	65	44%	147	19	13%	129	87%
2010	145	81	56%	64	44%	149	15	10%	134	90%
2011	134	66	50%	67	50%	133	13	10%	120	90%
2012	121	60	49%	61	51%	121	17	14%	104	86%
2013	104	45	43%	59	57%	109	22	20%	87	80%
2014	95	37	39%	57	60%	96	16	17%	80	83%
2015	92	64	70%	27	30%	93	23	25%	70	75%
2016	85	42	49%	44	51%	83	14	16%	70	84%
2017	69	36	52%	33	48%	68	14	21%	54	79%
2018	79	47	60%	31	40%	79	17	22%	62	78%
2019	84	49	58%	36	42%	84	14	17%	70	83%

Table 99. Stanislaus Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

	_	А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n	_		One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	118	67	57%	50	43%	120	27	22%	93	78%
2003	106	56	52%	50	48%	105	21	20%	85	80%
2004	130	76	59%	53	41%	134	18	13%	116	87%
2005	140	72	51%	68	49%	136	19	14%	117	86%
2006	145	69	48%	76	52%	150	21	14%	129	86%
2007	144	81	56%	64	44%	145	14	10%	131	90%
2008	132	71	54%	62	47%	136	22	16%	115	84%
2009	148	83	56%	65	44%	147	19	13%	129	87%
2010	145	81	56%	64	44%	149	15	10%	134	90%
2011	134	66	50%	67	50%	133	13	10%	120	90%
2012	121	60	49%	61	51%	121	17	14%	104	86%
2013	82	45	55%	37	45%	89	18	20%	71	80%
2014	62	37	60%	25	40%	62	10	17%	52	83%
2015	68	64	95%	3	5%	69	16	23%	53	77%
2016	58	42	72%	16	28%	57	9	16%	48	84%
2017	47	36	76%	11	24%	47	8	18%	38	82%
2018	58	47	82%	10	18%	58	11	19%	47	81%
2019	58	49	84%	9	16%	58	6	11%	51	89%

Table 100. Stanislaus Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2013<sup>2</sup> through 2019<sup>1</sup>

			Average Daily	Populati	on			One-Day S	napshot	
	·	Pre-Di	isposition	Post-D	isposition	Snapshot _	Misde	emeanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	house Pos	t-Disposit								
2004	juveniles, t									
2005 2006	ADP is online Disposition	•	ed of Post-							
2007	Disposition	rjavernies	·-							
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012						ounty's Camp	opened J	une 2013.		
2013				37	7 100%	35	8	3 23%	27	77%
2014				33	3 100%	33	(	3 17%	28	83%
2015				24	100%	24	-	7 30%	17	70%
2016				28	3 100%	27	į	5 17%	22	83%
2017				22	2 100%	22	(	3 27%	16	73%
2018				2	1 100%	21	(	30%	15	70%
2019				26	100%	26	8	30%	18	70%

Figure 126. Stanislaus ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 127. Population of Stanislaus Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **TEHAMA COUNTY**

Table 101. Tehama Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		A	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_		position		sposition	Snapshot _	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	21	8	38%	13	61%	19	14	72%	5	28%
2003	30	8	27%	22	73%	31	23	75%	8	25%
2004	33	8	25%	25	75%	33	21	62%	13	38%
2005	30	5	18%	25	82%	30	22	72%	9	28%
2006	28	6	21%	22	79%	28	18	64%	10	36%
2007	33	6	18%	27	82%	32	22	69%	10	31%
2008	29	6	22%	22	78%	28	15	54%	13	46%
2009	19	4	22%	14	77%	17	12	69%	5	31%
2010	15	3	20%	12	80%	15	10	69%	5	31%
2011	19	4	24%	14	76%	18	10	52%	9	48%
2012	16	6	36%	10	63%	16	9	58%	7	42%
2013	15	7	45%	8	55%	15	6	40%	9	60%
2014	15	7	45%	8	54%	15	13	87%	2	13%
2015	17	8	47%	9	54%	12	9	79%	3	21%
2016	15	5	36%	10	64%	13	10	79%	3	21%
2017	15	7	45%	8	55%	16	11	67%	5	33%
2018	24	12	48%	12	51%	25	14	55%	11	45%
2019	26	14	53%	12	47%	27	11	42%	16	58%






