

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act &
Youthful Offender Block Grant (JJCPA-YOBG)**

**FY2019-20
Consolidated Annual Plan**

Date: Apr 10, 2019

County Name: Santa Barbara

Contact Name: Tanja Heitman

Telephone Number: 805-882-3652

E-mail Address: heitman@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Instructions:

Government Code Section §§30061(b)(4) and Welfare & Institutions Code Section §§1961(b) call for consolidation of the annual plans required for JJCPA and YOBG.

Please submit your most up-to-date consolidated plan.

The rest of this document is a standardized template for a consolidated county plan. If you find it helpful to use this template, please do so.

Your submission will be posted, as submitted, to the BSCC website.

Please e-mail your plan to:

JJCPA-YOBG@bscc.ca.gov

SANTA BARBARA
COUNTY

**COMPREHENSIVE
MULTI-AGENCY
JUVENILE JUSTICE
PLAN**

JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA)
YOUTHFUL OFFENDER BLOCK GRANT (YOBG)

2019 CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PLAN

WWW.SBPROBATION.ORG



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council Members	2
Overview	3
Population	4
Assessment of Existing Services.....	5
Identifying and Prioritizing Focus Areas	6
Juvenile Justice Strategies.....	7
Funded Programs, Strategies and/or System Enhancements	12
Strategy for Non-707 (b) WIC Offenders	15
Regional Agreements.....	15
Information Sharing and Data Collection	16
Closing.....	16

Attachments

• Violent Crime Rate	17
• Property Crime Rate	17
• Juvenile Misdemeanor Arrests	18
• Juvenile Felony Arrests	18
• Percent of Juveniles Supervised by County	19

INTRODUCTION

With the passing of the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) in 2000, California took the first step towards a sweeping reform of juvenile justice practices. In 2007, the Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBG) restricted State level commitment to only those youth who committed the most serious offenses, most commonly referred to as “707(b)” offenses after the Welfare and Institutions Code section. As a result, non-707(b) offenders who might have been committed to a State facility in previous years are to be processed at the local level using local resources and community programs with the belief that remaining closer to their families and communities would support positive outcomes. The YOBG authorized State funding to counties to offset the cost of providing these resources and programs to youth consistent with an annual plan submitted by counties to the State. Through these reform efforts, local jurisdictions have been provided funding to support initiatives and strategies that target juvenile crime and delinquency, juvenile offenders and at-risk youth.

The field of juvenile justice has continued to evolve since that time and has experienced numerous improvements over the past decade. Much has changed as the field has embraced evidence-based practices and focused on the delivery of treatment and other services to improve youth and system outcomes. Accurate and timely data have become a priority and are required to ensure interventions and operations reflect evidence-based practices and are aligned with rehabilitative goals.

In 2018, the Probation Department presented the results of its local comprehensive juvenile data mining and county comparison review to the County Board of Supervisors. This data helped shape a path for the introduction of new tools, strategies and approaches to juvenile justice in Santa Barbara County. Throughout this document we will highlight areas of improvement, as well as additional strategies developed to address areas of need for youth. Through collaborative approaches to serving youth, as well as placing the focus on family engagement and positive youth development, the Probation Department continues to evolve in how officers work with youth, and how evidence-based approaches may be used to change behaviors and promote public safety.



JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL MEMBERS

- **Peter Adam**, 4th District Supervisor
- **William Brown**, Sheriff-Coroner
- **Steven DeLira**, Deputy Executive Director, Family Service Agency
- **Joyce Dudley**, District Attorney
- **Jill Frandsen**, Director, Daniel Bryant Center
- **Alice Gleghorn**, Ph.D., Director, Department of Behavioral Wellness
- **Matt Hamlin**, Director, Coast Valley Substance Abuse Treatment Center
- **Phil Hansen**, Santa Maria Police Chief
- **Gregg Hart**, 2nd District Supervisor
- **Tanja Heitman**, Chief Probation Officer (Chair)
- **Tracy Macuga**, Public Defender
- **Trevor McDonald**, Superintendent, Lompoc Unified School District
- **Mona Miyasato**, County Executive Officer
- **Daniel Nielson**, Director, Department of Social Services
- **Dominick Palera**, Chair, Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Commission
- **Darrel Parker**, Court Executive Officer
- **Mark Richardson**, Ed.D., Superintendent, Santa Maria Joint Union High School District
- **Susan Salcido**, Ed.D., Superintendent, Santa Barbara County Education Office
- **Saul Serrano**, Coordinator, South Coast Task Force on Youth Safety, Community Action Commission
- **Jill Sharkey**, Ph.D., Associate Dean for Research and Outreach, Gervitz Graduate School of Education, University of California Santa Barbara
- **Todd Stoney**, Captain, Santa Barbara Police Department
- **Pat Walsh**, Lompoc Police Chief

