

RESOLUTION No. 22-547

# OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF NEVADA

### **RESOLUTION APPROVING THE NEVADA COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP PLAN** FOR 2022-2023

WHEREAS, the Public Safety Realignment Act requires the County of Nevada to establish, and renew each year, a Public Safety Realignment Plan and requires the Plan to be approved by the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee and the County of Nevada Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Nevada County Public Safety Realignment Plan is now titled the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee reviewed and unanimously approved the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership Plan for 2022-2023; and

WHEREAS, the County of Nevada will adhere to the requirements of the Public Safety Realignment Act regarding the submission of the County of Nevada Community Corrections Partnership Plan to the Corrections Standard Authority, expenditure of funds and submission of required reports.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors, of the County of Nevada, approves the County of Nevada 2022-2023 Community Corrections Partnership Plan and that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby authorized to approve and sign the Plan, on behalf of the County of Nevada.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Nevada at a regular meeting of said Board, held on the <u>25th</u> day of <u>October</u>, <u>2022</u>, by the following vote of said Board:

Ayes: Supervisors Heidi Hall, Edward Scofield, Dan Miller, Susan K. Hoek and Hardy Bullock.
Noes: None.
Absent: None.

Abstain: None.

ATTEST:

JULIE PATTERSON HUNTER Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Susan K. Hoek, Chair

10/25/2022 cc: Pro

Probation\* AC\*

# County of Nevada Community Corrections Partnership Plan 2022-23



# 2011 Public Safety Realignment:

The mission and goal of the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership is to comply with the Public Safety Realignment Act by adopting evidence-based, cost-effective policies and practices that reduce recidivism, improve individual outcomes and promote public safety.

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Nevada Cou	nty Community Corrections Partnership	3
1. Sustaining	g AB109 Reforms – 2015	
A.		4
B.	Opportunities	4
C.	Evidence-Based Responses and Interventions	5
2. CCP Votir	ng Requirements	6
9 AD100 L		-
3. AB109 Ind	lividual Population Terms and Definitions	7
4. Reporting	and Analysis	
A.	<b>Baseline and Ongoing Performance Indicators</b>	7
B.	Reporting	7
5. Monitorin	g and Control	
A.	Case Management Supervision	8
B.	Jail Strategies	9
	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)	10
	Expansion of GPS Technology	11
	Flash Incarceration	11
	General Education Development (GED)	11
	Random Drug and Alcohol Testing	11
	Substance Use Disorder Treatment	12
I.	Housing	12
	Pro-Social Relationship/Peer Mentoring	12
K.	Collaborative Partnerships	13
6. Planning	for Contingencies	13
Signatures a	nd Approvals	14

. . . . .

# Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership

### EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

- Jeff Goldman, Chief Probation Officer (Chair)
- Shannan Moon, Sheriff
- Jesse Wilson, District Attorney, also representing Victim Services
- Keri Klein, Public Defender
- Chief Alex Gammelgard, Chief of Police Grass Valley
- Ryan Gruver, Health and Human Services Director
- Tonya Clark, Court Operations Director, Superior Court Designee

#### CCP MEMBERS

- Supervisor Dan Miller, Board of Supervisors
- Alison Lehman, County Executive Officer
- Steve Sinclair, Probation Program Manager
- Angelina Coffey, Administrative Services Officer, Probation
- Jeremy Vance, Supervising Deputy Probation Officer
- Robin Jones, Deputy Probation Officer III
- Phebe Bell, Behavioral Health Director
- Kelly Miner-Gann, Behavioral Health Program Manager
- Alicia Burget, Undersheriff
- Sam Brown, Sheriff Captain
- Mike Walsh, Sheriff Captain
- Robert Jakobs, Sheriff Captain
- Nancy Ramsey, Community member
- Pauli Hallstead, Community member
- Rolf Kleinhans, Chief Fiscal Administrative Officer, Sheriff
- Joe Festersen, Director, Common Goals
- The Honorable Robert Tice-Raskin, Presiding Superior Court Judge
- The Honorable Candace Heidelberger, Superior Court Judge
- Heather Alexander, Director, Alliance for Workforce Development
- Ann Guerra, 211 Nevada County
- Tim Giuliani, 211 Nevada County
- Martin Polt, CEO office
- Rachel Roos, Director of Social Services
- Heather Vance, Turning Point
- Steve Johnson, Deputy Chief, Grass Valley Police Department
- Scott Lay, Superintendent of Schools
- Jamie Hogenson, County Counsel
- Tamaran Cook, Adult Protective Services
- Jill Blake, Public Health Director

# 1. Sustaining AB109 Reforms – 2021 Update

# A. Challenges

California state prisons operated significantly over capacity for many years. A series of court cases, including a decision by the United States Supreme Court in 2010, found health care, treatment, and rehabilitation to be unacceptably poor in our state's prisons. Under current California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) structure, California had a dismal history (one of the worst in the country) of re-offense and recidivism. AB109, AB117 and associated legislation was implemented in 2011. Since then, the state has significantly shifted the responsibility for housing inmates that would have historically been housed at state institutions to counties. They also shifted the responsibility of community supervision of certain individuals to the counties, thus transferring considerable risk from state responsibility to counties.

Three different groups of individuals have been affected by this change in law. Beginning October 1, 2011, individuals convicted of specified low-level felonies are no longer incarcerated in state prisons. Instead, incarceration time is spent in county jail rather than prison. Further, specified individuals who have completed a prison sentence after October 1, 2011, are now supervised by county Probation rather than state Parole upon release from prison. Finally, those under supervision by state Parole who violate conditions of Parole will serve their parole violation time in county jail rather than state prison.

As with past transfers of other state functions, incremental county funding may not be sufficient to meet all the increasing responsibilities passed on by the state. As individuals transfer from state to county jurisdiction, many of the mandates and standards previously applied to prison care, including catastrophic health care costs, have been applied to countylevel care.

Local jurisdictions are mandated with implementing proven evidenced based practices to address the needs of these populations. Programming that is effective needs to be implemented with fidelity. Delivering this type of programming requires increased capacity and the ability to be nimble to meet the specific and changing needs of our community.

# **B.** Opportunities

AB109 legislation, and the associated funding, presumed counties will do a better job providing treatment and rehabilitation along with incarceration. Nevada County has reduced recidivism rates and improved reintegration of AB109 individuals into local communities. Recidivism is defined as conviction of a new felony or misdemeanor committed within three years of release from custody or committed within three years of placement on supervision for a previous criminal conviction. Good public safety policy involves community supervision, focused evidenced based treatment/rehabilitation, and accountability. The Nevada County CCP membership is unanimously committed to maximizing public safety through a commitment to all these strategies.

Nevada County is very fortunate to have a tradition of excellent collaboration. Members of the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) are committed to working closely together to assess, implement, maintain, refine, and increase services funded by AB109 insuring two primary goals are met:

Criminal Justice reform:

¥

- Insuring and providing public safety to residents of Nevada County through risk- based supervision combined with treatment referral services based on criminogenic needs and effective case planning and interventions.
- Avoid jail overcrowding by implementing alternative strategies across all aspects of the local criminal justice system from pretrial, diversion and community re-entry.
- Address needs associated with healthcare, treatment, and rehabilitation for AB109 individuals in order to achieve necessary reductions in recidivism. These include Mental Health Therapy, Substance Abuse Treatment, Recovery Housing, Education, Vocational Training, Eligibility Assessments and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy.

Utilizing proven rehabilitation practices ensures scarce resources are committed to effective and efficient approaches in reducing criminal behaviors. AB109 funds have introduced practices to Nevada County that are statistically proven to yield positive outcomes which result in significant recidivism reductions. The Nevada County Probation Department has shown considerable foresight and initiative in recent years by adopting several proven practices for serving adult probationers.

# C. Evidence-Based Responses and Other Promising Interventions

Incarceration or traditional probation supervision are no longer considered effective in curbing recidivism. Traditional approaches to community supervision have been replaced by these proven programmatic strategies:

- Validated Risk Assessments
- Risk and Need based supervision
- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)
- Pretrial Program
- Re-entry Planning
- Community-based programs targeting criminogenic needs
- Substance Use Disorder Treatment

- Mental Health assessments and referrals
- Behavior Response Matrix
- Random Drug Testing
- Flash incarceration
- Electronic GPS Monitoring
- Community Service
- Transportation assistance
- Positive Behavioral Interventions

# 2. CCP Voting Requirements

- Submission to the Board of Supervisors with a simple majority vote from CCP
- Plan Approval requires simple majority vote by Board of Supervisors
- Plan Rejection requires 4/5 vote by the Board of Supervisors

Section 1230.1 of the California Penal Code was amended to read:

(a) each county local Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) established pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1230 shall recommend a local plan to the County Board of Supervisors for implementation of the 2011 public safety realignment.

(b) the plan shall be voted on by an executive committee of each county's Community Corrections Partnership consisting of the chief probation officer of the county as chair, a chief of police, the sheriff, the district attorney, the public defender, the presiding judge of the superior court, or his or her designee, and one department representative listed in either subparagraph (G), the Director of Welfare and Social Services, (H), the Director of Mental Health or (J), the Director of the County Alcohol and Substances Abuse Services<sup>\*</sup>, of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 1230, as designated by the county board of supervisors for purposes related to the development and presentation of the plan.

\* The Nevada County CCP has chosen the Director of Health and Human Services.

