JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY



FIRST QUARTER 2010

Corrections Standards Authority
Facilities Standards and Operations Division
600 Bercut Drive
Sacramento CA 95811
(916) 445-5073
www.csa.ca.gov

JUVENILE DETENTION PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the First Quarter of Calendar Year 2010. Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout contains totals for major categories such as Highest One-Day Population, Average Daily Population (ADP), gender, and the age range of detained minors. Breakout of Juveniles in Detention contains information regarding counties' ADP and Rated Capacity (RC) breakouts and Summary of Survey Results contains information about a wide range of juvenile detention facility issues including crowding, mental health needs, average length of stay, and number of bookings.

<u>Juvenile Hall Data – Part 1 & 2, and Camp Data</u> contains trend information compiled from 2005 through the first quarter of calendar year 2010. The trend data is separated into Juvenile Hall related data and Camp related data.

Please keep the following in mind when reviewing this information:

- For Overall Capacity, Crowding (highest one-day population-the count of minors in detention on the day of each county's highest population) and ADP (the average daily detention population for the reporting period), we have complete data from all jurisdictions in the state that operate juvenile detention facilities.
- Each jurisdiction provides us with the average population, computed across all the days in the month, for each of the three months in the quarter. The weighted average across the three months is then computed for each jurisdiction (with the monthly averages weighted by the number of days in the month). The jurisdictions ADPs are then combined to produce the state's total ADP.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive complete data from all jurisdictions. In such instances, we used statistical procedures to estimate the statewide total.
- Felony/misdemeanor, gender counts, and age-range breakouts are based upon a one-day snapshot (on the 15th day of the final month of the quarter). These values are used to determine the percentage of the population in each felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age category. The percentages are then applied to the Total ADP to project the expected ADP in each of the felony/misdemeanor, gender, and age-range categories.
- <u>Please note</u>: Each quarter, every county with juvenile detention facilities submits their data to the CSA. While we make every effort to review data for accuracy, including contacting individual counties for clarification, CSA cannot be responsible for data reporting errors made at the county level.

California Corrections Standards Authority Juvenile Detention Profile Survey - 1st Quarter, 2010 Overall Capacity, Population and ADP

Overall Capacity and Population								
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	CAMPS		OTHER		L
ADP	5,964	.1	3,422	2.0	2,579	9.6	11,965.6	
Percent of Total	49.8%	6	28.6	%	21.6	%	100.0	%
RC *	8,200	.0	5,869	9.0			14,069	9.0
High One Day	6,697	.7	3,498	3.0			10,195	5.7
		Gei	nder by De	etention	Туре			
	JUVENILE	HALL	CAM	PS	OTH	₽R	TOTA	.L
GENDER	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%	ADP	%
Male	5,135.2	86.1%	3,079.0	90.0%	2,135.3	82.8%	10,349.5	86.5%
Female	828.9	13.9%	343.0	10.0%	444.3	17.2%	1,616.2	13.5%
TOTAL	5,964.1	100.0%	3,422.0	100.0%	2,579.6	100.0%	11,965.6	100.0%
	Dis	spositio	n by Gend	ler for Ju	venile Hal	s		
		MALE			FEMALE		TOTAL	
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Nu	Number		Number	%
Pre-Disposition	2,75	5.9	53.7%	481.5		58.1%	3,237.4	54.3%
Post-Disposition	2,37	9.3	46.3%	347.4		41.9%	2,726.7	45.7%
TOTAL	5,13	5.2	100.0%	828.9		100.0%	5,964.1	100.0%
	Dis	position	by Gende	er for Oth	ner Detenti	on		
		MALE		FEMALE			TOTA	,L
DISPOSITION	Num	ber	%	Number		%	Number	%
Pre-Disposition	895	.6	41.9%	208.8		47.0%	1,104.4	42.8%
Post-Disposition	1,23	9.7	58.1%	2	35.5	53.0%	1,475.2	57.2%
TOTAL	2,13	5.3	100.0%	4	44.3	100.0%	2,579.6	100.0%
	Age Rang	ge by Ty	pe of Dete	ention (C	ne-Day Sn	apshot)		
	JUVI	ENILE HA	LL		CAMPS		TOTA	L
AGE RANGES	Num	ber	%	Nu	mber	%	Number	%
Under 12	6.0)	0.1%	1.0		0.0%	7.0	0.1%
12 to 14	701	.0	11.4%	1	69.0	5.0%	870.0	9.1%
15 to 17	4,85	0.0	79.2%	2,4	477.0	73.1%	7,327.0	77.0%
18 _Over	567	.0	9.3%	7	43.0	21.9%	1,310.0	13.8%
TOTAL	6,12	4.0	100.0%	3,3	390.0	100.0%	9,514.0	100.0%

^{*} RC is Rated Capacity which is the number of beds that comply with standards set forth in Title 24, California Code of Regulations.