Figure 130. Population of Tehama Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **TRINITY COUNTY**

Table 102. Trinity Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		P	verage Daily	Population	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11	3	30%	8	70%	11	6	50%	6	50%
2003	7	3	41%	4	59%	7	4	53%	3	47%
2004	8	2	24%	6	76%	8	6	79%	2	21%
2005	10	5	46%	6	54%	10	7	63%	4	37%
2006	14	6	44%	8	56%	14	10	70%	4	30%
2007	16	6	34%	11	66%	17	12	70%	5	30%
2008	15	5	32%	10	68%	14	9	67%	4	33%
2009	11	2	23%	8	77%	12	8	69%	4	31%
2010	13	4	26%	10	74%	13	7	58%	5	42%
2011	7	2	26%	6	74%	7	6	77%	2	23%
2012	9	3	33%	6	67%	9	6	71%	3	29%
2013	6	3	42%	4	58%	7	4	68%	2	32%
2014	3	1	34%	2	64%	3	1	39%	2	61%
2015	2	0	10%	2	94%	1	1	88%	0	13%
2016	3	0	10%	2	84%	1	1	35%	1	65%
2017	2	0	3%	2	98%	1	0	14%	1	86%
2018	2	0	0%	2	90%	1	1	53%	1	47%
2019	2	0	0%	1	87%	1	1	100%	0	0%

Table 103. Trinity Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	/ Population	n	_		One-Day S	Snapshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	11	3	30%	8	70%	11	6	50%	6	50%
2003	7	3	41%	4	59%	7	4	53%	3	47%
2004	8	2	24%	6	76%	8	6	79%	2	21%
2005	10	5	46%	6	54%	10	7	63%	4	37%
2006	12	6	52%	6	48%	12	9	74%	3	26%
2007	10	6	54%	5	46%	11	7	68%	4	32%
2008	10	5	46%	6	54%	9	6	67%	3	33%
2009	6	2	43%	3	57%	7	5	69%	2	31%
2010	8	4	47%	4	53%	7	5	66%	2	34%
2011	3	2	59%	1	41%	3	2	73%	1	27%
2012	4	3	68%	1	32%	4	3	67%	1	33%
2013	3	3	89%	0	11%	3	2	76%	1	24%
2014	1	1	87%	0	13%	1	1	53%	1	47%
2015	2	0	10%	2	94%	1	1	88%	0	13%
2016	3	0	10%	2	84%	1	1	35%	1	65%
2017	2	0	3%	2	98%	1	0	14%	1	86%
2018	2	0	0%	2	90%	1	1	53%	1	47%
2019	2	0	0%	1	87%	1	1	100%	0	0%

Note. Trinity's Juvenile Hall converted to a Special Purpose Juvenile Hall in August 2014. A Special Purpose Juvenile Hall is a county facility used for temporary confinement of youth, not to exceed 96 hours, prior to transfer to a full service juvenile facility or release. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Table 104. Trinity Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2006<sup>2</sup> through 2014<sup>3</sup>

			Average Daily			g		One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Di	isposition	Post-D	sposition	Snapshot _	Misde	meanor	Fel	lony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only							
2003	house Pos	•	-							
2004	juveniles, t	•								
2005			ed of Post-		Trinity County	's Camp open	ed Septe	mber 2006.		
2006	Disposition	ı juveniles	S	6	100%	6	3	46%	3	54%
2007				6	100%	6	4	74%	2	26%
2008				5	100%	5	3	68%	2	32%
2009				5	100%	5	4	69%	2	31%
2010				6	100%	6	3	48%	3	52%
2011				4	100%	4	4	79%	1	21%
2012				5	100%	5	4	75%	1	25%
2013				3	100%	3	2	2 61%	1	39%
2014				3	100%	3	1	29%	2	71%
2015					Trinity Cou	nty's Camp clo	osed Augu	ust 2014.		
2016										
2017										
2018										
2019										