(c) the plan shall be deemed accepted by the county board of supervisors unless the board rejects the plan by a vote of four-fifths of the board, in which case the plan goes back to the Community Corrections Partnership for further consideration;

(d) consistent with local needs and resources, the plan may include recommendations to maximize the effective investment of criminal justice resources in evidence-based community correction programs.

(e) Nevada County has a larger CCP group which will include members listed above on page

3. This group is made up of line staff, county agency representatives, community partners and community members. This group focuses on the impacts of this population and the programmatic strategies and outcomes associated with AB 109. They will report back to the Executive Committee with outcomes and develop collaborative solutions to address each need.

# 3. AB109 Individual Population Terms and Definitions

Non-Violent/Non-Serious/Non-Sex Individuals (Non, Non, Non): AB109/AB117 revises the Penal Code to allow certain individuals convicted of specified crimes to serve their "prison" terms in the county jail, effective October 1, 2011. The population serving sentences locally are those convicted of certain non-violent/non-serious/non-registerable sex offenses. These individuals may be sentenced to a period of mandatory supervision as part of their sentence and would be under the supervision of the Probation Department.

Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS): Non/Non individuals released from State Prison after October 1, 2011, are placed on Post Release Community Supervision under the jurisdiction of county authorities. These individuals have been and continue to be released from State Prisons to community supervision on their regularly anticipated parole date.

Mandatory Supervision: Mandatory Supervision is the term given to the period of supervision imposed as part of a "split sentence" under 1170 (h)(5) PC. While probation departments have jurisdiction over the "split sentence" subgroup, these individuals are not on traditional probation but will be managed under terms and procedures similar to probationers.

# 4. Reporting and Analysis

# A. Baseline and Ongoing Performance Indicators

A key to monitoring and reporting outcomes is reliability of data. Data tracking and analysis tools previously available within Nevada County were limited, and historical data was incomplete, making it difficult to establish any baseline against which to measure future outcomes. Efforts towards data development, analysis and establishment of baseline measures continue. As of 2015, Nevada County Probation invested in a new case management system that has provided data tracking and outcomes post 2015. With all case management systems, there are limitations to collecting certain data points. Analysis and reporting of data continues to be used as much as possible to establish program measurements for upcoming fiscal years and beyond.

# **B.** Reporting

h

As a part of the recent CCP strategic plan, objectives were developed to address data and data reporting. The CCP will refine what data is needed to make informed decisions and a template for reporting. A data workgroup will be created to identify the data needed to fulfil

the report request and who is the holder of the data. Data reporting will be a standing agenda item at CCP meetings and the CCP will explore the potential need for the assignment of a data analyst to the CCP.

# 5. Monitoring and Control Methods and Programs

## A. Case Management Supervision

Effective case management and supervision is a model for providing cohesive and appropriate interventions to high-risk individuals in all felony community corrections populations (felony probationers, mandatory supervision and post-release community supervision population). Case management supervision consists of a risk needs-assessment, risk-based supervision strategies and intensive supervision of high-risk individuals in the community. This strategy applies an overall structure comprised of risk, need, and responsivity principles; relational elements between Probation Officer and individual; techniques designed to engage and motivate individuals to change; and behavioral interventions coupled with the use of sanctions and incentives supporting engagement, motivation, and behavior change. A case plan is developed to aide Probation in making the appropriate referrals for treatment interventions.

Probation uses the Effective Practices for Community Supervision (EPICS) case management model. EPICS is based on research around the principles of effective intervention that has shown to reduce recidivism. This model strives to fully utilize the time that officers spend with clients and ensure clients receive a consistent message throughout the continuum of correctional services.

Probation will keep statistical data regarding trends with case plans and report out to the CCP what trends are occurring so that the CCP can appropriately address the current and evolving needs of this population.

Strategy components include:

- Risk and Needs assessments
- Results First Best Practices Clearinghouse
- Risk/Needs based supervision (EPICS)
- Use of engagement and motivation techniques (Motivational Interviewing)
- Use of behavioral interventions and instruction (cognitive-behavioral interventions)
- Swift and certain responses to violation behavior, employing graduated sanctions and incentives to support behavior change

Nevada County is mandated and responsible for adopting evidenced based programming in the jail to address the needs of this population locally and to better utilize and examine the need of jail beds. A program the community has implemented is a pretrial program. A pretrial program includes assessing individuals incarcerated on new charges, releasing eligible individuals into the community to be monitored by the probation department awaiting their sentencing. This strategy decreases jail population while maintaining public safety. There is an ongoing effort to increase the available services for the in-custody population.

# **B. Jail Strategies**

Since the implementation of the original community partnership plan in 2011, the Nevada County Sheriff's Office has operated one main jail facility, one court holding facility, and one Type-1 holding facility, with a total operational bed space of approximately 294. Early partnership planning indicated the need to increase available bedspace for the influx of potential incarcerated persons being displaced from the State prison system. Since 2011, the Nevada County Sheriff's Office has seen the expected increase in average daily populations caused from inmates sentenced pursuant to 1170(h)PC. Our current average daily population contains an average of 14% of incarcerated persons directly related to Realignment sentencing.

Over the last decade Nevada County Sheriff's Office has not added any operational bedspace. We now have 14% of our total incarcerated population attributed to Realignment sentencing – incarcerated persons who, prior to alignment, would have been sentenced to State prison and housed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Despite this added population, the population of the jail has slowly decreased from a high of 286 in 2014, to now hovering around 160. Nonetheless, it is believed that this increase in Realignment incarcerated persons per capita has caused significant and sustained impacts to the operation of our corrections division, to include:

- Increased internal medical care costs
- Increase from 1 to 1.8 therapists and a requirement for two registered nurses on staff 24 hours per day
- Increased specialized medical costs, both on and off site
- Long term medical care for incarcerated persons with chronic conditions
- Increased sophistication among incarcerated population
- Increased altercations between incarcerated persons
- Increased altercations between incarcerated persons and staff
- Increased amounts of contraband within the facilities
- Increased transportation costs
- Increased complication of incarcerated person classification system
- Increase burden on investigating incidents within the facility
- Increase in staffing requirements
- Increase in overtime extending beyond the corrections division

One of the greatest challenges in housing the realignment population of incarcerated persons is centered around their potential length of stay. Prior to realignment, inmates sentenced to a term longer than a year would be sent to state prison – this is no longer the case. Incarcerated persons sentenced after realignment can now stay in county jails for terms exceeding a decade. These protracted stays require institutions like ours to reconsider the use of our space – space which does not expand without significant costs. Medical providers, mental health providers, and dental providers now focus on including long-term care plans into their overall medical plans. Prior to realignment, yearly dental cleanings were less likely than a dental emergency to bring a dentist to our facility, now a contract dentist and registered dental assistant are weekly fixtures in our facility and providing annual cleanings for the inmate population. Space must be made in the facility to house the chronically ill, or worse, the terminally ill. Space originally used for programming is now used for mental health treatment, telehealth consultation, remote court appearances, and remote consultation with psychiatric facilities.

When not restricted by pandemic protocol and more frequent and in-depth medical, mental health, and dental treatment, the Nevada County Sheriff's Office has been able to provide several beneficial programming opportunities to the incarcerated population, such as:

- Education focused on completion of GED
- High School Diploma education from Placer School for Adults
- Anger Management Classes
- Parenting classes
- Alcoholics Anonymous
- Narcotics Anonymous
- Training programs in food handling safety
- Arts in Corrections acting classes
- Yoga and stretching classes
- Early Access and Stabilization Services
- Medication Assisted Treatment and behavioral therapy

The past decade has also identified gaps in service and brought to light ideas and opportunities to better serve the incarcerated population and community at large. Specifically, the Nevada County Sheriff's Office has identified the need for a Re-entry Counselor or discharge planner. The individual in that position would be tasked with one-on-one interviews with inmates who are being scheduled for release from custody. The discharge planner would identify the incarcerated individual's needs, such as: housing, employment, transportation, access to prescription medication, continued medical treatment outside of the facility, state identification cards, access to support groups, and education. The discharge planner would then assist the incarcerated person in meeting their needs while simultaneously breaking down any barriers to prolonged success and reduced recidivism.

# C. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is designed to change dysfunctional thinking patterns exhibited by individuals (e.g. antisocial behavior, displacement of blame, relational dominance, etc.). Programs are usually offered in small settings, incorporate lessons, roleplaying exercises, modeling, demonstrations, and sometimes individual counseling sessions. Ultimately, the goal of CBT is to change thinking processes to prevent the future participation of criminal activities and improve public safety. Probation has dedicated one Deputy Probation Officer to facilitate CBT programming. Probation also contracts with the Sacramento Office of Education (SCOE) to allow participation in the Placer Re-entry Program (PREP). PREP provides CBT as well as a variety of other interventions and service for the community corrections population.

# D. Expansion of GPS Technology (GPS)

GPS monitoring can be used for detention, restriction, and surveillance purposes and to confirm an individual remains in a designated place, for example their home (detention). GPS can also be used as a tool to aid in the protection of victims, co-individuals or complainants. (restriction)Additionally, GPS can be used to continuously track a person, without restricting their movements (surveillance). The use of GPS technology with individuals reduces jail overcrowding by providing a viable alternative to incarceration. There are some potential community benefits of the individual continuing to work, attend treatment, pay taxes, care for their families and even attend school to increase future employment options, while the individual remains under surveillance. GPS does not, however, restrict the individual so completely that he/she cannot re-offend if he/she so chooses.