County Breakout Report - 1st Quarter, 2010 Facilities and Alternative Detention


1 Los Angeles		County	Rated Capacity	Facilities Detention	Other Detention	ADP	Percent of Total	Cumulative Percent
3 Orange 953 599.8 7.5.33 675.1 5.64% 43.27% 4 Santa Clara 550 399.2 205.03 604.2 5.05% 48.32% 5 Sacramento 395 294.7 273.02 56.7 4.74% 63.07% 6 Alameda 483 290.4 226.66 517.0 4.32% 67.39% 7 Kern 475 378.7 42.69 421.4 3.52% 60.91% 8 Contra Costa 299 292.5 118.31 410.9 3.43% 64.34% 9 Riverside 582 358.2 118.24 310.4 31.5% 67.49% 10 Fresno 590 372.8 0.00 372.8 3.12% 70.69% 11 San Barnardino 620 368.8 0.00 366.8 3.00 366.8 3.00 76.36% 76.36% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 1.93% 82.26% 15.14% 18.59% 83.0% 16.5 38.31 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>Los Angeles</td><td>4,017</td><td>2,740.0</td><td>703.03</td><td></td><td>28.77%</td><td>28.77%</td></td<>	1	Los Angeles	4,017	2,740.0	703.03		28.77%	28.77%
4 Santa Clara 550 399.2 205.03 604.2 5.05% 48.32% 5 Sacramento 395 294.7 273.02 567.7 4.74% 53.2% 6 Alameda 463 290.4 226.66 517.0 4.32% 57.39% 7 Kerm 475 378.7 42.69 421.4 3.52% 60.91% 8 Contra Costa 290 292.5 118.31 410.9 3.43% 64.34% 9 Riverside 582 388.2 18.24 376.4 3.15% 67.49% 11 San Benardino 620 366.8 0.00 366.8 3.07% 73.67% 13 Tulare 330 168.0 88.30 256.3 2.14% 78.59% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 11.93% 80.43% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.28% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83%	2	San Diego	1,105	797.5	262.13	1,059.6	8.86%	37.63%
5 Sacramento 395 294,7 273,02 567,7 4,74% 63,07% 6 Alameda 463 290.4 226,66 517,0 4,3% 67,3% 7 Karn 475 378,7 42,69 421,4 3,52% 60,91% 8 Contra Costa 290 292,5 118,31 410,9 3,43% 64,34% 10 Firesno 590 372,8 0,00 372,8 3,12% 70,05% 11 San Barnardino 620 368,8 0,00 366,8 3,00% 73,36% 12 San Mateo 274 209,8 111,72 321,6 2,69% 76,36% 14 San Francisco 234 110,9 120,18 231,1 1,93% 80,43% 15 Stanis Barbara 252 183,4 0,00 183,4 1,33% 82,66% 18 San Joaquin 224 179,3 0,00 173,1 1,45% 83,80% 18 San Joaquin 224 179,3 0,00 173,1 1,45% 83,26%	3	S						43.27%
6 Alameda 463 290.4 226.66 517.0 4.32% 57.39% 7 Kern 475 378.7 42.69 421.4 3.52% 60.91% 8 Contra Costa 290 292.5 118.31 410.9 3.43% 64.34% 10 Fresno 590 372.8 0.00 372.8 3.12% 70.60% 11 San Bernardino 620 366.8 0.00 366.8 3.07% 73.67% 13 Tulare 330 168.0 88.30 256.3 2.14% 78.50% 13 Tulare 330 168.0 88.30 256.3 2.14% 78.50% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 62.26% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 62.26% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.0 70.47 219.2 1.83% 62.26% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.0 70.0 183.4 1.55% 83.31% <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>Santa Clara</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	4	Santa Clara						
7 Kern 475 378.7 42.69 421.4 3.52% 60.91% 8 Contra Costa 200 292.5 118.31 410.9 3.43% 64.34% 9 Riverside 582 389.2 118.24 376.4 3.15% 67.40% 10 Fresno 590 372.8 0.00 368.8 3.07% 73.67% 67.60% 12 San Mateo 274 209.8 111.72 321.6 2.09% 76.36% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 1.93% 80.43% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.26% 16 Santa Barbara 252 183.4 0.00 183.4 1.53% 83.00% 18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 20 Mercad 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.45% 88.26% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.5 51.18 1.18% <th< td=""><td>5</td><td>Sacramento</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	5	Sacramento						
8 Contra Costa 290 292.5 118.31 410.9 3.43% 64.34% 67.49% 71.00 71								
9 Neverside 582 358.2 358.2 358.2 376.4 3.15% 67.49% 10 Fresno 590 372.8 3.00 372.8 3.12% 70.60% 12 San Bernardino 620 366.8 0.00 368.8 3.07% 73.67% 12 San Mateo 274 209.8 111.72 321.6 2.69% 76.36% 13 Tulare 330 168.0 88.30 256.3 2.14% 78.50% 76.36% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 1.93% 80.43% 8								
10 Fresno 590 372.8 0.00 372.8 3.12% 70.60% 11 San Bernardino 620 366.8 0.00 386.8 3.07% 73.67% 12 San Mateo 274 209.8 111.72 321.6 2.09% 76.36% 13 Tuare 330 168.0 88.30 256.3 2.14% 78.05% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.28% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.28% 17 Montrery 206 157.3 24.13 181.5 1.53% 83.80% 18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 173.1 1.45% 88.28% 20 Marced 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.38% 89.63% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 11.18% 90.82% <tr< td=""><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	8							