OVERVIEW

In an effort to encourage coordination and collaboration among the various local agencies serving at-risk youth and young offenders, the California Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) advises county Juvenile Justice Coordinating Councils (JJCC) to develop and modify the county's juvenile justice plan each year. This document will provide an overview of JJCPA and YOBG, a brief review of local data mining and county comparison efforts, goals and objectives for the coming year, strategies that are or will be deployed, and summaries of associated spending plans.

Assembly Bill (AB) 1998 Consolidation

AB 1998 combined reporting requirements for the JJCPA and the YOBG programs. As of January 1, 2018, counties may submit a consolidated annual plan describing the programs, placements, strategies, services, and system enhancements supported by either JJCPA or YOBG funding. This year, Santa Barbara County has merged its JJCPA and YOBG reporting into a single, consolidated comprehensive juvenile justice plan.

Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA)

JJCPA was created by the Crime Prevention Act of 2000 (Chapter 353) to provide a stable funding source for local juvenile justice programs aimed at curbing crime and delinquency among at-risk youth. This initiative involves a partnership between the State of California, 58 counties, and various community-based organizations to enhance public safety by reducing juvenile crime and delinquency.

State law requires that counties provide programs that have been demonstrated to be effective in reducing delinquency. Targeted interventions that have resulted in lower crime rates among juveniles state-wide include intensive family interventions, after-school programs for at-risk teens, gang and truancy prevention, job training and diversion programs.

Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBG)





YOBG, sometimes known as "Juvenile Realignment," was enacted in 2007 by Senate Bill 81 (SB81) (Chapter 175, Statutes of 2007) which reassigned from State to local jurisdictions control of the non-707(b) of the Welfare and Institutions Code youth who might have been committed to a State facility in previous years but are now supervised at the local level. The program has three primary goals: to reduce the number of offenders in the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) facilities, to reduce state costs for incarcerating lower level offenders, and to keep lower level offenders closer to home and local support systems.

In recognition of the increased county responsibilities for supervising and rehabilitating youthful offenders subject to SB81, the State provides annual funding through the YOBG program. The amount allocated to each county is based on a statutorily defined formula that gives equal weight to a county’s juvenile population and the number of juvenile felony dispositions.

POPULATION

The number of youth supervised by Santa Barbara County Probation decreased 20% from a high of 646 on June 30, 2017 to 516 on June 30, 2018. Through collaboration with all justice partners, effective strategies to focus supervision and services on higher-risk youth have been identified and deployed. More information regarding specific goals and objectives developed are available in the “Identifying and Prioritizing Focus Areas” of this document.

The majority of youth on supervision in Santa Barbara County are male (79%), Hispanic/Latino(a) (81%) and between 15 and 18 years of age.



ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING SERVICES

In 2017, Santa Barbara County Probation Department embarked on a comprehensive county comparison/data mining and analysis process. This process included comparing various data elements of our local juvenile justice system with four other counties selected for proximity, demographic similarity and progressive practices. Additionally, the project encompassed a comprehensive review of all 597 youth who, at the time (August 31, 2017) were under supervision of the Probation Department. The following is a summary of progress in each of the original opportunity areas identified as a result of the comparative data collection effort:

- **Total Juvenile arrests** (misdemeanor and felony) within Santa Barbara County **declined 15%** from 2016 to 2017.
- Santa Barbara County **reduced the percentage of youth aged 10-17 on some type of probation supervision** from 1.5% in FY 2016-2017 to 1.1% in FY 2017-2018.
- Santa Barbara County's **rate of youth under Court wardship for misdemeanor offenses dropped 32%** from a rate of 659 youth/100,000 (age 10-17) in FY 2016-2017 to 447 youth/100,000 (age 10-17) in FY 2017-2018.
- Utilization of juvenile institutions statewide continues to trend down; Santa Barbara County's **custody population remains higher than comparison counties.**
- **Congregate care placements have dropped 76%** in the last 18 months.
- Use of diversion options for youth remains an area of opportunity. 89% of youth under Probation supervision in Santa Barbara County were delinquent wards of the court with **only 11% supervised under some form of diversion option.**

IDENTIFYING AND PRIORITIZING FOCUS AREAS

The JJCC remains committed to promoting public safety and improving the quality of life for the youth and families that come in contact with the juvenile justice system. To guide their efforts the following goals and objectives have been developed.

«goals»

- Promote public safety and reduce juvenile delinquency by developing individual responsibility and accountability.
- Deploy individualized responses based on assessment of needs and risks through the use of an evidence-based tool that is both culturally informed and gender responsive.
- Utilize data, decision-point analysis, to ensure long-term reform, detecting disparate treatment and eliminate inequity.
- Embrace and nurture collaboration, shared accountability, and increased community and family engagement.
- Utilize confinement only when necessary for the safety of individual youth or the community.
- Provide evidence-based effective alternatives to detention.

«objectives»

- Complete implementation of the Positive Achievement Change Tool (PACT), a juvenile risk/needs assessment tool that consistently and reliably measures risk of re-offense and assesses criminogenic needs, by December 1, 2019.
- Increase the use of diversion opportunities for low and medium risk youth to ensure they receive appropriate interventions from 17% of the supervised population to 25%.
- Partner with community-based organizations to offer diversion alternatives in the community for youth who are at risk of involvement with the juvenile justice system.
- Develop and implement standardized evidence-based outcome measures for contracted programs and services.

- Develop an inventory of local programs utilized by justice-involved youth and determine if current interventions are evidence-based and effectively address criminogenic needs while building on strengths and protective factors.
- Limit incarceration predominately for high risk youth that are contemporaneously presenting as a risk to themselves or to the community.
- Maximize potential success of interventions through the use of Risk-Needs-Responsivity (RNR) model of probation supervision that increases the use of incentives and limits the use of incarceration.
- Provide training to internal and stakeholders regarding innovative approaches, latest research, and evidence-based strategies, and strengthen cross-agency collaboration by delivering the Anne E. Casey Foundation (AEDF) Reimagining Juvenile Justice (RJJ) curriculum.
- Through the use of the juvenile risk and needs assessment tool, avoid exposure of low and medium risk youth to higher risk youth or intensive interventions that may negatively impact their protective factors.
- Provide ongoing training to officers and staff regarding vulnerable populations, including sexually exploited youth and youth with histories of significant trauma.
- Continue to provide training on racial and ethnic disparities in the juvenile justice system, including the role of implicit bias in decision-making.

JUVENILE JUSTICE STRATEGIES

Risk and Needs Assessment Tool

Risk and needs assessments are standardized tools that provide structure and consistency to the decision-making process. These tools not only collect and synthesize a youth’s information to estimate risk of recidivism, but also identify other factors that, if addressed, can reduce the youth’s likelihood of reoffending.

With this information, juvenile justice professionals can create individualized case plans and focus limited services and intensive supervision on higher-risk youth.

Risk and needs assessments consist of two components. The risk assessment provides a way to predict the likelihood of reoffending, or recidivism. The needs assessment identifies



factors about the youth that can be changed through individualized treatment or programming to reduce the likelihood that the youth will reoffend. Risk and needs assessment instruments generally consider static and/or dynamic risk factors (criminogenic needs factors) to determine a risk score or risk level classification.