*Note.* <sup>2</sup> 2006 Average Daily Population based on September - December. <sup>3</sup> 2014 Average Daily Population based on January - July. Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by taking a count of the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours each day of the month, adding these daily counts together, and dividing the sum by the number of days in each month. A one-day snapshot is the number of juveniles in custody at 0600 hours on the 15th day of the month. For the misdemeanor and felony populations the one-day snapshot each month provides an estimate of the monthly population and is used to estimate the average monthly population (AMP) for the year.

Figure 132. Trinity ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 133. Population of Trinity Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **TULARE COUNTY**

Table 105. Tulare Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

		А	verage Daily	/ Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
		Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	206	94	46%	112	54%	200	96	48%	104	52%
2003	179	102	57%	77	43%	180	95	53%	84	47%
2004	183	107	59%	75	41%	180	94	52%	86	48%
2005	190	82	43%	108	57%	189	112	59%	77	41%
2006	212	78	37%	134	63%	197	112	57%	85	43%
2007	184	83	45%	101	55%	185	94	51%	91	49%
2008	171	82	48%	89	52%	176	81	46%	95	54%
2009	166	79	47%	87	53%	169	67	40%	102	60%
2010	182	61	33%	121	67%	185	54	29%	131	71%
2011	180	57	32%	122	68%	195	47	24%	147	76%
2012	154	45	29%	109	71%	165	37	22%	128	78%
2013	164	48	29%	117	71%	175	41	23%	134	77%
2014	147	46	31%	101	69%	150	29	20%	121	80%
2015	157	47	30%	110	70%	154	41	27%	112	73%
2016	127	51	40%	76	60%	132	39	30%	93	70%
2017	124	47	38%	77	62%	124	38	31%	85	69%
2018	97	36	37%	62	63%	94	19	20%	75	80%
2019	77	29	38%	47	61%	75	19	25%	56	75%

Table 106. Tulare Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		А	verage Daily	Populatio	n			One-Day S	napshot	
	_	Pre-Dis	position	Post-Dis	sposition	Snapshot _	Misden	neanor	Fel	ony
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	125	94	75%	31	25%	123	66	54%	57	46%
2003	126	102	80%	25	19%	127	67	52%	60	48%
2004	125	107	86%	17	14%	123	62	51%	61	49%
2005	124	82	67%	41	33%	123	69	56%	54	44%
2006	112	78	69%	34	31%	90	48	53%	43	47%
2007	99	83	84%	16	16%	95	40	43%	55	57%
2008	88	82	93%	6	7%	91	34	37%	57	63%
2009	88	79	89%	10	11%	90	30	33%	60	67%
2010	83	61	73%	23	27%	84	16	19%	68	81%
2011	76	57	76%	18	24%	85	18	21%	67	79%
2012	65	45	70%	20	30%	73	17	23%	56	77%
2013	65	48	73%	17	27%	76	18	24%	58	76%
2014	59	46	78%	13	22%	60	14	23%	47	77%
2015	68	47	68%	22	32%	68	21	30%	48	70%
2016	61	51	84%	10	16%	64	20	30%	45	70%
2017	58	47	81%	11	19%	56	16	28%	40	72%
2018	40	36	89%	4	11%	38	8	22%	30	78%
2019	34	29	86%	5	14%	32	7	22%	25	78%