GPS primary goals are threefold:

8

1.) re-integration into the community; 2.) accountability; and 3.) monitoring. Home custody options allow individuals to remain (or re-enter) into their community under increased supervision and maintain employment and/or education and treatment.

Serving dual purposes, this strategy increases Nevada County's ability to closely monitor individuals who could otherwise be in-custody by providing an alternative to custody. GPS is a cost-effective response to non-compliance in lieu of custody.

# E. Flash Incarceration

Flash incarcerations are brief jail stays (up to 10 consecutive days) intended to address noncompliance and encourage behavior change. Not only is flash incarceration an encouraged sanction in AB109 itself, but it also receives strong theoretical support stating sanctions must be swift, specific, consistent, and short. Flash incarcerations should be limited to the least amount of punishment necessary to bring about a desired behavioral change. This strategy can be imposed without delays associated with court revocation hearing processes. Flash is most effectively utilized as one of a few higher-end responses in a system of sanctions.

# F. General Education Development (GED)

General Education Development (GED) services assist individuals in preparation for the examination required to receive a California High School Equivalency Certificate. Educational achievements are pivotal to improving upon the employability and overall success of individuals in the community and can be identified as goals within the case plan. GED services and testing can occur in county jail or in the community.

# G. Random Drug and Alcohol Testing

Random Drug and Alcohol Testing is often an aid to individual drug treatment, though it is also applied as part of supervision case plan efforts when individuals assess out for illegal substance use. Mandatory testing may result from case planning generated at the point of risk/need assessment and can be applied as part of a system of responses to non-compliant behavior. Probation has developed and maintained a random drug testing system to increase testing supervision and in line with best practices and individual accountability.

# H. Substance Use Disorder Treatment

According to the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (2014), the rate of substance use disorder among adult individuals on probation or parole supervision (40.3%) is more than four times that of the general population rate of 9%. Analysis of national data on state prison inmates indicates nearly three fourths need some substance abuse intervention.

In implementing substance use disorder treatment, critical services are structured as part of a case plan designed to address criminogenic needs identified during assessment of the individuals' risks and needs. Whether in a residential treatment program or out-patient setting, assigned staff and interventions are enhanced through Motivational Interviewing techniques and the ability of the program to utilize appropriate behavioral responses and mandatory testing in response to individual non-compliance.

The CCP currently utilizes Granite Wellness Center and Common Goals as treatment providers when attempting to address significant substance use disorder issues among individuals. Additional services include but are not limited to, medical detox (for opiate and stimulant withdrawal), mandatory random drug testing, medical assisted treatment (MAT) and individual counseling for the duration of treatment.

## I. Housing

Housing remains one of the most significant needs for Nevada County AB 109 individuals. Individuals without stable housing are more likely to struggle with treatment requirements and overall stability. To address this need, the Nevada County Probation Department contracts with Granite Wellness Center and Common Goals to provide recovery housing to those found to be in need through the assessment process. The goal of recovery housing is to provide shelter but also to support the individual towards permanent housing opportunities. Since the time an individual is on community supervision can be limited, permanent housing can be difficult to achieve. The Probation Department also partners with Hospitality House to provide housing and life skills options for the Post Release Community Supervision population released from prison to local jurisdictions.

# J. Pro-social Relationships/Peer Mentoring

Peer mentoring is an increasingly popular criminal justice intervention in custodial and community settings. Peer mentors are community members, often with lived experiences of criminal justice, who work or volunteer to help people in rehabilitative settings. Over the last decade organizations like Project Heart have worked closely with justice involved individuals within the county and have expanded capacity to serve this population through custodial program, re-entry planning, peer mentorship and pro-social group activities. The CCP has providing funding to enhance this work, and trained others within the community to expand this practice within Nevada County.

### K. Collaborative Partnerships

Partnering with community agencies to provide services are directly related to addressing the needs of the AB109 individual population. CCP partners with Granite Wellness Center and Common Goals for substance use disorder treatment and recovery housing and Alliance for Workforce Development, Inc. for vocational training and job opportunities. The CCP also collaborates with local law enforcement such as Grass Valley Police Department to provide enforcement and residential checks when needed as well as serves on the Adult Drug Court as a law enforcement liaison/partner to the alternative court system.

# 6. Planning for Contingencies

The best planning efforts cannot capture all unknown or unexpected events which can have a devastating effect on budget and funding for existing and future programs. This plan includes contingency funds of \$4,037,171,for FY 2022-2023. This plan also includes a Capital Facilities Fund \$600,000 for future criminal justice needs as they develop, \$100,000 of which has been unanimously approved by the CCP Executive Committee to go towards the Truckee Joseph Center Remodel project. This project will provide equity in service provision for Eastern County which is needed to carry out the CCP's plan and increase public value.

This year, 2022, the CCP completed a strategic plan that included interviews with a variety of internal and external stakeholders, a community survey, an examination of past plans and efforts, development of themes based on interviews/surveys, creation of a revised mission statement, and a two-day planning session with the CCP membership.

The revised mission of the CCP is:

The mission of the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership is to collaboratively ensure a safe Nevada County by reducing and preventing crime through evidence-based, cost-effective policies and programs.

The group also developed guiding principles. The Nevada County CCP guiding principles are:

We believe in:

- Creativity and innovation
- Collaboration and mutual commitment to the group to improve the system in a fiscally responsible manner
- Being open, honest, sharing ideas and being respectful
- Continuously letting purpose drive strategy

The identified goals that were developed for the CCP:

The CCP will be data-driven in decision-making and evaluating outcomes.
 Improve community engagement and participation in the CCP.

13 | Page

3. Ensure safe Nevada County by reducing and preventing crime.4. Improve overall quality of life in Nevada County.

Using the revised mission, guiding principles, and goals, the CCP developed several SMART objectives that are actionable items. Those items will be revised and fine tuned as work on them moves forward, but it is a starting point for progress. The CCP realized it was time to re-examine the approach of the CCP given the changes that have occurred over the past decade since the implementation of AB 109. The work of the CCP on the strategic plan reflects those changes and the strong collaborative effort present in the county to make this effort a success.

#### **Risk and Contingency Planning**

Implementing and sustaining a change as large and overarching (in both scope and magnitude) as that intended in AB109 is very risky on multiple fronts. This necessitates solid risk and contingency planning. Project-specific risk assessments will be conducted with the CCP workgroup to identify potential risks to Nevada County, the community, and sustainability of change efforts. Mitigation strategies will be developed that can be initiated in the event that a risk event occurs. The mitigation strategy can identify and allow us to deal with future unknown trends in the criminal justice system.

Signatures and Approvals: Prepared By

Jeff Goldman, Chief Probation Officer

This document requires the following approvals:

Approved By\_

Jeff Goldman Community Corrections Partnership, Chair Approval Date <u>10/25/22</u>

Approved By

Sue Hoek

Board of Supervisors, Chair Approval Date <u>0ct 25, 202</u>

# Proposed FY 22/23 budget AB 109 Annual Revenue Usage SUMMARY COMPARISONS

.

.

		21/22 Actuals	% of Annual Available Funds	22/23 Budget
Revenue	\$	2,780,717.00		\$ 3,317,579.00
		512,260.00	Growth	\$ 388,880.00
	\$ \$	-		\$ -
			PRCS per 3	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	20,500.00	Judge Panel PRCS Early	\$ 20,500.00
	\$	40,043.00	Release	
Total Revenue	\$ \$	3,353,520.00		\$ 3,726,959.00
Carry Forward Capital				
Facilities/Contingency	\$	600,000.00		\$ 600,000.00
Carry Forward Op exp Contingency	\$	2,341,657.48		\$ 3,494,693.32
Total available funds		6,295,177.48		7,821,652.32
Probation Dept Exp.	B.	(644,932.71)	10.2%	(818,379.00)
Treatment	\$	(109,563.00)	1.7%	\$ (207,000.00)
CCP Strategic Plan	\$ \$	(49,995.00)		
NC Superior Court				
Grass Valley PD	\$	(15,371.22)	0.2%	\$ (20,000.00)
Public Defender		(66,243.00)	1.1%	(68,285.00)
District Attorney	\$ \$	(96,487.00)	1.5%	\$ (97,049.18)
HHSA	\$	(166,325.00)	2.6%	\$ (335,166.00)
Sheriff's Department Exp.	\$	(1,051,106.40)	16.7%	\$ (1,635,000.00)
Capital Facilities - Truckee Remodel			0.0%	\$ (100,000.00)
Total draws from fund		(2,200,023.33)	34.9%	(3,280,879.18)
A87 Costs		(460.83)		(3,602.00)
Total Contingency Available		<u>4,094,693.32</u>	- 1	<u>4,637,171.14</u>
CONTINGENCY MEMO ITEM		$\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{(n)}(m) = 0$		
Contingency in Capital Facilities Fund		600,000.00		500,000.00
Contingency in Realignment fund	\$	3,494,693.32		\$ 4,137,171.14
Total	\$	4,094,693.32		\$ 4,637,171.14