Risk and needs assessments can be used at various stages in the juvenile justice system, including diversion, adjudication, and disposition. However, the categorization of risk will depend on the stage in the system. For example, a risk/needs assessment administered when the youth first enters the justice system (at arrest or intake) can gauge whether the youth is appropriate for diversion programming, whereas an assessment administered at disposition may guide a judge's decision to order the youth to out-of-home placement or a community-based alternative.

Locally, the Probation Department has selected the Positive Achievement Change Tool (PACT) to consistently and reliably measure risk of re-offense and assesses criminogenic needs. This tool will be gender responsive, have cross-cultural application, and will measure a youth's and family's strengths and protective factors. At the intake phase, it will help guide the utilization of diversion opportunities on low and medium risk youth to ensure they receive appropriate interventions, and that their risk is not elevated through extended contact with higher risk youth. It will also assist probation officers in identifying the individual's needs, strengths, barriers, and incentives. This information will help guide the selection of the most appropriate goals for a youth and to develop an effective case management plan. Probation has begun implementation of this tool and expects to have staff trained for its use by the summer of 2019, with full implementation by December 2019.



Risk-Needs-Responsivity Model (RNR)

The RNR principles are a set of research-based guiding principles that, when implemented correctly, can help reduce reoffending and violations of probation. RNR principles can assist policymakers, administrators, and practitioners determine how to allocate resources, deliver services, and provide the right people with the right supports and services in order to have the greatest impact on recidivism and public safety.

- **Risk Principal**

- Match the level of service to the youth's risk to reoffend, reserving the higher treatment doses and intensive supervision for those at highest risk to reoffend.

- **Need Principal**
Assess each youth for known criminogenic needs and target treatment based on the most salient needs.
- **Responsivity Principal**
Matching youth to interventions tailored to their unique characteristics and learning style improve engagement and facilitate meaningful change. Responsivity factors can include gender, language, race and ethnicity, mental illness, and trauma, among others.

Successful application of these RNR principles recognizes that workloads must be commensurate to a youth’s risk level so as to allow officers to differentiate the type and amount of supervision applied.



Evidence-Based Interventions

Strategies for impacting juvenile crime and delinquency require the use of evidence-based treatment programs, services, and interventions that target delinquency across a broad spectrum and tailor services to the individual and families. In the coming year, resources will be directed towards services that target those needs most likely to reduce reoffending. Interventions for youth will be cognitive behavioral in design and will teach youth basic problem-solving skills; skills in emotional regulation; social skills; conflict management and negotiation skills; social perspective-taking; and the prosocial values, attitudes and beliefs that underlie prosocial competence.

Evidence-based interventions for families will also be a targeted investment in the coming year. Services will be geared toward keeping youth in the home and will minimize the need for detention or placement, and will involve parents, family members, and other important persons in a youth’s life. Program outcomes will be measured to insure program fidelity and assure program effectiveness and provider participation in the Probation Department’s Quality Assurance Committee will be



encouraged. Many of these efforts will be collaborative in nature and rely on the expertise found in specific agencies and providers.

Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparities (R.E.D)

Santa Barbara County has completed the fourth and final year of funding for the R.E.D. grant administered by the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC). Throughout this effort, Santa Barbara County has focused on a systemic approach to studying and addressing disparities in several juvenile serving agencies. Grant funding has allowed for the review of discipline policies and practices in all major high school districts in the county and recently studied the potential correlation between kindergarten readiness, high school discipline reports and involvement in the juvenile justice system through collaboration with the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB).

In addition, UCSB has also collected and analyzed referral and treatment data from the Departments of Behavioral Wellness and Social Services to determine if youth of color are disproportionately diagnosed with specific disorders or removed from their homes by Child Protective Services. Together with those agencies, UCSB is developing action plans customized to their services, to reduce any disparities.

Through the review and analysis of data collected, the Probation Department has developed and implemented new processes and instruments to assist in reducing the apparent disproportionality found within systemic interactions with youth. A “purpose of detention” statement and booking criteria, in addition to a new Juvenile Hall Intake Assessment (JHIA), were developed and implemented. These tools allow for increased objectivity and reduce implicit biases. In addition, the Probation Department developed a ‘data dashboard’ to more easily see progress toward our objectives at a glance. Institutional Bias training was delivered to probation and partner agency staff. In 2018, the Probation Department began to pilot an incentives and interventions matrix, which is designed to assist supervision officers in choosing the appropriate level of intervention based on a comparison of the seriousness of behaviors shown and the youth’s known risk to recidivate. The matrix also guides officers in reinforcing positive behaviors with incentives, and helping youth remain in the community with their families in lieu of incarceration unless community safety issues are present.