Table 107. Tulare Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	Juvenile C	amns/Rar	nches only	80	100%	77	30	39%	47	61%
2003	house Pos	•	•	53	100%	53	29	55%	24	45%
2004	juveniles, t			58	100%	58	32	55%	26	45%
2005			ed of Post-	66	100%	66	43	66%	23	34%
2006	Disposition	n juveniles		100	100%	107	65	60%	42	40%
2007		-			100%	90	54	60%	36	40%
2008				82	100%	85	47	56%	38	44%
2009				78	100%	79	37	47%	42	53%
2010				99	100%	100	38	38%	62	62%
2011				104	100%	110	30	27%	80	73%
2012				89	100%	92	20	22%	72	78%
2013				99	100%	99	23	23%	76	77%
2014				88	100%	90	16	18%	74	82%
2015				89	100%	85	21	24%	65	76%
2016				66	100%	67	20	29%	48	71%
2017				66	100%	68	23	33%	45	67%
2018				57	100%	55	10	19%	45	81%
2019				43	100%	43	12	27%	31	73%

Figure 135. Tulare ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 136. Population of Tulare Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **TUOLUMNE COUNTY**


Table 108. Tuolumne Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2017<sup>2</sup> through 2019<sup>1</sup>


			Average Dail	y Populati	on		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition		Post-D	isposition	Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002											
2003											
2004											
2005											
2006											
2007											
2008											
2009											
2010											
2011											
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016			Tu	olumne C	ounty's Juver	nile Hall open	ed April 20	017.			
2017	5	(	3 52%	2	2 47%	6	•	4 60%	2	2 40%	
2018	8	-	7 81%	2	2 19%	9		8 94%	•	1 6%	
2019	9		4 39%	6	61%	9		3 35%	(	65%	





Figure 139. Population of Tuolumne Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **VENTURA COUNTY**

Table 109. Ventura Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

	_	Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
		Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	174	46	26%	128	73%	176	69	39%	107	61%
2003	171	37	22%	133	78%	169	73	43%	96	57%
2004	171	41	24%	131	76%	173	93	54%	79	46%
2005	188	44	23%	144	77%	189	98	52%	91	48%
2006	191	41	21%	151	79%	193	106	55%	87	45%
2007	183	57	31%	127	69%	181	80	44%	101	56%
2008	203	67	33%	136	67%	203	54	26%	149	74%
2009	187	63	34%	124	66%	186	54	29%	132	71%
2010	165	53	32%	111	68%	166	48	29%	118	71%
2011	155	53	34%	102	66%	152	36	24%	116	76%
2012	117	43	37%	74	63%	118	35	29%	84	71%
2013	102	41	40%	61	60%	104	27	25%	78	75%
2014	92	35	38%	56	62%	83	38	46%	44	54%
2015	89	32	36%	56	64%	88	41	47%	47	53%
2016	82	30	36%	52	64%	80	35	44%	45	56%
2017	95	37	39%	58	61%	97	45	46%	52	54%
2018	81	39	48%	43	52%	82	35	43%	47	57%
2019	74	36	49%	37	51%	75	31	42%	43	58%

Table 110. Ventura Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Average Daily Population					One-Day Snapshot			
	_	Pre-Disposition		Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony	
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP
2002	94	46	48%	49	51%	96	27	28%	69	72%
2003	87	37	43%	49	57%	86	25	29%	61	71%
2004	70	41	58%	30	42%	71	24	34%	47	66%
2005	72	44	60%	29	40%	74	30	41%	44	59%
2006	69	41	59%	28	41%	70	33	47%	37	53%
2007	78	57	73%	21	27%	76	30	39%	46	61%
2008	89	67	75%	22	25%	90	32	36%	58	64%
2009	94	63	67%	31	33%	94	41	44%	52	56%
2010	78	53	68%	25	32%	79	34	43%	45	57%
2011	68	53	78%	15	22%	65	18	28%	47	72%
2012	49	43	89%	5	11%	49	15	31%	34	69%
2013	51	41	82%	9	18%	52	14	26%	39	74%
2014	41	35	85%	6	15%	36	12	35%	23	65%
2015	39	32	83%	7	17%	38	12	32%	26	68%
2016	36	30	81%	7	19%	34	12	34%	23	66%
2017	45	37	82%	8	18%	44	15	35%	29	65%
2018	44	39	88%	5	12%	46	12	27%	33	73%
2019	42	36	85%	6	15%	43	12	27%	31	73%