11 San Bernardino 620 366.8 0.00 366.8 3.07% 73.67% 13 Tulare 330 168.0 88.30 256.3 2.14% 78.50% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 1.93% 80.43% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.26% 16 Santa Barbara 252 183.4 0.00 183.4 1.53% 83.80% 18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.18% 89.63% 21 Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 9.8.11% 22 Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 9.8.11% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>								
12 San Mateo 274 209.8 111.72 321.6 2.69% 76.38% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 1.93% 80.48% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.26% 15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.00 183.4 1.00 183.4 1.53% 82.26% 17 Monterey 206 157.3 24.13 181.5 1.52% 85.31% 18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.51% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 173.1 1.45% 88.26% 20 Merced 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.38% 89.63% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.18% 90.92% 22 Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 9.181% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75%	10							
13 Tulare 330 188.0 256.3 2.14% 78.50% 14 San Francisco 234 110.9 120.18 231.1 1.93% 80.43% 16 Santa Barbara 252 183.4 1.53% 83.00% 17 Monterey 206 157.3 24.13 181.5 1.53% 83.00% 18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 19 Ventura 220 173.1 0.00 173.1 1.45% 88.26% 20 Merced 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.38% 89.63% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.16% 90.2% 22 Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 91.81% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 41 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.76% 26 Kings 209 65.4								
14 San Francisco 234 110,9 120,18 231,1 1,93% 80,43% 15 Sanislaus 158 148,8 70,47 219,2 1,83% 82,26% 17 Monterey 206 157,3 24,13 181,5 1,52% 85,31% 18 San Joaquin 224 179,3 0.00 179,3 1,50% 86,81% 19 Ventura 280 173,1 0.00 179,3 1,50% 86,81% 20 Merced 120 106,4 58,31 164,7 1,38% 89,63% 21 Sonoma 188 100,8 40,95 141,8 1,18% 90,82% 22 Solano 148 96,9 22,07 118,9 0,99% 91,81% 23 Yolo 90 78,6 10,83 89,4 0,75% 92,56% 24 El Dorado 80 57,0 15,66 72,7 0,61% 93,17% 26								
15 Stanislaus 158 148.8 70.47 219.2 1.83% 82.26% 16 Sanita Barbara 252 183.4 0.00 183.4 1.53% 83.80% 77 Monterey 206 157.3 24.13 181.5 1.52% 85.31% 18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 173.1 1.43% 88.26% 20 Merced 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.38% 89.63% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.16% 90.22% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 21 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.77% 25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.76% 26								
16 Santa Barbara 252 183.4 0.00 183.4 1.53% 83.80% 17 Monterey 206 157.3 24.13 181.5 1.52% 85.31% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 173.1 1.46% 88.26% 20 Merced 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.38% 89.63% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.18% 90.82% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 24 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.17% 25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.17% 27 Placer </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
17								
18 San Joaquin 224 179.3 0.00 179.3 1.50% 86.81% 19 Ventura 280 173.1 0.00 173.1 1.45% 88.26% 20 Merced 120 106.4 58.31 164.7 1.38% 89.63% 21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.18% 90.82% 22 Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 91.81% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 24 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.76% 25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.76% 26 Kings 209 65.4 0.00 65.4 0.55% 94.31% 27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa								
19 Ventura								
Description		T						
21 Sonoma 188 100.8 40.95 141.8 1.18% 90.82% Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 91.81% 0.99% 90.90%								
22 Solano 148 96.9 22.07 118.9 0.99% 91.81% 23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 24 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.17% 25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.76% 26 Kings 209 65.4 0.00 65.4 0.55% 94.31% 27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.19% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.45% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101								
23 Yolo 90 78.6 10.83 89.4 0.75% 92.56% 24 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.17% 25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.76% 26 Kings 209 65.4 0.00 65.4 0.55% 94.31% 27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.61% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 35 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 2								