The Probation Department intends to continue data collection and examination of best practices to ensure further study and correction of racial and ethnic disparities.

Commercially Sexually Exploited Children (CSEC)

Senate Bill (SB) 855 (2014) directs child welfare agencies to take a lead role in addressing the needs of youth identified as being involved in human trafficking. The legislation mandates how child welfare and other specified agencies, including Probation, law enforcement, and mental health professionals, are to collaborate to address the myriad needs of these trafficked youth.

Locally, Child Welfare Services (CWS) has spearheaded efforts to implement a local interagency protocol to describe how various agencies will take action to address the immediate and long-term needs of trafficked youth. The Department of Behavioral Wellness uses grant funds to provide direct services to victims of human trafficking, including juvenile justice system involved youth. The program, Resiliency Interventions in Sexual Exploitation (RISE) maintains a location in the North County where it provides counseling, referrals, and guidance for youth involved in trafficking. CWS has hired a CSEC Coordinator whose duties include training law enforcement and community partners county-wide, working with community-based organizations on collaborative and grant-based solutions to these issues, and facilitating multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meetings regarding sexually exploited youth.

Additionally, the District Attorney's Office (DA) created a specialty court in the North County for trafficked youth. The program, Helping Achieve Resiliency in Treatment (HART) seeks to identify youth involved in or at risk of becoming involved in trafficking and have their needs addressed in a collaborative court environment. The HART team meets regularly to staff cases in a cross-discipline setting, and works to identify community resources that may best serve the needs of a particular youth. Youth in the HART Court program appear every other week before the juvenile court to discuss their needs, progress, and the course of their case.

The DA also chairs a task force on human trafficking that seeks to coordinate local efforts, identify resources, share strategies, and describe the efforts of various agencies in treating survivors and pursuing traffickers. The task force consists of law enforcement agencies, mental health and treatment providers, community-based organization, CWS and other child serving organizations, crisis center personnel, and victim advocates. Probation participates in the HART Court and the task force, and regular refers youth to the RISE program.

Reimagining Juvenile Justice (RJJ) Curriculum

In March 2019, the Probation Department applied and was selected by the Annie E. Casey Foundation to deliver the RJJ Curriculum to county collaborative partners. This innovative curriculum was developed from research conducted in Massachusetts, and offers strategies for deepening cross-agency collaborations and partnerships. The curriculum will be delivered to a cohort of staff selected by invited partner agencies, the Court, education, and law enforcement, and will conclude with a session to offer new local juvenile justice system strategies to department leadership. Santa Barbara County will be one of 15 sites across the nation to implement this promising new curriculum.

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) Workgroup

In 2018, the Probation Department formed a JJCC workgroup to enhance collaboration between youth-serving agencies in the county, and to be more inclusive and transparent as the Probation Department embarks on its strategic and systemic changes to juvenile services. Membership includes representatives from Probation, District Attorney, Public Defender, CWS,

Behavioral Wellness, and local law enforcement agencies, as well as a representative of the Board of Supervisors. The Workgroup continues to discuss services, system gaps and opportunities, and to address some of the pressing challenges faced by youth and families in Santa Barbara County.

FUNDED PROGRAMS, STRATEGIES AND/OR SYSTEM ENHANCEMENTS

Implementation of Evidence-Based Risks and Needs Assessment Tool

Through the selection and implementation of a new tool in the coming fiscal year, risk of re-offense and assessment of criminogenic needs will be consistently and reliably measured. This will drive an individualized case plan which will guide service linkage and supervision strategies. This tool will be gender responsive, have cross-cultural application, and will measure a youth's and family's strengths and protective factors. It will help guide the utilization of diversion opportunities on low and medium risk youth to ensure they receive appropriate interventions, and that their risk is not elevated through extended contact with higher risk youth. It will also assist probation officers in identifying the youth's needs, strengths, barriers, and incentives.