#### AB 109 FY 2022/2023 PROBATION DEPARTMENT BUDGET

Salary and Benefits		FY 22-2
DPO	1 FTE	\$716,00
DPO	1 FTE	\$7.10,00
DPO	1 FTE	
SUPERVISING DPO	1 FTE	
Analyst	.25 FTE	
SR LEGAL OFFICE ASSISTANT	1 FTE	
Administrative Costs	NA	
Freatment Related	Total Salary and Benefits	\$716,00
1) Incentives and Rewards: Evidence based positive	e achievement incentives including	
ransportation (gas, bus passes), grocery, physical	exercise, DMV, small tokens, educati	on tuition \$10,000
and textbooks		
		475.00
2) SUD Treatment Services - Behavioral Health		\$75,00
2) Treatment Common Coole		An
3) Treatment - Common Goals		\$25,00
4) Treatment - Community Recovery Resources		\$25,00
		de l'a la
5) Transitional Housing - Common Goals		\$60,00
6) Peer Mentorship Services		\$8,00
7) Alcohol Monitoring		\$2,00
8) GPS/Electronic Monitoring		\$2,00
-,,		
	Total Treatmen	t Related \$207,00
Miscellaneous		
IS Connectivity & Discretionary		\$26,80
Computer Hardware		\$
Phones		\$9,00
Internet Connectivity		\$1,00
Officer Training		\$10,00
Supplies and Facilities		\$19,00
Fleet	Total Misco	\$36,56
	lotal Misco	ellaneous \$102,37
Summary		
	Proposed F	Probation \$1,025,37
	Proposed GV PD Officer A	sed HHSA \$335,16
	Froposed GV PD Officer A	ssistance \$20,00
		tegic Plan \$
		posed PD \$68,28
		posed DA \$97,04
	Propose	ed Sheriff\$1,635,00
	Total Proposed 22/23 Exp	enditures \$3,180,87
Available Funding		
	2022-2023 Allocation Revenue	
	Cumulated Unused Funds as of	YE 21/22 \$3,494,69
	Total Available Funds	for 22/23 \$7,221,65
Capital Fa	cilities Contingency Project Usage -	Truckee 57,221,05
		<b>Remodel</b> \$100,00
R	eserves Held as Capital Facilities Cor	
Proposed	Remaining YE 22/23 Contingency Fi	und 1482 \$4,037,17

ja marini 19 14 - 19 19 5 Article 19 14 - 19 19 14 - 19

letz - marky La Marke

	i otai misa	<i>4333,</i> 200	
	Total HHSA	\$335,166	
		due to grant expiration	
4 Jail-based Therapist		\$141,166 *Full-year amount	
3 General Assistance reimbursement		\$10,000	
2 Eligibility Worker		\$40,000	
1 Behavioral Health Therapist		\$144,000	

# DRAFT - Sheriff Allocation of CCP Budget for FY 22/23

12-Feb-20 preparation date

### Percentage Cost Allocation Method

Corrections Budget		\$12,062,373	Approved 20-21 Budget
Medical Budget		\$3,942,261	Medical Contract for 22-23
		\$16,004,634	Total Correctional cost
2	0.8 AB 109 avg daily count		CY 2021
	57.8 AVG daily population	13.18%	% of population that is AB 109
		57,597	Inmate Days Calendar Yr 2021
13.18%	AB 109 as % of pop.	\$2,109,610	Allocated cost as % of population
		\$0	Other Operating Cost
		\$2,109,610	
	Programs	\$0	Inmate Tablet Program Included with new RFP
		\$2,109,610	
Contingency		\$50,000	Inmate Count/Medical/etc.
	Г	\$2,159,610	AB 109 Inmate Costs

The Sheriff Budget will be capped at no more than 50% of the yearly revenue received from the StateFY 22-23 Total Estimated Funding:\$1,635,000

Sheriff Requested Funding FY 22-23 \$1,635,000

Billing for AB 109 CCP Budget Sheriff Allocation:

• Monthly average AB109 Inmate Count at per inmate cost per day at:

• Maximum billing to not exceed 50% of funding

\$281.73

# JSP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

June 10, 2022

Jeff Goldman, Chief Probation Officer Nevada County Probation 109 N. Pine St. Nevada City, CA 95959

RE: Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership Strategic Planning

#### Dear Mr. Goldman:

In February, 2020, you requested a proposal from Justice System Partners (JSP) to provide technical assistance for developing a strategic plan for the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) in Nevada County. We were asked to assist the County's CCP Executive Committee to conduct strategic planning activities and develop a draft strategic plan to guide the CCP over the next three to five years. As part of this process, we participated in several virtual meetings with you and your staff and conducted one onsite planning meeting which lasted one and one-half days. This report provides an overview of those meetings, includes materials developed for and used during this process, and provides a draft strategic plan developed in the onsite meeting on May 24 & 25<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

#### Materials:

The following materials are included as attachments to this technical assistance report:

- Attachment A: Summary of Interviews and Survey dated April 29, 2022: Includes the participants who were interviewed and the areas of questions along with the themes identified grouped in strengths, challenges, and opportunities. Attachment A also includes the survey description and the questions and results of participants responses.
- Attachment B: Materials from onsite planning meeting with CCP Executive Committee:

Includes the meeting agenda, PowerPoint presentation and meeting notes.

• Attachment C: Final Action Plan

#### **Background:**

Nevada County is a county in the Sierra Nevada area of the State of California. As of the 2020 census, the population was 102,241. The California Community Corrections Incentives Act of 2009 (SB 678) established a Community Corrections Partnerships (CCP) in each county, which consists of several government and community stakeholders. Assembly Bill 109 (AB109) expanded the role of the CCP, through the establishment of an Executive Committee. The CCP Executive Committee (CCPEC), is chaired by the Chief Probation Officer, with additional members being; the Sheriff, District Attorney, Public Defender, local Chief of Police, Health and Human Services Agency Director and a Superior Court representative. The CCP provides planning, oversight, implementation, and assessment of realignment in Nevada County. Realignment objectives and goals will be accomplished through the expanded use of evidence-

based practices and programming that will promote positive behavioral change and outcome to reduce recidivism. The Executive Committee members include the following individuals:

- Jeff Goldman Chief Probation Officer and Chair
- Tonya Clark Court Operations at Superior Court of California, County of Nevada
  - Ryan Gruver Nevada County Health and Human Services Director
- Keri Klein Nevada County Public Defender
- Jesse Wilson Nevada County District Attorney
- Shannan Moon Nevada County Sheriff
- Alex Gammelgard Chief, Grass Valley Police Department

#### **Process Planning**

Upon execution of the contract with Nevada County, JSP participated in several phone conversations with Mr. Goldman regarding planning for stakeholder interviews and how to obtain community information for the CCP Executive Committee consideration in developing the strategic plan. These conversations include multiple emails and virtual meetings from June 2021 through May 2022. The topics of these conversations centered around planning for stakeholder interviews and community surveys. In the spring of 2022, a draft summary of the interviews and survey results was the topic of several meetings with Mr. Goldman. In April and May of 2022, the onsite meeting agenda and logistics were the topics.

#### Interviews and Community Survey

Attachment A details the stakeholder interviews conducted and participants responses as well as the community online survey conducted to obtain community perspectives on the CCP function and priorities.

### May 24th & 25th Executive CCP Session

On May 24<sup>th</sup> at 1:00 P.M., JSP met with the Nevada County CCP Executive Committee to begin a one and one-half day working session. In compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act, Mr. Goldman described the one agenda item of developing a strategic plan for the CCP and opened the meeting up for public comment. One member of the public provided suggestions to the Committee.

During the meeting JSP conducted an activity in which members introduced themselves and provided one "hope" for the work session and one "personal value" that they bring to the session (Attachment B). These were then used as considerations for developing guiding principles for the organization.

Ground rules were then developed for the work session and are presented below:

- 1. Please listen generously.
- 2. One voice at a time.
- 3. Everyone has a voice.
- 4. Be fully present.
- 5. No limits to what is discussed.
- 6. Open to new ideas.

- 7. Respect each other and respect the diversity of opinions and ideas.
- 8. There are no wrong answers or silly questions.
- 9. Have fun.

4

JSP provided a brief presentation on what a strategic plan is and the components it should include (Attachment B). The committee discussed the mission of the CCP and revised the statement as reflected in the revised mission below:

The mission of the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership is to collaboratively ensure a safe Nevada County by reducing and preventing crime through evidence-based, cost-effective policies and programs.

The presentation also included a review of the results of the stakeholder interviews in Nevada County as well as a summary of the results of the community survey (Attachment B). The Committee developed guiding principles for its work which are listed below:

We believe in:

- Creativity and innovation
- Collaboration and mutual commitment to the group to improve the system in a fiscally responsible manner
- Being open, honest, sharing ideas and being respectful
- *Continuously letting purpose drive strategy*

The second day was a full day work session which started with a review of the work from the previous day. Two activities were conducted with the Committee to identify potential goals to include in the strategic plan (See Attachment B). The Committee then brainstormed goals for the strategic plan and identified 22 potential goals for further discussion and collapsing. The results of these discussions are four identified goals listed below:

- 1. The CCP will be data-driven in decision-making and evaluating outcomes.
- 2. Improve community engagement and participation in the CCP.
- 3. Ensure safe Nevada County by reducing and preventing crime.
- 4. Improve overall quality of life in Nevada County.

JSP then lead a discussion on each of the goals to identify objectives that would move the CCP to accomplishing each goal. These objectives were then made S.M.A.R.T. by ensuring they were Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timebound (See Attachment C). The CCP will need to further identify action steps to accomplish each of these objectives, which can be accomplished by staff at each agency rather than the Executive Committee of the CCP. The day and one-half work session was concluded at approximately 4:30 P.M.

#### **Impressions and Recommendations**

The citizens of Nevada County are fortunate to have leaders of criminal justice agencies who work collaboratively and communicate regularly to improve the system. The Executive Team of the CCP express a willingness to come together and problem-solve, share information, and maintain a collaborative atmosphere despite resource limitations. The strong, long-term working relationships that exist between partners provide a positive problem-solving environment which is unique in this jurisdiction.