24 El Dorado 80 57.0 15.66 72.7 0.61% 93.17% 25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.76% 26 Kings 209 65.4 0.00 65.4 0.55% 94.31% 27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.19% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.65% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50<								
25 Madera 75 61.3 10.08 71.3 0.60% 93.76% 26 Kings 209 65.4 0.00 65.4 0.55% 94.31% 27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.61% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 31 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 32 Honte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 35 Del Norte 62								
26 Kings 209 65.4 0.00 65.4 0.55% 94.31% 27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.61% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40								
27 Placer 58 34.3 19.44 53.7 0.45% 94.76% 28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.61% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42								
28 Colusa 162 51.4 0.00 51.4 0.43% 95.19% 29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.61% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.63% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin		_						
29 Yuba 120 50.9 0.00 50.9 0.43% 95.61% 30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 1011 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.63% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 25.9 0.02% 99.90% 42 San Benito 20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
30 Butte 60 49.1 1.72 50.8 0.42% 96.04% 31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.63% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada								
31 San Luis Obispo 45 40.5 9.22 49.7 0.42% 96.45% 32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.91% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 42 San Benito								
32 Shasta 101 32.2 16.62 48.8 0.41% 96.86% 33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.63% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.4% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
33 Humboldt 44 38.5 10.28 48.8 0.41% 97.27% 34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.63% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14		-						
34 Napa 50 43.3 0.00 43.3 0.36% 97.63% 35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.65% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.65% 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00								
35 Del Norte 62 33.6 0.00 33.6 0.28% 97.91% 36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20								
36 Santa Cruz 42 20.2 9.41 29.7 0.25% 98.16% 37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28		·						
37 Imperial 72 29.1 0.00 29.1 0.24% 98.40% 38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 49 Tuolumne 40								
38 Marin 40 21.7 6.21 27.9 0.23% 98.63% 39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0								
39 Mendocino 43 25.9 0.00 25.9 0.22% 98.85% 40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 50 Amador 0 <		•						
40 Nevada 30 22.9 0.00 22.9 0.19% 99.04% 41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono								
41 Lake 40 16.3 2.66 19.0 0.16% 99.20% 42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 S								
42 San Benito 20 13.9 2.38 16.2 0.14% 99.34% 43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra	_							
43 Inyo 14 15.0 0.00 15.0 0.13% 99.46% 44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0								
44 Glenn 22 14.8 0.00 14.8 0.12% 99.59% 45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
45 Siskiyou 20 11.7 1.03 12.7 0.11% 99.69% 46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%		•						
46 Trinity 28 12.7 0.00 12.7 0.11% 99.80% 47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
47 Tehama 40 12.0 0.00 12.0 0.10% 99.90% 48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%		-						
48 Lassen 40 8.4 0.34 8.8 0.07% 99.97% 49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%		•						
49 Tuolumne 0 0.0 2.76 2.8 0.02% 99.99% 50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
50 Amador 0 0.0 0.42 0.4 0.00% 100.00% 51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
51 Mariposa 4 0.3 0.00 0.3 0.00% 100.00% 52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
52 Mono 4 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00% 53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%								
53 Sierra 0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00% 100.00%		•						
	JJ	Ololia	14,069	9,386.0	2,579.6	11,965.6	100.00%	100.00 /6