Department of Behavioral Wellness (BW) – Assessment & Aftercare

Two mental health practitioners provide services for youth and families based on referrals from Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs) for youth pending adjudication with the Court, under supervision with a field office, or are in the institutions and entering the reentry phase. Clinical activities include the following:

Clinical Activities:

- **Assessments:** Practitioners conduct *Brief Mental Health Assessments* and *JJCPA Service Needs Assessments*. As the name implies, the former is a quicker assessment that generally entails a file review and clinical interview of the youth; this is more suitable for youth who present with more mild behavioral health difficulties. The latter form of assessment is more in-depth and reserved for youth who have not previously been assessed or treated or whom appear to experience a greater degree of symptoms and impairment. The practitioners provide reports of these assessments to the referring DPOs. In addition, youth will be assessed to determine medical necessity and suitability for treatment at BW clinics or through community providers.

- **Engagement and Linkage:** Since justice-involved youth tend to not perceive themselves as experiencing behavioral health problems, they are less likely to pursue treatment on their own. It is often necessary to engage them in a gradual, non-threatening manner to help them identify their problems and understand how they might benefit from treatment. Practitioners achieve this in institutional settings, where they get can develop a rapport and a level of trust, and this is conducive to linkage activities with the youth post-release. They work closely with the youth, their families, their BW clinic counterparts and community service providers to facilitate follow-through on treatment referrals.
- **Treatment:** Practitioners provide short term treatment, sometimes starting when the youth are still in Los Prietos Boys Camp or Santa Maria Juvenile Hall, to provide a bridge until the youth can connect with a longer term care provider in a BeWell clinic or other community program. For some youth, the short term treatment is sufficient but others will require longer term treatment which can include individual and/or family psychotherapy
- **Child and Family Teams (CFT):** Practitioners are invited to attend CFT meetings to participate in the discussions about treatment goals and objectives. Practitioners complete the Child Adolescent Needs Survey (CANS) and may use this tool to assist the youth, family and probation officer in charting a course of treatment, as well as offering guidance about the presumptive transfer of specialty mental health services (SMHS) for youth in foster care.

RNR Community Supervision Model

Through deployment of an RNR model in FY 2019-2020, supervision caseloads will be restructured to incorporate a differentiated approach as informed by the new risk and needs instrument. Resources will be concentrated on the youth that present with the highest need and risk to reoffend and will result in smaller ratio of officer to youth for those caseloads. Additionally, youth presenting as a low or medium risk/need will be on larger caseloads, with a focus on the utilization of diversion and an increased reliance on one-time intervention referrals and/or sanctions.

RNR principles are significantly amplified by practitioners skilled in techniques proven to influence youth to change behavior. Motivational Interviewing (MI) is an evidence-based program designed to enhance intrinsic motivation. To realize the full benefit of MI, the Probation Department has committed to a program that incorporates and emphasizes the consistent use of MI techniques through adequate officer training, fidelity and quality assurance.

Evidence-Based Treatment Interventions

In 2018-2019, the following treatment interventions that have demonstrated outcomes in reducing risk and need were implemented:

- **Family focused interventions** such as *“Strengthening Families”* and *“Family Behavioral Therapy”*, which have been found to reduce depression, increase parents' "satisfaction" with adolescents, reduce adult alcohol use, and reduce illicit drug use for both adolescents and adults, will be provided.
- **Cognitive-behavioral treatment (CBT) programs** for teaching the cognitive skills, social skills and values such as *“Reasoning and Rehabilitation for Youth 2 (R&R2)”*. This intervention is designed for 13-16 year old youth who are under supervision of juvenile justice agencies that are developing patterns of anti-social behavior which involve risk-taking, opposition to adult values and/or persistent antisocial or offending behavior.
- **Gender-Specific CBT programs**, specifically *“R&R2 for Girls and Young Women”* which was designed for girls and young women whose antisocial or "at risk" behavior has led to their coming to the attention of social service agencies, psychiatric hospitals, or criminal justice agencies. It is a multifaceted cognitive behavioral group program designed to help individuals with low self-esteem, low self-efficacy and low self-motivation develop prosocial competence by training them in cognitive, emotional and behavioral skills.
- **El Joven Noble**, a trauma-informed culturally sensitive program that aids in comprehensive character development that supports and guides youth through their “rites of passage” process while focusing on violence prevention and intervention.
- **Mentoring** for high risk youth through a community-based organization to provide support and increase resiliency has demonstrated a positive effect in the reduction of alcohol and drug use, academic failure, teenage pregnancy, and gang violence.
- **Moral Reconation Therapy (MRT)** to decrease substance abuse and recidivism among youth by increasing moral reasoning. MRT is systematic and implements a cognitive-behavioral approach, which positively addresses an adolescent’s ego, social, moral, and positive behavioral growth.

- **Juvenile Offender Support Funds** for additional educational or vocational needs and to incentivize reaching case plan milestones. Youth returning to the community from DJJ will receive priority.
- **Sex Offender Treatment** to provide outpatient treatment for those youth assessed as appropriate to remain in or returning to their homes and under community supervision.

In 2019-2020, additional services will be put in place to expand the menu of available programs for youth:

- **Diversion Services** to ensure low-level youthful offenders are given appropriate cognitive change classes and services, in order to prevent further involvement with juvenile justice.
- **Marijuana Education Classes** for youth identified as habitual users of marijuana, or who require additional education for diversion
- **Alternative Sanctions** for youth to provide evidence-based, risk-level-appropriate interventions for youth on probation

STRATEGY FOR NON-707(B) WIC OFFENDERS

The aforementioned strategies including the utilization of risk and needs assessment tools, RNR approaches to supervision, diversion of lower risk youth and evidence-based treatment interventions, will be implemented for youthful offenders who are not eligible for a commitment to the DJJ for crimes not designated under section 707(b) of the Welfare & Institutions Code.

REGIONAL AGREEMENTS

At times, throughout the State, counties may be required to consolidate or leverage resources to address gaps in services or limitations with available funding. Currently, in Santa Barbara County there are no regional agreements with any other jurisdiction.



INFORMATION SHARING AND DATA COLLECTION

Data is used to assess program performance, explore the drivers of Santa Barbara County local trends and assist in decision-making. This data is nearly exclusively contained in the Probation Department's case management system. Data is used internally for a variety of purposes including research, resource management, and trend analysis and also for a variety of external purposes by the Probation Department and other organizations. These include informing committees such as the JJCC or the Board of Supervisors about current trends, as well as community-based organizations that use the data to respond to grant requirements or support their mission statement. The Probation Department also maintains required data on probation youth in foster care in the State database utilized by CWS.


CLOSING

The Probation Department has continued to make transformational change throughout its juvenile services. Strategies put in place last year were expanded, and the Probation Department continues to examine data and trends to ensure quality services and case management are in place. The Probation Department has sought out additional opportunities to include our county partner agencies in collaborative change efforts. We have summarized some of these changes in the Comprehensive Multi-Agency Juvenile Justice Plan, and will continue to use the thorough data mining and county comparison effort as a point of reference going forward. Through the delivery of evidence-based interventions, the deployment of a risk-needs-responsivity approach to community supervision, and the increased use of diversionary strategies, we continue to rely on a shared interest in public safety and a strong framework of collaboration to provide a comprehensive and balanced juvenile justice plan. As we engage justice-involved youth and their families in addressing risk and needs, we apply evidence-based and promising practices to strengthen these families, protect our communities, and invest in our youth.

ATTACHMENTS




Total Juvenile Misdemeanor Arrests



Source: State and County data provided by the CA DOJ Criminal Justice Statistics Center "Open Justice"

Total Juvenile Felony Arrests



Source: Crime in California (2017), CA Department of Justice; County data provided by the CA DOJ Criminal Justice Statistics Center "Open Justice"

Department of Finance Population Projections & CPOC

Percent of Juveniles Supervised by County (age 10-17)