The stakeholder interviews and the community survey conducted in preparation for the in-person planning meeting provided important information JSP believes would assist the CCP in its effectiveness and achieving its newly developed goals and objectives. Consistently in both interviews and survey results is the perceived need to educate the community on the purpose and functions of the CCP in Nevada County. A portion of the community who does know the CCP is interested in what it does and how it makes decisions. Public participation has many benefits including improved understanding of community priorities and specific group concerns, the ability to build community. Public participation can also have its disadvantages, including being time-consuming and potentially expensive. A negative experience of the process may lead participants to have negative perceptions of the outcome, and they may be less likely to participate in future processes. The CCP is believed to have experience this in its later meetings where fewer community members attended.

Another consideration expressed by both interviewees and survey results was the need to increase the behavioral and social services provided by and funded by the CCP. JSP heard consistently that homeless services, mental health services and substance abuse treatment should be expanded both in the jail and in the community. These issues will present funding decisions the CCP will have to discuss and come to agreement on during the next funding cycle. We hope that focusing on the goals and objectives the Executive Committee developed can assist in making those funding and program decisions.

It was a pleasure working with the Nevada County CCP and hope this process provides a roadmap and guidance over the next three to five years in the strategic work of the organization. Please feel free to contact us with questions and comments.

Sincerely,

Zach Dal Pra

Principal, Justice System Partners

Kwi *I. Kuelmeur* Senior Associate, Justice System Partners

JSP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

# Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Summary of Interviews and Survey

Report to Nevada County, CA DATE: April 29, 2022

Contents	
Project Background	3
Summary of Interviews	3
Individuals Interviewed	3
Interview Protocol	4
Interview Themes	5
Strengths in Nevada County	5
Challenges in Nevada County	5
Opportunities in Nevada County	7
Conclusion	
Summary of Survey Results	9
Survey Themes	.16
Considerations from Interviews and Survey Results	.16
Attachment	.17

## **Project Background**

The State of California enacted historic criminal justice system changes in 2011 by passing what is commonly called Public Safety Realignment (i.e., AB 109 and AB 117). As a result, in the first six months of Realignment, over 38,000 individuals who would have been supervised by the State in prisons or on parole prior to these changes were instead being supervised and housed in jails by local county probation and sheriff departments. To respond to these changes, localities have created collaborative decision making bodies known as Community Corrections Partnerships (CCPs), chaired by the county Probation Chief. These bodies bring together county and other agencies to develop local fiscal and strategic policies, based on local needs and resources. CCPs assist jurisdictions by ensuring that justice agencies work together in the creation of county plans, and by supporting the delivery of practices that have been scientifically shown to improve public safety.

Justice System Partners (JSP) is working with Nevada County, California to conduct a comprehensive planning process with public safety system stakeholders that results in a strategic plan to guide the system's work. Several components of this planning process include engaging and incorporating input from Nevada County criminal justice system stakeholders, community members, and people with lived experience in the system. This report is a summary of the feedback received from the interviews and survey conducted.

## **Summary of Interviews**

To identify the strengths, weaknesses, and areas of opportunity for the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) in Nevada County, and to gain perspective on growth prospects, JSP interviewed local stakeholders. Interviews were held with a broad range of Nevada County stakeholders to inform the strategic recommendations for strengthening the CCP practices in the county. Among those interviewed were Nevada County elected officials and representatives from the Nevada County Courts, Nevada County District Attorney's Office, Grass Valley Police Department, Nevada County Sheriff's Office, Nevada County Public Defender's Office, and the Nevada County Probation Office. Additionally, representatives from service providers and other community policy initiatives were also interviewed. Interviewees were identified by the Chief Probation Officer in Nevada County as individuals with particular insight on the criminal legal system and community needs in Nevada County. Interviewees were invited to participate in individual conversations via email. A complete list of interview participants follows.

#### **Individuals Interviewed**

Thank you to the individuals listed below for participating in an interview with JSP. Their participation, input, and perspective will be critical in developing and prioritizing areas for CCP consideration in developing a strategic plan that will help further efforts toward improving the criminal justice system in Nevada County.



- Phoebe Bell, Behavioral Health Director, Nevada County
- Tonya Clark, Court Operations Director, Nevada County Superior Court
- John Duff, Executive Director, Common Goals
- Jason Galkin, Court Administrator, Nevada County Superior Court
- Alex Gammelgard, Police Chief, Grass Valley, CA
- Jeff Goldman, Probation Chief, Nevada County
- Ryan Gruver, Director, Health and Human Services, Nevada County
- Pauli Halstead, Citizen, Nevada County
- Keri Klein, Public Defender, Nevada County
- Alison Lehman, Chief Executive Officer, Nevada County
- Rachel Roos, Director of Social Services, Nevada County
- Steven Sinclair, Probation Program Manager, Nevada County
- Scott Smith, AB 109 Graduate, Nevada County
- Heather Vance, Program Director, Turning Point
- Carol Viola, Program Manager, Project Heart
- Jesse Wilson, District Attorney, Nevada County
- Judge Linda Sloven
- Judge Robert Tice-Raskin
- Captain Mike Walsh, Sheriff's Office

### **Interview Protocol**

Interviews were held via Zoom video call and lasted 30 to 45 minutes. JSP staff facilitated all interviews between November 22, 2021 and February 17, 2022. A total of 19 interviews were held representing a range of community interests, including behavioral health, and criminal justice system representatives. An interview protocol was developed to ensure consistency in data gathered from interview participants. Interviewees were asked a series of questions regarding:

- their understanding of the purpose of the CCP;
- areas in which the CCP excels;
- areas where its performance could be improved;
- how participants could enhance the coordination of services in the system;
- what their organization needs from the system in order to do a better job;
- what they think will be the most important issue facing Nevada County over the next several years;
- their views on the overarching strengths and weaknesses in the system;
- what would facilitate the implementation of evidence-based practices in Nevada County; and
- what they would like the CCP to do that they are not currently doing.

Interviews were transcribed and notes from each interview were catalogued. Themes that emerged from interview responses were tracked in a matrix that recorded the number of individuals who spoke to each theme along with a summary of their responses.



#### **Interview Themes**

Themes that emerged from interviews were organized by JSP staff into strengths, challenges, and opportunities. Strengths identified by interviewees related to the themes of collaboration, good working relationships, and behavioral health. Challenges interviewees reported are organized under themes including commitment, communication and education, community involvement, lack of resources, and the need to expand the services that are funded. Other opportunities identified by interviewees include increasing the performance data that is available from the CCP as well as shifting from a financial focus to an outcome focus. Additionally, improving the planning and process of the CCP, and more emphasis on addressing racial and ethnic disparities and diversity, equity and inclusion were noted. A summary of each theme follows, organized by strengths, challenges, and opportunities identified.

#### Strengths of Nevada County CCP

Interview participants volunteered several key areas in Nevada County that they saw as significant strengths that support improving the CCP and future efforts. The identified strengths are listed here by topic, including collaboration, working relationships, resources and behavioral health.

**Collaboration and Working Relationships** – The vast majority of those interviewed indicated that the agency partners work well together, and they have good relationships. The stakeholders are collaborative, communicate and are involved in the process. It was felt by those interviewed that this is due to Nevada County being a small community, it is easy to have strong relationships and opportunity to be flexible. The CCP helps facilitate the relationships, provides a forum if there are issues, and people work together to develop and balance the budget. Interviewees noted the relationships also allow conversation to occur between stakeholders to provide the best outcomes for those involved in the system.

**Resource Rich** – Some interviewees reported that the county has resources and as a result they can be creative and innovative in using those.

**Behavioral Health** - There was feedback that the CCP has a good sense of behavioral health issues and providers are willing to change to address the specific needs of the community or patients.

#### **Challenges of Nevada County CCP**

Interviewees identified a number of challenges for the Community Corrections Partnership. These challenges and areas to improve are listed below.

**Funding structure** – Interviewees reported a structure that provides higher budgets for jail operations, incarceration, and law enforcement. Feedback from those interviewed revealed not all justice stakeholders are aligned with the CCP and system thinkers are needed at the table. Respondents noted there is a lack of understanding of what each other does and the challenges of each agency. One interviewee advised that the role and limitations of the court and funding challenges must be recognized. Interviewees indicated there should be less money allocated for custody functions and additional funding to providers for programming, as well as being spread to the rest of the community for other services such as homeless, housing issues.



**Commitment** - Interviewees noted the CCP could do a better job of system and agency level collaboration and integration recognizing common goals. It was reported because of partners "staying in their lane", there have been missed opportunities. Attendance can be spotty and lead agency decision makers are not always present. One respondent stated it would be ideal if there was joint ownership, alignment, and shared objectives. It was noted there was a lot of energy when the CCP was initially formed but now it is static. Therefore, there is a need to focus on priorities. It was noted true partnerships amongst all CCP members are needed with authentic engagement and not just "rubber stamping" items. Another person stated it is more than just relationships and members must "lean in and dive deeper". Interviewees advised some judicial representation and investment would be beneficial. Additionally, it was reported regular meetings with stakeholders (law enforcement partners, courts, probation, and health providers) outside of the CCP meetings are needed.