^{*}ADP on County Breakout Report may not equal ADP on other Summary Reports due to rounding

	Juven	ile De	tention	Profi	le Surv	еу				İ
4 of Ottorion			One Day	Snapshot	1		Averag	e Daily Po	pulation	
1st Quarter		Misde	meanor		lony	Pre-Dis			position	
Report 2010	Rated Capacity	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juvenile Halls	8,200.0	13.5%	3.6%	29.4%	3.5%	2,755.9	481.5	2,379.3	347.4	5,964.1
Camps / Ranches	5,869.0	5.9%	1.2%	20.1%	1.8%			3,079.0	343.0	3,422.0
Other Juveniles in	n the System	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total ADP
Juveniles on home supervision wi	th electronic monitoring	3.9%	1.0%	7.0%	1.3%	607.9	131.2	730.7	144.7	1,614.5
Juveniles on home supervision w	ithout electronic monitoring	1.7%	0.6%	2.9%	0.6%	260.8	74.6	273.7	66.3	675.4
Juveniles alternative confinement	programs	0.6%	0.1%	1.2%	0.1%	26.9	3.0	235.2	24.5	289.7
Grand To	otals	25.6%	6.4%	60.7%	7.3%	3,651.5	690.3	6,698.0	925.9	11,965.6
		Ment	tal Health	Snapshot					_	
Number of open mental health										3,749.0
Number of juveniles receiving	psychotropic medication									1,389.1
			Crowdi							
Average daily population of juv			LACK OF	SPACE						23.2
Number of juveniles released of	-									104.6
Average number of days that of	one or more facilities in a co			•						5.0
	/ 1	Average	e Booking	s Per Moi	nth				ı	7.040.0
Number of Juvenile Hall booking									7,246.6	
Number of bookings for weapo	n-related offenses								503.5	
Number of 601 bookings										41.1
Number of 777 bookings	(h) and 707(d)) beatings									1,560.1
Number of direct file {WIC 602		anth of th	ne Quarter	(One-Day	, Snansha	41				59.7
Detained for 707b Offense	i iliai my	Jillii Oi ti	ie Quarter	(One-Da	y Shapsho	··)				1,115.3
Awaiting placement										572.4
Awaiting transport to a camp										333.0
Awaiting transfer to Youth Auth	nority								59.0	
Court commitments to juvenile	hall (Ricardo M)								739.8	
Found unfit per 707.01 WIC										116.8
Direct files to Adult Court-602(I										387.9
Hospitalized outside detention	facility for MEDICAL CARE									4.0
Hospitalized outside detention	facility for MENTAL HEALT	H CARE								6.0
Believed to be criminal illegal a	aliens									84.6
		Aver	age Lengt	h of Stay						
Juvenile Hall (all releases)										25.0
Juvenile Hall to Camps										59.6
Juvenile Hall to other out-of-ho		nomes or	foster hom	ies)						46.6
Juvenile Hall who were found unfit (per juvenile court)									435.2	
Juvenile Hall who were direct f	ilings to adult court									308.8
Camps (all releases)		0								114.1
Cumulative Total for the Quarter									Ootonties	
	Detention Behavior			- `	Juvenile H	aiis (Camps / R			Detention
Assualts by juveniles on staff					42.0		7.0			0.0
Escapes Suicide Attempts					9.0		104			2.0
Suicide Attempts Suicides					51.9		4.0 0.0			0.0
Suicides					0.0		0.0	,	<u> </u>	0.0

First Quarter Report, 2010 - Juvenile Hall Data

Rated Capacity RC and ADP for Juvenile Halls




The average daily population of California juvenile halls has declined annually since 2006.

Summary of Juvenile Hall ADP							
2005 2006		2007	2008	2009			
6,818	7,017	6,858	6,614	6,197			

2010 Summ	ary of Juvenile Hall ADP	
Q1		Average
5,964		5,964

Juvenile Hall Highest One-Day Population and RC



Summary of Juvenile Hall Highest One Day								
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009				

7,686

7,317

7,837


7,575

Reflecting the trend in a decreasing average daily population, the high day population of juvenile halls is also declining.

2010 Summary of Highest One-Day Population				
Q1		Average		
6,698		6,698		

Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls

6,914




Summary of Pre-Disposition in Juvenile Halls							
2005 2006		2007	2008	2009			
58%	60%	61%	57%	56%			

The percentage of youth in juvenile halls who are pre-disposition continues to decline. JDPS reports and facility managers believe that this reflects an increasing reliance on a juvenile hall commitment as a dispositional option for re-aligned (non 707b) offenders.