Table 111. Ventura Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019

	_		Average Daily			oz unougn zo	One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	79	100%	80	42	53%	38	47%	
2003		Juvenile Camps/Ranches only house Post-Disposition uveniles, therefore their Total			100%	84	48	58%	35	42%	
2004					100%	101	69	68%	32	32%	
2005	•		ed of Post-	115	100%	115	68	59%	47	41%	
2006	Disposition	i juveniles	<b>.</b>	123	100%	123	73	59%	50	41%	
2007				105	100%	105	50	48%	55	52%	
2008				114	100%	113	21	19%	91	81%	
2009				93	100%	93	13	14%	80	86%	
2010				87	100%	87	13	15%	74	85%	
2011				87	100%	87	18	21%	69	79%	
2012				69	100%	69	19	28%	50	72%	
2013				52	100%	52	13	25%	39	75%	
2014				50	100%	47	26	55%	21	45%	
2015				50	100%	50	29	58%	21	42%	
2016				46	100%	46	23	51%	23	49%	
2017				50	100%	53	29	56%	24	44%	
2018				37	100%	37	23	62%	14	38%	
2019				31	100%	32	20	61%	12	39%	

Figure 141. Ventura ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1






Figure 142. Population of Ventura Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **YOLO COUNTY**

Table 112. Yolo Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

·		А	verage Daily	Population	n		One-Day Snapshot				
	_		position		Post-Disposition		Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	43	29	68%	14	32%	40	5	12%	36	88%	
2003	39	27	70%	12	30%	38	4	11%	34	89%	
2004	37	25	67%	12	33%	34	2	5%	32	95%	
2005	35	20	56%	15	44%	35	1	2%	35	98%	
2006	43	22	52%	21	48%	34	5	14%	29	86%	
2007	67	22	33%	45	67%	27	5	19%	22	81%	
2008	75	18	25%	56	75%	17	3	17%	14	83%	
2009	74	15	20%	59	80%	13	2	12%	12	88%	
2010	65	15	23%	50	77%	22	1	4%	21	96%	
2011	43	10	23%	33	77%	15	0	2%	14	98%	
2012	29	7	24%	22	75%	12	1	8%	11	92%	
2013	26	14	55%	11	44%	21	1	3%	20	97%	
2014	23	12	51%	11	48%	25	0	1%	25	99%	
2015	34	15	44%	19	57%	28	7	23%	22	77%	
2016	41	15	37%	26	63%	22	3	11%	20	89%	
2017	29	8	27%	21	73%	9	1	8%	9	92%	
2018	22	4	17%	18	84%	9	1	13%	8	87%	
2019	15	1	8%	14	94%	2	0	17%	2	83%	






Figure 145. Population of Yolo Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1





#### **YUBA COUNTY**

Table 113. Yuba Combined Juvenile Facilities Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

		Α	verage Daily	Populatio	n		One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition Post-Disposition		Snapshot	Misdemeanor		Fel	Felony			
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	62	24	40%	37	60%	61	28	46%	33	54%	
2003	61	26	43%	35	58%	60	20	32%	41	68%	
2004	56	23	41%	33	59%	56	18	32%	38	68%	
2005	55	22	39%	33	61%	58	20	34%	38	66%	
2006	47	18	38%	29	62%	48	16	32%	33	68%	
2007	48	17	36%	30	64%	48	16	33%	33	67%	
2008	62	25	40%	37	60%	64	23	36%	41	64%	
2009	54	21	38%	33	62%	55	15	28%	40	72%	
2010	49	17	35%	32	65%	50	16	32%	34	68%	
2011	50	15	31%	34	69%	50	22	43%	28	57%	
2012	45	15	33%	30	67%	44	20	46%	24	54%	
2013	53	18	35%	35	66%	54	24	45%	30	55%	
2014	50	16	32%	34	67%	49	21	44%	28	56%	
2015	56	24	44%	31	56%	56	20	37%	35	63%	
2016	41	18	44%	23	57%	41	13	31%	28	69%	
2017	42	18	44%	23	56%	41	13	31%	28	69%	
2018	45	16	35%	29	65%	44	9	21%	35	79%	
2019	29	10	34%	19	67%	28	7	24%	21	76%	