**Communication and Education** - Interviewees reported honest communication among stakeholders could be better. It was indicated one reason for a lack of honest communication is that partners are worried about the public and do not feel comfortable speaking up. The CCP could facilitate a discussion about buy-in and commitment from the membership. Interviewees explained that the CCP could do a better job of articulating the work and successes not just internally but externally. They indicated credibility with the public could be increased with greater communication, transparency, and education about the role of the CCP. Training involving a mix of stakeholders and not just law enforcement was suggested. It was also suggested training and education of providers and investigators in evidence-based practices was needed. One respondent said they receive calls from the community about people re-arrested on pretrial release and wondered what could be done differently to inform the public and questioned who is responsible for the issue. Another interviewee reported law enforcement and patrol officers could use training and education regarding the benefits of treatment.

**Community Involvement** – Interviewees indicated there should be ways to get broader community involvement and input into what the CCP does. It was felt that community members could be rotated, and participation improved.

**Expand services** – Interviewees reported they would be interested in more resources, broader and improved use of services in other agencies, expanded embedded services and more creative options. Additionally, it was noted investment should be made in more community-based services to keep people out of jail as well as services for those in the jail. For instance, mental health evaluations in the jail, coordination of jail release services, programming in the jail, better mechanism to share screening information for those booked and released, psychiatric care in the jail, policies to address behavioral health issues, and reentry programming were all suggested.

Several interviewees discussed the growing volume and need to address those with mental illness and co-occurring disorders recognizing the fact that law enforcement response takes time. One respondent stressed mobile crisis intervention is a big need and can impact the whole community. The use of the CCP to augment treatment and behavioral health was mentioned to address the explosion of fentanyl and other substance use disorders. Rethinking the crisis system and opportunities to deflect to other resources instead of 911 or law enforcement was raised. A better process and potential diversion for those not competent to stand trial and for those with significant

mental illness was suggested.

Another area of focus many interviewees raised was the need to address homelessness, housing need options, and those that are homeless that also have co-occurring substance issues. It was also reported the response to homelessness is taking up law enforcement time.

One individual reported the vocational system for those returning from prison should be reformed. For instance, vocational classes are provided in prison but minimal assistance to help with getting a job is given once released.

#### **Opportunities of Nevada County CCP**

**Data and Outcomes** – Interviewees reported they would be interested in seeing and sharing data, reports, dashboards on performance, probation outcomes and if what they are spending money on is effective. It was even suggested the CCP fund an analyst, so data is not completed in a silo. They indicated goals are currently being driven with a financial focus versus an outcome focus.

**Planning and Process** – Interviewees noted the CCP should think broader across jurisdictional groups. For instance, it was mentioned that partners should be open to new ideas, learn from other jurisdictions, be creative, innovative, system and forward thinking, and change the status quo. It was reported there is an emphasis on financial matters and reporting out but there should be a nexus to program outcomes, shared outcomes, and leaning in for joint programming. One interviewee suggested direction be provided to each agency as to their role and how they could help. One interviewee mentioned some partners at the table only have a financial interest which limits perspectives. Another interviewee said stakeholders should be willing to have tough conversations about the budget and how allocations are set.

It was suggested that an agenda that focuses on strategies, problems, and solutions would be beneficial. It was felt this would prompt the group to be more productive, collaborative and address the gaps. One interviewee stated discussions should be had more broadly around the drivers of crime and to identify and collaborate regarding the areas of need.

It was noted, the CCP could be more of a problem-solving body and provide broader and system goals for all stakeholders that will result in lower recidivism. The importance of implementation and focus on depth, consistency and quality was mentioned. One respondent stated it would be better if the system was collaborative, and craft programs that allow discretion. It was suggested the CCP could use process maps, logic models, and sequential intercepts to examine decision points. It was felt the process didn't need to be complicated if it is not a need of the community. Many felt that strategic planning was needed and were glad this process started. Interviewees reported it was important to have a system of shared vision, partnership, alignment, and agreement on how to define public safety.

It was also suggested an outside facilitator be involved to neutralize hierarchy of other partner agencies.



**Racial Disparities and Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI)** – It was noted the system needs to do more to address racial disparities. One interviewee advised diversity, equity and inclusion was not being looked at. Meaningful DEI and safety for people of color in Nevada County was suggested as areas for discussion.

#### Conclusion

Interviewees provided JSP with a robust understanding of perspectives and beliefs about the criminal legal system and community needs in Nevada County. Candid and honest feedback regarding the strengths, challenges, and opportunities described in this summary is critical for the CCP to help them with their strategic plan to guide the system's work. All the stakeholders interviewed will be impacted directly or indirectly by the strategic plan; thus, engaging their perspectives in this process is critical to the success of the plan development.

### **Summary of Survey Results**

To provide the CCP in Nevada County the perspectives of community members throughout the county, The survey was created to collect the community's feedback, thoughts, and perspectives on what the CPP can do to improve its performance. Input was sought to involve citizens in long-range planning and budget decisions. The survey was made available online via SurveyMonkey from late January 2022 through March 2022, and distributed through various outlets (i.e. county website, email, social media, etc.).

The survey questions encompassed the following areas:

- Knowledge of the CCP
- Satisfaction with agencies and services in Nevada County
- The importance of services provided
- Suggested allocations for CCP funding
- The most important issues facing the criminal justice system
- Zip codes of survey respondents
- Age, race and gender of respondents
- Length of time living in Nevada County

Sixty community members in Nevada County responded to the survey. The results of the 11question survey completed via SurveyMonkey is summarized below:

1. Overall, most respondents have no prior knowledge or very little prior knowledge of the CCP 33% and 22% respectfully, 20% had some knowledge, 20% were knowledgeable, and 5% were very knowledgeable (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Prior to the survey, overall knowledge of the CCP



2. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with agencies and services in Nevada County. Most respondents were either satisfied or neutral in their responses (Figure 2) and the top items with the highest satisfaction ratings were local police departments to respond to calls, cite, and make arrests (63%), the Public Defender's Office representing people going through the system and referrals to services (55%), and mental health treatment services (58%). Areas with the least satisfaction include homeless and housing services (32%), substance abuse treatment services (32%), the District Attorney's office to prosecute and represent victims and people of Nevada County (27%), and superior courts providing hearings to process cases through the criminal justice system (25%). The average ratings of satisfaction with agencies and services are displayed in figure 3.

#### Figure 2: Satisfaction with agencies and services

Please rate your satisfaction of the following agencies and services in Nevada County. How satisfied are you with the...

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Sheriff's Department providing beds space for those arrested	11.67%	31.67%	40.00%	10.00%	6.67%
Superior Courts to provide hearings to process cases through the criminal justice system	3.33%	35.00%	36.67%	15.00%	10.00%
Probation Department to provide monitoring for those released before being convicted and supervision following conviction and making referrals for services	15.00%	36.67%	25.00%	15.00%	8.33%
District Attorney's Office to prosecute and represent the victims and people of Nevada County	5.00%	36.67%	31.67%	18.33%	8.33%
Local Police Departments to respond to calls, cite, and make arrests	15.00%	48.33%	18.33%	13.33%	5.00%
Public Defender's Office to represent people going through the system and referrals to services	15.00%	40.00%	30.00%	8.33%	6.67%
Substance Use Treatment services	10.00%	38.33%	20.00%	20.00%	11.67%
Mental Health Treatment services	15.00%	43.33%	11.67%	16.67%	13.33%
Homeless and Housing services	8.33%	38.33%	21.67%	15.00%	16.67%





**SP** JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

6

4

3. Respondents were asked to rate the importance of providing services to people involved in the criminal justice system in Nevada County. Most respondents felt community-based mental health treatment services prior to and/or following arrest was very important (80%) and pretrial substance use and mental health treatment programs while pending trial was very important (70%) (figure 4). The average of how respondents rated the importance of all services are displayed in figure 5.

Figure 4: Please rate the importance of providing the following services to people involved in the criminal justice system in Nevada County. How important are the following services?

n.h	ooking deflection programs in lieu of arrest to address treatment ne	ads to keep neorle o	ut of the criminal instice a		nportant Im 50.00%	portant 28.33%		Somewhat Inimportant 3.33%	Not Importa 5.00
	sion programs following arrest to address treatment needs and if co				53.33%	36.67%	5.00%	1.67%	3.33
	al monitoring program for those released prior to conviction		20ml	ł	50.00%	40.00%	3.33%	3.33%	3.33
etri	al substance use and mental health treatment programs while pendi	ng trial		3	70.00%	20.00%	3.33%	3.33%	3.33
oba	tion supervision following conviction			6	50.00%	26.67%	8.33%	1.67%	3.33
oba	tion Treatment Referrals and Programming			6	53.33%	26.67%	6.67%	0.00%	3.33
	able Housing Services prior to and/or following arrests				60.00%	20.00%	10.00%	6.67%	3.33
	nunity-based Mental Health Treatment available prior to and/or follow	ving arrest			30.00%	10.00%	1.67%	3.33%	5.00
ome	less Services prior to and/or following arrest			(	53.33%	20.00%	8.33%	1.67%	6.67
ig	ure 5: Average of how respondents	rated impo	rtance of servi	ices.					
		Avera	ige Scores for Ser	vices					
1.7									
.6	4,57								
.5	4.5								
	4.47								
A		4.38	4.35						
1.3				4.32	4.3				
							4.27		
1.2									
1.6.								4	15
1.2									
4									
		2003							
3.9									
	Community-based Pretrial substance Probation	Probation	Diversion programs	Homeless Services	Pretrial mo	nitoring .	Affordable Hou		ooking
	Mental Health use and mental Treatment Referral	supervision	following arrest to	prior to and/or	program fo		Services prior		ction
	Treatment available health treatment and Programming	following	address treatment	following arrest	released p		and/or followi		s in lieu of
	prior to and/or programs while	conviction	nee ds and if		convic	000	arrests		address
		conviction	completed charges		COUAR	000	arrests	treatmen	t needs to
	prior to and/or programs while	conviction			CONVIC	000	arrests	treatmen keep peo	

4. Respondents were provided a summary of the purpose of the CCP and responsibility for developing and recommending an implementation plan with funding specific to the policy reforms in AB 109. They were then asked to provide suggested allocations to distribute the funding for each agency/service. Figure 6 displays the average of suggested allocations by respondents for each agency/service.