2010 Summary of Pre-Disposition Juvenile Halls							
Q1				Average			
54%				54%			

First Quarter Report, 2010 - Juvenile Hall Data

Gender Distribution in Juvenile Halls



82%


84%

076 1	2005 2006	2007 2008	3 2009 201	0			
	Summary	of Males in	Juvenile Hal	ls	2010 S	Summary of Males in Juvenil	e Hall
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Q1		

86%

86%

Average Number of Juveniles Booked per Month



85%

Summary of Juveniles Booked per Month					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
9,353	9,539	9,422	9,325	9,079	

2010 marked the largest decrease in the number of juvenile hall bookings in the history of the survey. Reporters and facility managers attribute this to a decrease in the crime rate combined with wide use of local detention criteria which discourages law enforcement from bringing youth who do not require secure detention to the facility for booking.

Average


The percentage of male minors in juvenile halls has increased

slightly, but steadily since 2003.

86%

2010 Summary of Monthly Bookings				
Q1		Average		
7,247		7,247		

Distribution of Charge in Juvenile Halls




Summary of Felony Charges in Juvenile Halls					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
64%	67%	70%	70%	70%	

Over time, the percentage of felony offenders in the juvenile hall in the first quarter has been between 64 and 70%. Data so far this year is lower than any year since 2005.

2	2010 Summary of Felony Charge Juvenile Hall			
Q1			Average	
66%			66%	

First Quarter Report, 2010 - Camp Data

RC and ADP for Camps




The populati decline.	ion or ounc	ornia o pro	battori bari	ipo contina	00 10

Summary of Camp ADP					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
4,097	4,252	4,278	4,338	3,841	

	2010 Summary of Camp ADP				
Q1			Average		
3,422			3,422		

Gender Distribution in Camps




Data continues to reflect the small percentage (10 – 12%) of girls
in camp programs. The percentage of girls in juvenile halls has
historically been higher than in camps.

Summary of Males in Camps					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
89%	88%	89%	90%	90%	

	2010 Summary of Males in Camps				
Q1			Average		
90%			90%		

Distribution of Charge in Camps



Summary of Felonies in Camps					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
69%	69%	70%	71%	75%	

The percentage of felony offenders continues to increase in camp settings. JDPS reporters and facility managers attribute this rise to the 2007 realignment of non-707(b) offenders to the counties, and the programs developed for that population through Youthful Offender Block Grant funding.

	2010 Summary of Felonies in Camps			
Q1			Average	
76%			76%	

Instructions for Interpreting the Survey Report

<u>Capacity, Population and ADP Breakout</u> is designed to present the Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results for the major reporting categories.

- Capacity: this category presents the Rated Capacity (RC) in terms of the number of beds in juvenile halls and camps/ranches that meet the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) standards.
- **High One-Day:** each jurisdiction reports for each month in the quarter, the juvenile hall and camp/ranch populations that, together, constituted the highest one-day count of the month.
- Other: this category refers to the sum of all juveniles who are receiving custody credit while on home supervision with or without electronic monitoring, or in alternative confinement programs.

<u>Breakout of Juveniles in Detention</u> is designed to present County-specific counts.

• County-specific counts: this page identifies the ADP for each county and the percent that county contributes to the total state juvenile detention population. The counties are ranked in descending order based on their percentage of the overall juvenile detention population in the state.

<u>Summary of Survey Results</u> is designed to present all the remaining Juvenile Detention Profile Survey results not already listed.

- **One-Day Snapshot**: the percentages in this section are percentages of the total ADP for juvenile halls, camps/ranches, and other juveniles in the system.
- Average number of days that one or more facilities in a county exceeded the Rated Capacity: this value is the result of taking all of the counties "number of days of crowding" and averaging the figures submitted by all the jurisdictions. If a jurisdiction had no crowding days, that jurisdiction was not included in the computation. In other words, the value presented indicates the typical number of crowding days per month experienced by jurisdictions that have had one or more days of crowding in the First Quarter of Calendar Year 2010.
- Average Length of Stay: these numbers are averages for all juveniles in each category: 1) "juvenile hall (all releases)" is computed by first taking the mean length of stay for all juveniles released from juvenile halls in a jurisdiction. Next, all the jurisdictions' means are averaged to produce a statewide figure; 2) "juvenile hall to camps/ranches" is computed in the same fashion, but includes only those juveniles released from juvenile hall and placed in a camp or ranch; 3) "juvenile hall to other out-of-home placements" presents the average length of stay for that subset of juveniles.