Table 114. Yuba<sup>2</sup> Juvenile Hall Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

Average Daily Population							One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Disposition Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor Felo		ony				
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	46	24	53%	21	47%	45	20	44%	25	56%	
2003	45	26	57%	19	43%	44	15	34%	29	66%	
2004	37	23	62%	14	38%	37	10	27%	27	73%	
2005	35	22	61%	14	39%	37	11	31%	25	69%	
2006	29	18	62%	11	38%	30	11	36%	19	64%	
2007	29	17	60%	12	40%	29	10	35%	19	65%	
2008	39	25	64%	14	36%	40	14	35%	26	65%	
2009	35	21	60%	14	40%	35	10	28%	25	72%	
2010	30	17	57%	13	43%	30	9	29%	22	71%	
2011	25	15	61%	10	39%	26	11	43%	15	57%	
2012	25	15	60%	10	39%	24	11	45%	13	55%	
2013	30	18	61%	12	39%	30	13	43%	17	57%	
2014	26	16	62%	10	38%	25	13	52%	12	48%	
2015	32	24	76%	8	24%	32	13	41%	19	59%	
2016	23	18	76%	5	23%	23	8	33%	15	67%	
2017	24	18	76%	6	24%	24	8	33%	16	67%	
2018	23	16	69%	7	31%	22	5	22%	17	78%	
2019	16	10	62%	6	39%	14	4	26%	11	74%	

Table 115. Yuba Juvenile Camp/Ranch Population Trends for 2002 through 2019 1

			Average Daily			unough 2013	One-Day Snapshot				
		Pre-Di	sposition	Post-Disposition		Snapshot _	Misdemeanor		Felony		
Year	ADP	ADP	% of ADP	ADP	% of ADP	AMP	AMP	% of AMP	AMP	% of AMP	
2002	Juvenile C	amps/Rar	nches only	16	100%	16	8	52%	8	48%	
2003		use Post-Disposition			100%	16	5	29%	12	71%	
2004	juveniles, t			19	100%	19	8	41%	11	59%	
2005			ed of Post-	20	100%	21	8	40%	13	60%	
2006	Disposition	i juveniles		18	100%	19	5	26%	14	74%	
2007				19	100%	19	6	29%	14	71%	
2008				23	100%	24	9	37%	15	63%	
2009				19	100%	20	6	28%	15	72%	
2010				19	100%	19	7	36%	12	64%	
2011				25	100%	24	11	44%	14	56%	
2012				20	100%	20	10	47%	11	53%	
2013				23	100%	24	11	47%	12	53%	
2014				24	100%	24	8	35%	15	65%	
2015				24	100%	24	7	30%	17	70%	
2016				18	100%	18	5	28%	13	72%	
2017				18	100%	17	5	28%	12	72%	
2018				22	100%	22	4	19%	18	81%	
2019				13	100%	14	3	22%	11	78%	

Figure 147. Yuba ADP of Combined Juvenile Facilities and ADP by Facility Type (Juvenile Hall, Camp/Ranch) for 2002 through 2019 1




Figure 148. Population of Yuba Juvenile Halls by Pre-Disposition and Post-Disposition as a Percent of ADP for 2002 through 2019 1