The three top rated areas for CCP funding allocations are services for mental health, substance use and homeless services.



Figure 6: Average allocations in percentage of CCP Fund for each agency/service.

- 5. The survey allowed respondents to provide narrative answers for what they thought will be the most important issues facing the criminal justice system in Nevada County over the next several years. The most common theme of important issues noted addressing housing/homelessness, mental health and substance use services. A full list of the comments received for this question is in attachment of this report.
- 6. Of those that responded to the survey, most were between the ages of 45-55 (35%) and 35-44 (32%), followed by those between the age of 55-64 (17%) and 65-74 (10%). A small percentage were between the age of 25-34 (7%) (Figure 7).





Figure 7: Age of the survey respondents

7. The majority of those that completed the survey came from zip codes 95945 (33%) and 95959 (32%) (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Zip Codes of survey respondents.



8. The largest group of respondents have lived/worked in Nevada County for 20+years (38%) and almost equal representation for 11-20 years (22%), 6-10 years (18%), and 1-5 years (18%). A small percentage (3%) have lived/worked in Nevada County less than one year and no respondents live/work outside of the county or are part-time residents/frequent visitors (Figure 9).

13


Figure 9: Length respondents have lived/worked in Nevada County

9, The majority of individuals that responded to the survey identified their race/ethnicity as white or caucasian (72%). A small percentage preferred not to answer (15%) and some indicated multiple ethnicities (8%) (Figure 10).

Figure 10: Race/ethnicity of respondents





10. The majority of respondents to the survey were woman (67%) vs. men (28%) (figure 11).



Figure 11: Gender of respondents.

11. Most respondents learned about the survey and planning process from the county website or newsletter/email (40%), and email/list serv (25%). Others learned from a community group or organization (13%), friend or neighbor (7%), other (7%), social media (5%), event (2%), and newspaper (2%) (figure 12).





### ATTACHMENT A

#### Survey Themes

- Community members who responded to the survey had very little or no knowledge of the CCP, suggesting developing an outreach program via multiple communication vehicles to engage the community.
- Although community members are satisfied with police and public defender and probation services, they are less satisfied with treatment, homeless services, prosecutorial and victim representation from the District Attorney's Office, and court case processing.
- Community members see community-based mental health and substance use treatment services as very important but included areas of least satisfaction.
- Community members want CCP funding used for mental health, substance use, homeless services, and probation services. Probation could be seen as an extension of those services.
- Respondents to the survey were primarily from the Grass Valley and Nevada City area.

### **Considerations from Interviews and Survey Results**

Through interviews and survey of public safety stakeholders, community members and people with lived experience, the Nevada County CPP is positioned to use and incorporate this information in the development of their strategic plan. The CCP can build upon their strengths and capitalize on opportunities to develop local fiscal and strategic policies, based on local needs and resources. Highlighted below are a few areas that were consistently raised by stakeholders and community members as topics the CCP could consider in developing a strategic plan for the next three to five years.

- The community of Nevada County is not aware of or knowledgeable of the CCP and its role in system. Developing an education and outreach program for community members could increase the support and participation in the CCP.
- Both the interviews of stakeholders and the survey results of community members show a consistent desire to increase the funding and services for mental health, substance use and homeless and housing programs.
- Stakeholders requested additional data on the performance of the system in Nevada County and asked for more focus on outcomes rather than a financial focus.

SP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

#### ATTACHMENT A

### Attachment

# 5. What do you think will be the most important issues facing the criminal justice system in Nevada County over the next several years?

No supervision being done by probation- violations not being reported or enforced. judges not holding individuals accountable

Homelessness/ housing insecurity, mental health issues, and substance use issues can lead to crime. It is critical that we address the broader systemic issues like these first so we can come from a prevention-minded evidence based best practices methodology. Housing solves homelessness. Building affordable/ accessible housing for all of those in need creates community stability. Housing security and stability leads to healing and time/ space to pay attention to one's deeper issues such as mental health and substance use disorders which can be generational issues. To stem the tide, we must create achievable housing and access to services for people of all ages.

Lack of affordable housing and lack of access to mental health and substance use services.

Homelessness, mental illness, sud treatment, lack of resources for public defense.

Housing first.

Substance abuse, mental health, homelessness, lack of affordable housing, small tax base.

We need strong leadership holding repeat offenders accountable. If this means rehab or mental health services or other means to support them.

Re Entry into the community and affordable housing.

Reoffending-due to mental illness and/or SUD.

Mental health.

A poorly designed mental health system coupled with using the wrong entities to address problems outside their operational capabilities. There is no holistic approach to community corrections, rather competing interests among interrelated systems.

Mental health related crimes.

Homelessness.

Methamphetamine

related

crime.

Difficulties with rehabilitation following chronic stimulant use.

Homelessness, Substance Use, Mental Health.

Homelessness and substance abuse/ cooccurring disorders.

Supported housing, intensive case management, connection to support and/or treatment in jail and upon release, substance use treatment.

Timely hearings.

Mental Health, Substance Abuse and Police Officer staffing.

Proper training of law enforcement officers and dispatchers, alternative non-law enforcement service to respond to not requiring an armed officer.

Not enough space if it doesn't do more prevention, treatments that work which may be outside of what is considered best practices today, housing of our unhoused, and understanding that the brain doesn't complete development until age 25 is all considered and practiced.

Releasing unprepared felons into the community with no housing options so they simply return

to living on the streets and committing crimes.

I feel the quasi-legal status of weed attracts elements from other areas where it is illegal, resulting in more local crime.

Dual diagnosis, not enough services available financially, housing, transportation.

Funding.

Constantly changing laws, reduction of probation terms, increase in drug use/addiction. Funding.

Pretrial and the minimizing of certain criminal charges.

The need for more diversion services to keep substance users out of jail and prison. Affordable housing is almost non existent for parolees coming out of custody.

Our county does a piss poor job of taking care of mentally ill folks in custody. 65% of current inmates in Wayne Brown are formally diagnosed as having a co-occurring disorder but services to treat these folks is unavailable. There is ONE therapist in the jail and she is too busy to tie her shoe let alone help inmates. NCSO is under the mistaken belief that just because they contract with Wellpath inmates are receiving help but that is not the case.

The major hurdle you guys are facing is that more and more inmates are being released, California is a super liberal state and so you will continue to see "let them out" policies and laws being passed. We also know that the majority of these folks being released are low level idiots who really just need mentoring and a whole lot of guidance. They need life skills, financial guidance, SUD treatment, and new friends who don't smoke crack in their spare time.

It's imperative that you offer more (or any for that matter) services in jail and transition services for folks being released. You guys are seriously failing in helping folks who are in custody transition to the outside, especially those will mental health issues. You also need to stop relying on shitty non-profits who have no idea what they are doing. Offering some shitty service is not better than offering no services at all. In fact, a few of these nonprofits are more detrimental then helpful and it's Nevada County's responsibility to monitor these nonprofits; especially those organizations that you have contracts with.

Untrained and uneducated deputies are a significant liability to the public trust. Training and education need to be of paramount importance for all law enforcement officers, especially firearms and conflict de-escalation training.

The Judge's need to be tougher on crime. The courts need to get more serious about mental health issues. Stop releasing people who are extremely mentally ill into the community. It just begins a failed circle of new crime, and community members who don't understand the mentally ill like they think they do. We need more resources to care for the mentally ill and it needs to be taken more seriously.

Homelessness due to lack of affordable housing.

Illegal pot grows, cartel is moving in.

Persistent mental health issues, affordable housing, substance abuse, crumbling courthouse infrastructure.

lack of services for high risk or low income residents, lack of community buy-in, lack of awareness, lack of diverse population contribution.

High crime- more victims.

Substance abuse is a major cause as to why people commit crimes. I would like to see Nevada County continue to work with County agencies and local non-profits to provide pre-release, at

the gate services, and post services to men and women while incarcerated and three years post release.

Funding, lack of collaboration, poor policy/law changes.

Housing, Jobs, Mental Health, Substance Abuse.

Mental health and drug abuse.

Lack of employment with actual living wages, causing homelessness, addiction concerns, and increased crimes as desperation grows.

Crimes committed so that people can provide basic needs for themselves.

Lack of penalties for criminals.

Mental Health.

Competency restoration.

Homelessness and substance abuse- dealing w/ non-violent offenders and providing services.

Mental Health Challenges.

how to best address the underlying, untreated issues that lead to criminal activity, such as substance use, homelessness/housing insecurity.

How to deal with mentally ill offenders.

Increased need for mental health services, housing and the aging population. The older folks who fall between the cracks of organic medical issues and mental health.

A paradigm shift in our county away from treating homelessness/substance abuse/mental health challenges as personal failings to be addressed by incarceration toward community awareness of complicated systemic problems including poverty/public school funding/disparities in access to education, health care, clean air and water/trauma/racism, etc. as the underlying causes that need to be funded and resolved in order to decrease criminal activity.

Workload of the District Attorney's office and the Probation Department.

Political unrest.

Increased crime do to drugs, mental illness, and homelessness.

not enough penalties, too many extreme offenders being let out

The impact of substance abuse on mental health and chronic homelessness.

homelessness, mental health and addiction.

The revolving door of jail and prison. The local law enforcement cannot keep up, they arrest suspects and then they are released back to the streets to soon.

Mental health challenges.

SP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS































ATTACHMENT B	
2011 Publi	ic Safety Realignment:
Corrections Partners safe Nevada county	Nevada County Community ship is to collaboratively ensure a by reducing and preventing crime ased, cost-effective policies and
Revised May 25, 2022	
921	JSP











ATTACHMENT B **Themes** Opportunities: Data and Outcomes Planning and Process Racial Disparities and Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

.



### ATTACHMENT B

# Themes

- Community members who responded to the survey had very little or no knowledge of the CCP, suggesting developing an outreach program via multiple communication vehicles to engage the community.
- Although community members are satisfied with police and public defender and probation services, they are less satisfied with treatment, homeless services, prosecutorial and victim representation from the District Attorney's Office, and court case processing.
- Community members see community-based mental health and substance use treatment services as very important but included areas of least satisfaction.
- Community members want CCP funding used for mental health, substance use, homeless services, and probation services. Probation could be seen as an extension of those services.
- Respondents to the survey were primarily from the Grass Valley and Nevada City area.







ATTACHMENT B

# JSP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

# Nevada County CCP Strategic Planning - Special Meeting

Hopes and Values Exercise

May 24, 2022

Hopes	Values
Work more Collaboratively with CCP.	Respect experience of each member. I bring
	ability/flexibility willingness to work.
Shared Vision.	Compassion.
Learn about innovative ideas from presenters	Flexibility.
(Zach and Kevin).	
We become more cohesive group that meets	Commitment to consistent and efficient
more about what we can do to better	inter-agency communication (law
connect incarcerated individuals to services	enforcement, probation courts, service
when they come out of jail.	providers, DA, and PD).
Unity.	I feel I have the ability to relate to all sides.
That we have tough conversations about	Understanding of local impacts to peoples
where we are going.	feeling of safety and service.
We find a path forward toward	Integrity.
new/meaningful solutions/actions.	

### ATTACHMENT B

\* l \*

# JSP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

Nevada County CCP Strategic Planning - Special Meeting

Brainstorming Goals Exercise

May 24-25, 2022

ainst	orming Exercise: Goals
1.	Provide programs that match the needs of the community
2.	Ensure we are capturing sharing and analyzing data in order to identify gaps / strategies and evaluate programs.
3.	Improve quality of life in Nevada County.
4.	Target individual post arrest to enhance quality of life.
5.	Focus set of goals with a focus schedule to address those goals.
6.	Educate public about the CCP.
7.	Assure service and treatment are provided for individuals as seamlessly as possible regardless of custody status.
	Create a least 1 preventative program.
9.	Collect meaningful data to track successes or outcomes.
	Ensure safe Nevada County by reducing and preventing crime.
11.	Safely reduce the number of incarcerated individuals with mental illness.
12.	Create and develop group messaging platform.
13.	Create standing set of CCP metrics by which we can measure outcomes.
14.	Reduce recidivism.
	Reduce recidivism: identify and invest in programs that reduce recidivism, broadly defined.
16.	Build offender competency and support re-entry.
17.	CCP data driven in decision making.
	Identify gaps and interventions that can prevent people from entering our system and apply for grants, invest, change processes and advocate with partners.
	Prevent generational criminality and poverty through early intervention and provision of services.
	Increase support of prevention programs for youth, focusing on services targeting key indicators of future criminality.
21.	Implementation of programs that target recidivism and include measurables.
22.	Improve community engagement and participation of the CCP.

# JSP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

# Nevada County CCP Strategic Plan

# SMART Objectives from Goals

# May 25, 2022

### Goal #1 CCP will be data driven in decision making and evaluating outcomes

	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Realistic	Timebound
Objective #A CCP will determine what data reports are needed to make informed decisions by 9-15-22.	*	×	*	*	×
Objective #B Create a representative data workgroup who will identify data elements to fulfill the report requests by 1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2023.	*	*	*	*	*
Objective #C CCP will explore funding and staffing of a data analyst by February 2023.	*	*	*	*	*
Objective #D CCP will develop a template report format for data reports by September 15, 2022.	*	*	*	*	×
Objective #E Data workgroup will have a standing agenda item to present and discuss reports beginning 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2023.	*	×	*	*	×

ATTACHMENT C

# JSP JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS

## Goal #2. Improve community engagement and participation in the CCP

	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Realistic	Timebound
Objective #A Develop a written process to create and disseminate information from CCP to the community by January 2023.	*	*	*	*	*
Objective #B Share successful outcomes and stories through dissemination process by April 2023.	*	×	*	*	×
Objective #C Develop outreach method and invite community-based organizations to participate in CCP meetings by September 15, 2022.	*	*	*	*	×
Objective #D Develop and produce at least one community education session by December 31, 2022.	*	×	*	*	*

# Goal #3 Ensure safe Nevada County by reducing and preventing crime

	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Realistic	Timebound
Objective #A Update existing inventory of programs identifying which are EBP at June 2022 CCP meeting.	*	×	*	*	*
Objective #B Develop process to identify outcomes of interventions used for people involved in the criminal legal system by February 2023.	*	×	*	×	*
Objective #C Create a law enforcement workgroup to explore information sharing and collaboration opportunities by December 31, 2022.	*	ж	*	×	*

t

....

ATTACHMENT C			ĴS	SP	JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS
Objective #D CCP will support the Justice Mental Health Collaborative through representation, providing data and resources beginning June 2022.	*	×	*	*	*
Objective #E Explore the feasibility and need for implementing a primary care diversion by September 20, 2022. Criminal Court meeting.	*	*		×	×
Objective #F Improve the CCP's understanding of the disparities that exist in the Nevada County by producing Diversity, Equity and Inclusion report by December 31, 2023.	*	*	*	*	*

Goal #4 Improve overall quality of life in Nevada County

	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Realistic	Timebound
Objective #A Gather and analyze data to better understand the homeless population	*	*	×	×	*
involved in the system by June 2023.	48.8	all the factoria		2010	E. <*
Objective #B Utilize data report to develop strategies to address areas and support existing service providers to build capacity by December 31, 2023.	*	×	*	*	×

# Nevada County CCP Strategic Plan

### **CCP** Mission:

The mission of the Nevada County Community Corrections Partnership is to collaboratively ensure a safe Nevada county by reducing and preventing crime through evidence based, cost-effective policies and programs.

### **Guiding Principles:**

We believe in:

- Creativity and innovation
- Collaboration and mutual commitment to the group to improve the system in a fiscally responsible manner.
- Being open, honest, share ideas, and respectful.
- Continuously letting purpose drive strategy.

Goal	Objective	Task/Activity	Team Responsible	Timeline
Goal #1: CCP will be data driven	A. CCP will determine			
in decision making and	what data reports are			
evaluating outcomes.	needed to make			
	informed decisions by			
	9/15/2022			
	B. Create a			
	representative data			
	workgroup who will			
	identify data elements			
	to fulfill the report			
	requests by 1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2023			
	C. CCP will explore			
	funding and staffing of			
	a data analyst by			
	February 2023.			
	D. CCP will develop a			
	template report			

	format for data reports by September 15, 2022.			-
	E. Data workgroup will have a standing agenda item to present and discuss reports beginning 2nd Quarter 2023.			
Goal #2: Improve community engagement and participation in the CCP.	A. Develop a written process to create and disseminate information from CCP to the community by January 2023.			
	B. Share successful outcomes and stories through dissemination process by April 2023.			
	C. Develop outreach method and invite community-based organizations to participate in CCP meetings by September 15, 2022.			
n in the second se	D. Develop and produce at least one community education session by December 31, 2022.	arte nati i se di stato et Baltoni a se di stratti je 1919 dana	ni la vajn granoj i Ka	n Kalin til tur hene? N

11.11

Goal #3: Ensure safe Nevada	A. Update existing		
County by reducing and	inventory of programs		
preventing crime.	identifying which are		
	EBP at June 2022		
	CCP meeting.		
	B. Develop process to		
	identify outcomes of		
	interventions used for		
	people involved in		
	the criminal legal		
	system by February		
	2023.		
	C. Create a law		
	enforcement		
	workgroup to explore		
	information sharing		
	and collaboration		
	opportunities by		
	December 31, 2022.		
	D. CCP will support		
	the Justice Mental		
	Health Collaborative		
	through representation,	8	
	providing data and		
	resources beginning	· · · · ·	
	June 2022.		
	E. Explore the		
	feasibility and need for		
	implementing a		
	primary care diversion		
	by September 20, 2022.		
	Criminal Court		
	meeting.		

	F. Improve the CCP's understanding of the disparities that exist in the Nevada County by producing Diversity, Equity and Inclusion report by December 31, 2023.		
Goal #4: Improve overall quality	A. Gather and analyze		
of life in Nevada County.	data to better		
	understand the		
	homeless population		
	involved in the system		
	by June 2023.	 	
	B. Utilize data report to		
	develop strategies to		
	address areas and		
	support existing service		
	providers to build		
	capacity by		
	December 31, 2023.		